

Efficient Set-based Process Monitoring and Fault Diagnosis

Dissertation

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades
Doktoringenieur (Dr.-Ing.)

von

Anton Savchenko

geboren am 29. November 1983
in Minsk, Weißrussland

genehmigt durch die Fakultät für Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik der
Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg

Gutachter:

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Rolf Findeisen

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Stefan Streif

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Bernd Tibken

eingereicht am 22. Juni 2016
Promotionskolloquium am 27. Februar 2017

**Contributions in Systems Theory and Automatic Control
Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg**

Band 7

Anton Savchenko

**Efficient Set-based Process Monitoring
and Fault Diagnosis**

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2017

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Magdeburg, Univ., Diss., 2017

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2017

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-5212-1

ISSN 2192-2799

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

“An expert is a person who has found out by his own painful experience all the mistakes that one can make in a very narrow field”

Niels Bohr, Danish physicist (1885 - 1962)

Acknowledgment

This thesis is the result of my PhD studies in the Systems and Control Group at the Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology at Otto-von-Guericke University of Magdeburg, Germany. I would like to express my gratitude to the people who have made this thesis possible.

Foremost I want to thank my supervisor Prof. Dr.-Ing. Rolf Findeisen for giving me the opportunity to pursue this work and apply my mathematical background in a much more practical field. Furthermore, I would like to thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. Bernd Tibken from the University of Wuppertal and Prof. Dr.-Ing. Stefan Streif from the Technische Universität of Chemnitz for their willingness to act as external reviewers of my thesis.

My most sincere gratitude extends to Philipp Rumschinski, who over the years of my studies was not only my main collaborator, but also a mentor and a great friend. The importance of our discussions for this thesis cannot be understated.

I would also like to thank my colleagues at the Institute for Automation, who for all these years provided me with advice, discussions and moral support. I am especially grateful to Pablo Zometa, Paolo Varutti, Petar Andonov, Janine Matschek and Fabian Marquardt. I immensely enjoyed working alongside Benjamin Kern, Timm Faulwasser, Steffen Borchers, Friedrich von Haeseler, Jürgen Ihlow, Emily Hammes, Markus Kögel, Lisa Carius, Michael Maiworm, Nadine Rudolph, Sergio Lucia, Tobias Bähge, Khalid J. Kazim Al-Chaabawi, Eric Bullinger, Christian Kallies, and Bruno Morabito. Besides, I would like to thank Ulrike Thürmer and Peggy Stein for their invaluable support regarding the organizational issues.

This thesis would not have been possible without the support of my family, to whom I am forever grateful for the inexorable love, support, patience, and faith they had in me. Thank you for standing by me this whole time!

Contents

Abstract	III
Deutsche Kurzfassung	V
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Contributions	4
2 Uncertain hybrid systems and feasibility problems	7
2.1 Uncertain hybrid systems	7
2.1.1 Hybrid systems with discrete events	7
2.1.2 Set-based uncertainty description	10
2.2 Feasibility problem formulation	11
2.2.1 Solution strategy	13
2.2.2 Computational complexity	17
2.2.3 Analysis, Design and Model Invalidation Toolbox	19
2.3 Summary	22
3 Fault detection and isolation for hybrid systems	23
3.1 Set-based fault detection and isolation	24
3.1.1 Fault detection and isolation via model invalidation	24
3.1.2 Fault detection and isolation via parameter estimation	27
3.2 Aggregated models	29
3.3 Simulation examples	30
3.4 Summary	35
4 Diagnosability of hybrid uncertain systems	36
4.1 Fault diagnosability for hybrid uncertain systems	36
4.2 Challenges of the approach for nonlinear systems	41
4.3 Quantization of the search space	41
4.4 Diagnosability of hybrid quantized systems	43
4.5 Summary	45
5 Notification system	46
5.1 Quantizations guaranteeing diagnosability	46
5.1.1 Set-based sensitivity analysis	47

5.1.2	Iterative refinement of quantizations	49
5.2	Online fault diagnosis algorithm	54
5.2.1	Online diagnosis	54
5.2.2	Notification algorithm	57
5.3	Computational complexity	58
5.4	Summary	62
6	Further computational complexity reduction	64
6.1	Structural model relaxation based on causal reasoning	65
6.1.1	Causal reasoning	65
6.1.2	Lifting of the variable space	67
6.1.3	Problem reduction	69
6.2	Multiple objective structural relaxation	71
6.2.1	Determining the monomial relaxation order	72
6.3	Structural relaxation example: Multiple tank system	74
6.4	Superstructure of models	81
6.5	Summary	83
7	Efficient closed-loop diagnosability	84
7.1	Modeling closed-loop systems in a set-based framework	84
7.1.1	Complexity of diagnosability algorithms for closed-loop models	87
7.2	Tailored feasibility formulations	88
7.2.1	Proving attractivity in finite time	89
7.2.2	Proving invariance of target regions	91
7.3	Fault diagnosis of closed-loop systems	93
7.4	Simulation study	95
7.5	Summary	103
8	Conclusions and outlook	104
8.1	Fault diagnosability	105
8.2	Online implementation and computational performance	105
8.3	Outlook	106
A	Bisectioning procedure	108
B	Proof of Theorem 7	109
	Bibliography	111