

Ivan Popovic

Electrocoagulation in the separation process of used bentonite suspensions

Schriftenreihe des Instituts für
Konstruktiven Ingenieurbau, Heft 2020-03

Electrocoagulation in the separation process of used bentonite suspensions

Doctoral Thesis

submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Engineering
(Dr.-Ing.) to the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering of the
Ruhr University Bochum

BY

IVAN POPOVIC, M.SC.

Reviewers: Prof. Dr.-Ing. M. Thewes, Ruhr University Bochum
Institute for Tunnelling and Construction Management

Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. M. Wichern, Ruhr University Bochum
Institute of Urban Water Management and Environmental Engineering

Date of submission: 03.03.2020

Date of oral examination: 17.06.2020

Schriftenreihe des Instituts für Konstruktiven Ingenieurbau

Herausgeber:
Geschäftsführender Direktor des
Instituts für Konstruktiven Ingenieurbau
Ruhr-Universität Bochum

Heft 2020-3

Ivan Popovic

**Electrocoagulation in the separation process
of used bentonite suspensions**

Shaker Verlag
Düren 2020

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Bochum, Univ., Diss., 2020

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2020

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-7521-2

ISSN 1614-4384

Shaker Verlag GmbH • Am Langen Graben 15a • 52353 Düren

Phone: 0049/2421/99011-0 • Telefax: 0049/2421/99011-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

Preface

The present study was developed in my time as research assistant at the Institute for Tunneling and Construction Management (“TLB”) of the Ruhr University Bochum.

My special thanks goes first to Prof. Dr.-Ing. Markus Thewes for his guidance of this thesis. The mutual exchange of ideas and many discussions had a very positive impact on the thesis. Moreover, his support on my way from a non-German speaking intern on the Institute to a research assistant teaching on German was of great importance. Additionally, I would like to thank Dr.-Ing. Britta Schößler for her support, input and guidance of the project, in frame of which this thesis was developed. To Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Marc Wichern I would like to thank for his interest in this subject, for our informative discussions and his willingness to be my reviewer. Furthermore, I would like to thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. Annette Hafner for taking the task of a non-specialist examiner.

Big thanks goes to my colleagues for the friendly atmosphere they created at the Institute, in which it was pleasure to work. Their support during research and while writing this thesis was very important to me. I could always rely on each and every one of them, but I would like to mention Anna-Lena Hammer, Annika Jodehl and Peter Hoffmann in particular.

During the experimental part of this research several master theses were written, which I supervised. The obtained experimental data were analysed in depth in this dissertation. For this, many thanks to my former master students Wenyu Jian, Sergey Vishnyakov and Stanislav Bezhenov. Also my former student assistants Marco Schürmanns, Ardit Bektashi and Frederik Klask, including students in summer internships, spent many hours in the laboratory. I am very grateful for their help.

Big thanks goes also on Daniel Lehmann, who was always ready to handle any small malfunction of the experimental equipment. Moreover, he brought the idea to automatically record electricity data and installed the necessary hardware and software. This saved a lot of time and improved the quality of data acquisition by the mid-scale experiments.

Last but not the least; I would like to thank the people from my private life. My parents have always supported me in my desire to either travel around as a tourist or to do internships abroad. The last internship I went to, to the TLB in Bochum, changed my life completely. Here, I also met my girlfriend Yvonne. She deserves special thanks for her support and motivation during the whole time I was writing this thesis.

Herne, in February 2020

Ivan Popovic

Acknowledgements

The research was conducted in co-operation with Herrenknecht AG, GeneSys GmbH, MSE-Filterpressen GmbH and Gebr. Förster GmbH.

Parallel to this thesis, a final research report was written. It includes the most important results of this research. It will presumably be available online on the website of the German Federal Environmental Foundation (DBU), who facilitated this research financially.

Their support is gratefully acknowledged.

Abstract

The separation of fines and the disposal of used bentonite suspensions are important economic and ecological factors in hydrosshield tunnelling and the construction of diaphragm walls. To support the separation process of the slurry and to reduce separation time and costs, chemicals like metal salts and polymers are added to the slurry prior to its treatment in a chamber filter press or a centrifuge. However, most of those chemicals are classified as water hazardous substances. If they are not dosed correctly, they end up not only in the dewatered fines but also in the separated water that needs to be disposed. Moreover, addition of polymer solutions to the suspension increases water consumption on the construction site and the total volume of the suspension to be treated.

The thesis presents an alternative support method for fine separation processes by means of electrocoagulation. This electrochemical process causes coagulation and destabilisation of the suspension by applying an electrical current. It has a similar effect on the subsequent fine separation as the addition of chemicals, but offers the advantage that no water hazardous substances are required and the water consumption on the construction site decreases.

In order to determine the extent to which electrocoagulation can support the separation of used slurries, a standard for a used suspension was defined, which was subjected to parametric studies. First studies were performed with laboratory-size electrocoagulation cells which had a volume of 2 to 4 l. Based on the results, the technology was scaled up to a mid-scale cell with a volume of 320 l. This equipment, together with a laboratory chamber filter press, enabled a practice-oriented investigation of the influence of the electrocoagulation treatment on the separation of fines. The results were validated with a used suspension from a tunnelling construction site.

The studies have shown that electrocoagulation could reduce the required filter press capacity by up to 20 % and could save at least 0.2 m³ water per 1 m³ of used slurry.

An ecological comparison between electrocoagulation and conventional conditioning showed that the electrocoagulation is ecologically beneficial. An economical comparison has shown for electricity prices in Germany that the costs were in the same order of magnitude. However, it is important to note that the operational cost of EC depends to a great extent on electricity price at the site, which differs significantly between countries. Therefore, electrocoagulation could be more economically beneficial in countries with lower electricity prices than Germany.

The thesis includes design recommendations for a real-scale prototype as well as ideas for further research. The experimental procedure and analysis developed in this thesis allow the investigation of any given used suspension. The next steps should be the investigation

of further used suspensions from construction sites and determining the electricity consumption during electrocoagulation depending on the used suspension properties.

Index

Preface	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract	v
Index	vii
List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xvi
List of Abbreviations	xix
List of Symbols	xxi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Problem statement and motivation	1
1.2 Goals and methodological approach	1
1.3 Structure of the dissertation	2
2 Bentonite suspensions	4
2.1 Structure of bentonite particle	4
2.2 Stability of bentonite suspensions	6
2.2.1 Introduction to stability	6
2.2.2 Electrical double layer	7
2.2.3 Particle interaction forces	8
2.2.4 Total interaction energy	9
2.2.5 Influence of ionic strength of electrolyte and pH value of the solution on the total interaction energy	10
2.2.6 Zeta potential	15
2.2.7 Stability of bentonite suspensions - summary	20
2.3 Destabilization and coagulation of bentonite suspensions	21
2.4 Slurries in tunnelling and underground engineering	22
2.5 Fine separation of used suspensions	25
2.5.1 Conditioning of the used suspension	25
2.5.2 Separation with filter presses	25
2.5.3 Separation with a centrifuge	27
2.5.4 Disposal of products of fine separation process	28
2.5.5 Issues concerning conventional dewatering technology	29
3 Fundamentals of electrocoagulation (EC)	32
3.1 Introduction to EC	32
3.2 Electrochemical processes during EC	33
3.2.1 Electrochemical reactions on electrodes and mass transfer	33
3.2.2 Formation of coagulants and gases	34
3.2.3 Coagulation mechanisms during EC	34

3.3	Factors effecting EC	36
3.3.1	Electrode material	38
3.3.2	Electrical current and current density	41
3.3.3	Electrode gap	42
3.3.4	Electrode connection modes.....	42
3.3.5	Electrical current type	43
3.3.6	pH value	44
3.3.7	Experiment duration	44
3.3.8	Temperature.....	45
3.3.9	Electrical conductivity and supporting electrolyte	46
3.3.10	Flow state of the solution	46
3.3.11	Pollutant concentration	47
3.4	Comparison between chemical coagulation and electrocoagulation	47
3.5	Safety precautions.....	49
3.5.1	Hydrogen.....	49
3.5.2	Electricity.....	52
4	Implementation of electrocoagulation as a separation method for bentonite suspensions.....	53
4.1	Introduction to EC of bentonite suspensions.....	53
4.2	Research and implementation of EC or similar methods as a separation method for bentonite suspensions worldwide	55
4.3	Electrocoagulation of bentonite suspensions at the Institute for Tunnelling and Construction Management - overview of previous research	55
4.3.1	Experimental setup and procedure	56
4.3.2	Effectivity parameters for the laboratory scale experiments	57
4.3.3	Parametric study and results/conclusions	58
4.3.4	Best combination of parameters	61
5	Laboratory experiments with standard used suspension	62
5.1	Defining a standard used suspension	62
5.2	Experimental setup and procedure	64
5.2.1	Experimental setup	64
5.2.2	Experimental procedure.....	65
5.3	Laboratory experimental series (LES).....	67
5.3.1	LES1: Influence of the electrode material and gaps: aluminium and steel electrodes, all gaps	67
5.3.2	LES2: Influence of the cell design and electrical current: cells A and B, 1 A and 2 A.....	70
5.3.3	LES3: Influence of the monopolar electrodes connection mode: serial (MP-S) and parallel (MP-P) connection	73
5.3.4	LES4: Influence of the mechanical cleaning and experiment duration.....	74
5.3.5	LES5: Further electrode materials: cooper, brass, stainless steel	77
5.3.6	LES6: Gas measurements.....	79
5.3.7	LES7: Experiment retention time and mini scale up tests	85
5.3.8	LES8: Electrodes passivation	87
5.3.9	Further tests and calculations	89
5.4	Summary of the laboratory results	91

6	Experiments with mid-scale prototype	92
6.1	Scale-up from laboratory cell to prototype cell	92
6.2	Experimental setup	93
6.2.1	EC Container.....	93
6.2.2	Laboratory chamber filter press (LCFP)	100
6.3	Experimental procedure	102
6.3.1	Mixing of the suspension	102
6.3.2	Preparation and execution of EC experiment	103
6.3.3	Preparation and execution of filtration experiment	104
6.3.4	Variations of LCFP experiments execution.....	106
6.4	Evaluation and overview of the mid-scale experimental series	107
6.4.1	Evaluation parameters	107
6.4.2	Prototype experimental series (PES).....	111
6.5	Experiments with SUS / standard used suspension	112
6.5.1	Reference experiments in LCFP.....	112
6.5.2	PES1: Validation EC experiments	114
6.5.3	PES2: Influence of the current density	120
6.5.4	PES3: Influence of the electrode gap	122
6.5.5	PES4: Influence of the anodes cleaning interval	126
6.5.6	PES5: Influence of the EC experiment duration	129
6.5.7	PES6: Fine-tuning experiments	132
6.5.8	PES7: Influence of the suspension density	136
6.6	Experiments with suspension from construction site (PES8).....	141
6.6.1	Reference filtration experiments in LCFP	142
6.6.2	EC and filtration experiments	143
6.7	Summary of the results with the mid-scale prototype	151
7	Impact of the new method on separation and disposal concept	152
7.1	Implementation of the electrocoagulation prototype in conventional separation plant	152
7.1.1	EC as a support technology.....	152
7.1.2	EC as stand-alone technology	161
7.2	Impact of the electrocoagulation on disposal concept	163
7.2.1	Disposal of soil material (coagulated material, filter cake, mud)	164
7.2.2	Disposal of filtrate / centrate water	169
8	Recommendations for scale-up to a real-scale prototype for construction sites	174
8.1	Dead volume decrease	174
8.1.1	Problem.....	174
8.1.2	Solution	176
8.2	Increase of the volumetric current density	176
8.2.1	Problem.....	176
8.2.2	Solution 1: Increase of the total surface of the electrodes.....	177
8.2.3	Solution 2: Increase of the maximal allowed voltage.....	177
8.3	Automatic cleaning mechanism.....	178
8.3.1	Problem	178

8.3.2	Solution	179
8.4	Electrodes polarity switch.....	180
8.5	Recommendations summary.....	181
8.5.1	Improvements for the current EC prototype.....	181
8.5.2	Real-scale prototype design	182
9	Ecological considerations	185
9.1	Coagulants	185
9.2	Flocculants	186
10	Evaluation of construction management aspects	187
10.1	Cost aspect - estimation of operational costs	188
10.1.1	EC operational costs	188
10.1.2	Conventional conditioning operational costs	190
10.1.3	Operational costs comparison	192
10.1.4	Dependence of the operational costs on the location of the construction site	193
10.2	Cost aspect - estimation of investment costs.....	195
10.2.1	New investment costs	195
10.2.2	Reduction of the costs of the conventional conditioning equipment.....	196
10.3	Costs summary	196
10.4	Practicability & improvement aspect	196
11	Summary and outlook.....	198
11.1	Summary	198
11.2	Outlook	200
11.2.1	Further experiments with used suspensions from construction sites	200
11.2.2	Creation of a databank as a basis for a prognosis model for future projects	202
11.2.3	Further research concerning the potential re-use of filtrate water	203
11.2.4	Precise calculation of dissolution of electrodes	203
11.2.5	Electrocoagulation experiment supported with addition of sodium chloride (NaCl)	204
11.2.6	Electrocoagulation in combination with a centrifuge	204
12	Literature	205
13	Appendix	A-1
14	Curriculum Vitae.....	A-15

List of Figures

Figure 1:	Montmorillonite: TOT layer (above) and a particle (below) (Leroy et al., 2015, modified from Tournassat et al., 2011.)	5
Figure 2:	Sketch of a bentonite particle with a negative charged basal surface and positive charged edge surface (Praetorius & Schößler, 2016: 13)	5
Figure 3:	The Stern-Gouy-Chapman model of the electrical double layer adjacent to a negatively charged surface (Gregory, 2006: 54)	8
Figure 4:	Repulsive, attractive and total interaction energy as a function of particle separation at intermediate electrolyte concentrations (after Thomas et al., 1999).....	10
Figure 5:	Effect of the ionic strength on the double layer thickness and the range of double-layer repulsion in low (a) and high (b) concentration of electrolytes (Gregory, 2006: 81)	11
Figure 6:	(a) Repulsive (V_r) and attractive (V_a) energy as a function of particle separation distance at three concentrations electrolytes with the same valence (after van Olphen, 1963: 37)	12
Figure 7:	Total interaction energy (V_t) as a function of particle separation distance at three electrolyte concentrations: a) low, b) intermediate, c) high (after van Olphen, 1963: 38-40)	12
Figure 8:	Total interaction energy (V_t) as a function of particle separation distance at three pH values and constant electrolyte concentration Tombacz et al. (1990)	13
Figure 9:	Basal and edge electric double layer at different pH and electrolyte concentrations (after Tombacz & Szekeres, 2004).....	14
Figure 10:	Electrical double layer configuration (Marriaga-Cabrales & Machuca-Martinez, 2014)	15
Figure 11:	Destabilization and aggregation (coagulation) of particles (Gregory, 2006: 112)	21
Figure 12:	Excavation chamber of a hydroshild (Zizka, 2019: 9, after Herrenknecht AG)	22
Figure 13:	Principle of slurry support (Maidl et al., 2012: 28)	23
Figure 14:	Sketch of a separation plant (after Lipka, 2009)	24
Figure 15:	Chamber filter press: inside view (Gupta & Yan, 2016).....	26
Figure 16:	Chamber filter press: outside view (Trevi, 2019)	26
Figure 17:	Basic principle of a centrifuge (Maidl et al., 2012: 114).....	28
Figure 18:	Pollutant removal mechanisms (Garcia-Segura et al., 2017)	36
Figure 19:	Predominance-zone diagrams for (a) Fe(II) and (b) Fe(III) chemical species in aqueous solution. The straight lines represent the solubility equilibrium for insoluble $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_2$ and $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$, respectively, and the dotted lines represent the predominance limits between soluble chemical species (Martinez-Huitle & Brillas, 2009).....	39
Figure 20:	Predominance-zone diagram of Al(III) ion (Brown & Eckberg, 2016).....	40
Figure 21:	Three most typical electrode connection modes: a) monopolar electrodes in parallel connection (MP-P), b) monopolar electrodes in series connection (MP-S), c) bipolar electrodes (BP-S) (Koby et al., 2007).....	43

Figure 22:	Explosive range of hydrogen/nitrogen/air mixtures (Schröder, 2002)	51
Figure 23:	Effects of direct electrical current on the human body (BGI 519, 2009)	52
Figure 24:	EC process (Popovic & Schößler, 2019)	54
Figure 25:	EC cells used in the research of Paya (Paya, 2016: 63)	56
Figure 26:	Electrocoagulation cell B (Popovic et al., 2017)	57
Figure 27:	Experimental setup (Popovic et al., 2017)	57
Figure 28:	left: coagulated bentonite particles on the anode; right: destabilised remaining suspension (Popovic & Schößler, 2019)	57
Figure 29:	Flow modes of the solution in the EC cell (Paya, 2016: 56)	60
Figure 30:	a) Bentonite B1, b) Kaolin W, c) Silica flour M 300, d) Silica flour M 500	63
Figure 31:	Particle-size distribution curve of the standard used suspension, its components and the used suspension form a construction site in Karlsruhe (Popovic, 2019)	63
Figure 32:	Active electrode surface of the cell A, with 2 l of water	65
Figure 33:	Comparison of effectivity parameters for aluminium and steel electrodes	68
Figure 34:	Experiment with an electrode gap of 0.5 cm	69
Figure 35:	Comparison of effectivity parameter for the EC cells A and B at 1 A (left) and 2 A (right)	70
Figure 36:	Influence of the monopolar electrode connection mode on the effectivity of the EC experiments	73
Figure 37:	Influence of the mechanical cleaning on the effectivity of the EC experiments	75
Figure 38:	Influence of the mechanical cleaning and experiment duration on the effectivity of the EC experiments	75
Figure 39:	Influence of various electrode materials on the effectivity of the EC with experiments (Popovic, 2019)	78
Figure 40:	Experimental setup for gas measurement experiments	80
Figure 41:	a) Start of the experiment, b) Gas produced in the cell displaces water in the cylinder, c) Pressure balance, d) Status after 11 minutes, e) Status at 15 th minute, at this point the electricity is turned off, e) Status at 18 th minute, no additional gas was measured after the electricity was turned off	81
Figure 42:	Gas measurement experiments	83
Figure 43:	Retention time and mini scale-up experiments	86
Figure 44:	Electrodes passivation experimental series – effectivity parameters	88
Figure 45:	Sedimentation tests: a) no EC, b). R05, c) R10, d) R15, e) standard experiment	90
Figure 46:	Simplified electrical circuit schema of the EC mid-scale cell (Glück, 2015)	92
Figure 47:	Drawing of the EC Container: left: inside; right: outside (Meyer/Herrenknecht AG, 2017)	94

Figure 48:	Control and mixing room: (1) stirrer, (5) control box for hydrogen sensors (6) air-conditioning device for cabinet, (7) illumination, (after Popovic et al., 2018).....	95
Figure 49:	Content of the control and mixing room: (1) stirrer, (2) pump (3), DC source with control screen, (4) control panel, (8) pipes (after Popovic & Schößler, 2019)	95
Figure 50:	EC room: (1) EC prototype cell, (2) cell ventilation system, (3) room ventilation system, (4) hydrogen sensors, door sensors, (5) control pad for the pump, (6) one small gas canister, (7), extraction points on the cell, (8) regular and (9) emergency illumination (after Popovic et al., 2018)	96
Figure 51:	Components of the EC mid-scale cell (Meyer/Herrenknecht AG, 2017)	97
Figure 52:	Cross section through the EC mid-scale cell (Meyer/Herrenknecht AG, 2017)	98
Figure 53:	Scrapers system (Meyer/Herrenknecht AG, 2017)	98
Figure 54:	Cathodes placing (Meyer/Herrenknecht AG, 2017).....	99
Figure 55:	Sketch of LCFP (MSE, 2016).....	101
Figure 56:	Openings in the centre of the chambers (Popovic & Schößler, 2019)	101
Figure 57:	Laboratory chamber filter press (LCFP) with automatic filter water release measuring system (Popovic, 2019).....	102
Figure 58:	a) Before the experiment: water in the left chamber, SUS in the right chamber, b) Gas developing during the experiment c) After the experiment: the remaining suspension is pumped out of the cell, coagulated material remains on the anodes, d) Thickness of the coagulated material e) Coagulated material with small holes originating from oxygen development on the anodes	104
Figure 59:	Execution of a filtration experiment.....	105
Figure 60:	Filtrate water (Bezhenov, 2019).....	106
Figure 61:	Filter cakes in the LCFP (links), released from the chambers (right)	106
Figure 62:	Suspension flow chart – standard execution of the filtration experiment (filtration variation 1-FV1) (Popovic & Schößler, 2019)	106
Figure 63:	Suspension flow chart – filtration variation 2a and 2b (Popovic & Schößler, 2019).....	107
Figure 64:	Suspension flow chart – filtration variation (FV) 3a and 3b (Popovic & Schößler, 2019).....	107
Figure 65:	Example of filtration experiments with SUS, with CA (Ref_CA) and with EC (PES5_EC2) ..	110
Figure 66:	Reference filtration experiments without CA – SUS with standard and reduced density	113
Figure 67:	Reference filtration experiments with CA.....	113
Figure 68:	Influence of the remaining suspension density on the filtration	115
Figure 69:	Influence of the remaining suspension density on the filtration, with (FV2a) and without (FV1) return of the coagulated material to the suspension.....	116
Figure 70:	Influence of the current density on the filtration	117
Figure 71:	Influence on the identical current density on the filtration.....	117

Figure 72:	Influence of the experiment duration and charge density on the filtration	118
Figure 73:	Influence of the waiting time after EC on the filtration (FV3a)	119
Figure 74:	Influence of the current density on the filtration	121
Figure 75:	Time lapse of voltage and electrical current during PES3_EC1 and PES3_EC3	122
Figure 76:	Course of voltage and electrical current during PES3_EC4 and PES3_EC6	123
Figure 77:	Influence of the electrode gap on the filtration – equal current density, 30 minutes EC	124
Figure 78:	Influence of the electrode gap on the filtration – maximal current density, 60 minutes EC... ..	124
Figure 79:	Dead volume in the cell with an electrode gap of 4.5 cm (left) and 6.5 cm (right). The photo on the right side is from the experiment PES1_EC11 (0.17 Ah)	125
Figure 80:	Course of voltage and electrical current during ES4: influence of the cleaning interval and rotation speed of anodes on the development of electrical current	126
Figure 81:	Influence of the anodes cleaning interval on the filtration	128
Figure 82:	Course of voltage and electrical current during PES5: influence of the EC duration on the development of electrical current	129
Figure 83:	Influence of the EC duration on the filtration	130
Figure 84:	Vertical distribution of the parameters in the cell: left) Temperature and density, right) pH and electrical conductivity (after Vishnyakov, 2019)	134
Figure 85:	Influence of the fine-tuning EC experiments on the filtration	135
Figure 86:	Influence of the suspension density on the EC and the filtration	138
Figure 87:	Filtration curves from PES7 compared to those from PES1 with similar current densities	140
Figure 88:	Reference filtration experiments with CA, all tested densities of SUS	140
Figure 89:	Reference experiments with construction site suspension	143
Figure 90:	EC experiments with CUS: left) Gas bubbles during EC, right) coagulated material on the anodes	144
Figure 91:	Filtration experiments with CUS: left) filter cakes, right) filtrate water	144
Figure 92:	Filtration after PES8_EC1	146
Figure 93:	Filtration after PES8_EC2	146
Figure 94:	Filtration after PES8_EC1	146
Figure 95:	Filtrations directly after EC1 – EC3, (1 st filtration)	146
Figure 96:	Filtrations after EC1 – EC3, 1 day after EC (2 nd filtration)	146
Figure 97:	Filtrations after EC1 – EC3, 1 day after EC (3 rd filtration)	146
Figure 98:	Filtrations after EC4	147
Figure 99:	Filtrations after EC5	148
Figure 100:	Filtrations after EC6	149

Figure 101:	Filtrations after EC7	149
Figure 102:	EC as a support technology (left) or a stand-alone technology (right)	152
Figure 103:	Filtration times from PES5 & PES6_EC5 (Best Combo)	154
Figure 104:	Mass and volume balance of the filtration process with CA and with EC	159
Figure 105:	Disposal options for products of fine separation (second row) and the corresponding regulations (third row) (after Biermann, 2010)	163
Figure 106:	Creation of an eluate (Uphoff Lab, 2019)	165
Figure 107:	Filtrate water after PES1_EC2_FD1	170
Figure 108:	left) Fresh suspension mixed with tap water, right) Fresh suspension mixed with filtrate water after filtration with CA	171
Figure 109:	left: Dead volume in the EC cell (Popovic & Schößler, 2019), right: Comparison of the cell and electrodes form	175
Figure 110:	Effect of the cleanness of the electrodes on the electrical current course in the next experiment	179
Figure 111:	Design of a real-scale prototype	184
Figure 112:	EC treatment procedure in a real-scale prototype: left) during EC; middle) remaining suspension is pumped out of the cell, coagulated material remains on the anodes, right) the bottom of the cell opens, the coagulated material is scraped off and falls down to the conveyor belt.....	184
Figure 113:	Fine separation process including EC and the conventional separation process: a) EC as replacement for CA, b) EC in combination with CA, c) conventional conditioning	187
Figure 114:	Industrial electricity prices in Europe in 2016, for annual consumption 0.5 – 2 GWh (Reuter et al., 2017; Eurostat, 2016).....	194

List of Tables

Table 1:	Zeta potential of bentonite particles in dependence of pH	17
Table 2:	Zeta potential of bentonite particles in dependence of electrolyte concentration and valence.....	17
Table 3:	Zeta potential of kaolin particles in dependence of pH (after Yukselen & Kaya, 2003).....	19
Table 4:	Zeta potential of kaolin particles in dependence of electrolyte concentration and valence.....	19
Table 5:	Zeta potential of silica particles in dependence of pH	20
Table 6:	Zeta potential of silica particles in dependence of electrolyte concentration.....	20
Table 7:	Factors effecting EC divided in three groups of parameters.....	37
Table 8:	Overview of the parametric study by Paya (2016).....	58
Table 9:	Composition of 1 l of the standard used suspension (SUS)	63
Table 10:	Properties of the SUS	64
Table 11:	Electrical parameters at different gaps, cell A	71
Table 12:	Electrical parameters at different gaps, cell B	71
Table 13:	Theoretical (theo) and experimental (exp) amount of produced gas	84
Table 14:	Electrodes passivation experimental series – electricity and effectivity parameters	87
Table 15:	The ratio of montmorillonite in a suspension and in a coagulated material.....	90
Table 16:	Scale-up parameters for the EC prototype cell (Popovic & Schößler, 2019)	92
Table 17:	Calculation of parameters ΔF_{EC} and ΔFV_{EC} at 70, 80 and 90 % of the filtration.....	110
Table 18:	Experimental series with mid-scale prototype and LCFP	112
Table 19:	Validation experimental series (PES1) – EC operational parameters	114
Table 20:	Current density experimental series (PES2) – operational parameters	120
Table 21:	Current density experimental series (PES2) – evaluation parameters.....	120
Table 22:	Electrodes gap experimental series (PES3) – operational parameters.....	123
Table 23:	Electrodes gap experimental series (PES3) – evaluation parameters	124
Table 24:	Anodes cleaning interval experimental series (PES4) – operational parameters	127
Table 25:	Anodes cleaning interval experimental series (PES4) – evaluation parameters	127
Table 26:	EC duration experimental series (PES5) – operational parameters.....	129
Table 27:	EC duration experimental series (PES5) – evaluation parameters	130
Table 28:	Fine-tuning experimental series (ES6) – operational parameters	132
Table 29:	Fine-tuning experimental series (PES6) – evaluation parameters	135
Table 30:	Suspension density experimental series (PES7) – operational parameters.....	137

Table 31:	Suspension density experimental series (PES7) – evaluation parameters	137
Table 32:	Reference filtration experiments (PES7) – evaluation parameters.....	137
Table 33:	Properties of the construction site suspension (CUS)	141
Table 34:	Experimental series with CUS (PES8) – operational parameters	143
Table 35:	Construction site suspension experimental series (ES4) – evaluation parameters	150
Table 36:	Filtration cycle of a filter press	153
Table 37:	Filtration time and filtrate water release after EC.....	154
Table 38:	Filtration time and filtrate water release: comparison between Ref_CA and Best Combo....	155
Table 39:	Filtration time and volume of treated suspension: comparison between Ref_CA and Best Combo.....	155
Table 40:	Duration of complete filtration cycle with CA and with EC	156
Table 41:	Overview: Influence of EC on duration of one filtration cycle and on the volume of treated suspension in one filtration cycle	156
Table 42:	Filtration volume with CA and with EC	158
Table 43:	Example of filtration with EC and and CA.....	160
Table 44:	Chemical composition of electrode material as mass percentage	164
Table 45:	DepV criteria for soil (DepV, 2009)	165
Table 46:	DepV criteria for eluate (DepV, 2009).....	165
Table 47:	AbfAbIV criteria for soil stiffness (AbfAbIV, 2001).....	166
Table 48:	LAGA Guidelines criteria for soil material (Laga-Richtlinie, 1998).....	166
Table 49:	LAGA Guidelines criteria for eluate (Laga-Richtlinie, 1998)	166
Table 50:	Analysis of soil material / Concentration of metals in coagulated material and filter cake (mg/kg)	167
Table 51:	Change of the concentrations of materials in absolute values, with the concentration from the filter cake without EC and CA as initial value	168
Table 52:	Properties of the B1 4 % bentonite suspension mixed with filtrate and tap water	170
Table 53:	Parameter limitations in wastewater regulations of city Bochum (Abwassersatzung der Stadt Bochum, 2011).....	172
Table 54:	Filtrate water quality	172
Table 55:	Dead volume at different electrode gaps	175
Table 56:	Electricity costs: PES5 and PES6_EC5 (after Popovic & Schößler, 2019)	188
Table 57:	Electrodissolution of aluminium in the Best Combo experiment.....	189
Table 58:	Aluminium costs for experiments from PES5 and the Best Combo experiment (PES6_EC5).....	190

Table 59:	Costs of conventional conditioning from three tunnelling projects (Popovic & Schößler, 2019).....	190
Table 60:	Amount of discharged used suspension per 1 m ³ excavation in dependence of the density of the used suspension at the time of discharge and dispersion degree of the soil (Popovic & Schößler, 2019)	191
Table 61:	Approximation of costs for CA from the Project 1 (€/m ³ suspension).....	192
Table 62:	Operational cost of EC in different countries [€/m ³ used suspension].....	194
Table 63:	Fresh water costs in several European cities in 2013 (\$/m ³) (Rahaman & Ahmed, 2016)....	195

List of Abbreviations

%	Percent
$(Al_2(SO_4)_3)$	Aluminium sulfate
AbfAbIV	Abfallablagungsverordnung (* Waste Disposal Ordinance)
AC	Alternating current
AG	Aktien Gesellschaft
Al	Aluminium
$Al(OH)_3$	Aluminium hydroxide
$Al(OH)_4^-$	Tetrahydroxoaluminat-ion
approx..	Approximately
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
AwSV	Verordnung über Anlagen zum Umgang mit wassergefährdenden Stoffen (Ordinance on facilities for handling substances that are hazardous to water)
BP	Bipolar electrodes
CA	Conditioning agents
ccc	Critical coagulation concentration
CFP	Chamber filter press
Cl	Chlor
cm	Centimetre
Cr	Chromium
DC	Direct current
DepV	Verordnung über Deponien und Langzeitlager (Deponieverordnung), Deponieverordnung (* Land-fill Ordinance)
DIN	Deutsches Institut für Normungen (German Institute for Standardization)
DK	Deposition class
DLVO	Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek-Theorie
e.g	For example
EC	Electrocoagulation
Eq	Equation
exp	Experiment
Fe	Iron
$Fe(OH)_2$	Iron (II)-hydroxid
$Fe(OH)_3$	Iron (III)-hydroxide
$FeCl_3$	Ferric chloride
FW	Filtrate water
GmbH	Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung (Company with limited liability)
i.e.	lat. id est, meaning
IEP	Isoelectric point
kg	Kilogram

LAGA	Länderarbeitsgemeinschaft Abfall, Bund/Länder-Arbeitsgemeinschaft Abfall (Federal / State Working Group on Waste)
LCFP	Laboratory chamber filter press
LEL	Lower explosive limit
Li	Lithium
Mg	Magnesium
mm	Millimetre
MP-P	Monopolar electrodes in parallel connection
MP-S	Monopolar electrodes in serial
NaCl	Sodium chloride
pH	potentia hydrogenii
prEN	European standard - draft
PZC	Point of zero charge
PZD	Negative logarithm of the Fe(x) concentration, Pre-dominance-zone diagram
SUS	Standard used suspension
t	Time
TDS	Total dissolved solids
TOT layer	Tetrahedral-octahedral-tetrahedral layer
UEL	Upper explosive limit
VwVwS	Verwaltungsvorschrift wassergefährdende Stoffe (Administrative Regulation on Water-Polluting Substances)
WGK	Water hazard class
WHG	Wasserhaushaltsgesetz (Water Resources Act)
Zn	Zinc

List of Symbols

ΔFt_{EC}	[-]	Change of filtration time after EC
ΔFV_{EC}	[-]	Change of filtration volume after EC
ΔFW	[%]	Increase of the filtrate water release
$\Delta \rho$	[%]	Decrease of the remaining suspension density
A	[cm ²]	Electrode surface area
A	[m ²]	Cross section area
B	[g]	Dry weight of coagulated bentonite
B ₀	[g]	Dry weight of bentonite particles in suspension
B _{kt}	[%]	Percentage of coagulated bentonite
C _e	[%]	Current efficiency
C _{el}	[nM]	Concentration of electrolytes
F	[As/mol]	Faraday constant = 96485
F%	[-]	Time ratio of a filtration process in one filtration cycle
FV	[-]	Factor for decrease of filtration volume due to EC
FW ₀	[ml]	Filter water release of the suspension before EC treatment (obtained using the API filter press)
FW _r	[ml]	Filter water release of the remaining suspension (obtained using the API filter press)
FW _{rel}	[l]	Filtrate water release at the end of the filtration (obtained using the laboratory chamber filter press)
I	[A]	Electrical current
K	[W ⁻¹ m ⁻¹]	Specific electrical conductivity
L	[m]	Length
M	[g/mol]	Molar mass
m _{d,cm}	[kg]	Dry weight of coagulated material
m _{d,cm}	[kg]	Dry weight of filter cakes
m _{w,cm}	[kg]	Wet weight of coagulated material
m _{w,cm}	[kg]	Wet weight of filter cakes
P	[kWh]	Power consumption
P _{cfp}	[-]	Factor for change of productivity of chamber filter press with EC
P _{sep}	[-]	Factor for productivity of separation process when replacing CA with EC
R	[Jmol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹]	Ideal gas constant = 8.314
R	[Ω]	Resistance
R _{cfp}	[-]	Factor for calculation of required chamber filter press capacity when replacing CA with EC
RM _{cm}	[-]	Residual moisture of coagulated material
RM _{fc}	[-]	Residual moisture of the filter cake
T	[K]	Absolute temperature of the produced gas
t	[h]	Time
t _{FW,CA}	[h]	Filtration time after CA
t _{FW,EC}	[h]	Filtration time after EC
U	[V]	Voltage

V	[l]	Volume of the produced gas
V_a	[J]	Van der Waals attractive energy
V_b	[J]	Barrier to redispersion
V_{CA}	[m ³]	Volume of CA added to used suspension prior to filtration (per m ³ used suspension)
V_{cfp}	[m ³]	Volume of chamber filter press
V_{CM}	[m ³]	Volume of coagulated material
$V_{FW,CA}$	[m ³]	Filtrate water discharge with CA
$V_{FW,EC}$	[m ³]	Filtrate water discharge after EC
V_m	[J]	Maximal energy barrier
V_r	[J]	Interparticle double layer repulsion energy
$V_{S,CM}$	[m ³]	Volume of soil in coagulated material
V_t	[J]	Total interaction energy
$V_{W,CM}$	[m ³]	Volume of water in coagulated material per m ³ suspension
Z	[-]	Valence of ions of the substance
δ_s	[t/m ³]	Grain density
δ_{SUS}	[t/m ³]	Suspension density
Z	[mV]	Zeta potential
μm	[1 μ m]	Micrometre
ρ_o	[g/cm ³]	Density of suspension before the EC treatment
ρ_r	[g/cm ³]	Remaining suspension density
ρ_w	[g/cm ³]	Water density
