



OTTO VON GUERICKE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
MAGDEBURG


CONTRIBUTIONS IN  
SYSTEMS THEORY AND  
AUTOMATIC CONTROL

---

Daniel Hast

# Structured Design of Parametric Fault Candidates

A set-based Approach



# **Structured Design of Parametric Fault Candidates – A set-based Approach**

**Dissertation**

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

**Doktoringenieur (Dr.-Ing.)**

von

**Daniel Hast**

geboren am 17. Februar 1985 in Hagen (Westfalen)

genehmigt durch die Fakultät für Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik der  
Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg

Gutachter:

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Rolf Findeisen

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Martin Mönningmann

Prof. Richard D. Braatz

eingereicht am 20. Mai 2016

Promotionskolloquium am 02. Juli 2019



**Contributions in Systems Theory and Automatic Control**  
**Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg**

Band 8

**Daniel Hast**

**Structured Design of Parametric Fault Candidates**

*A set-based Approach*

Shaker Verlag  
Düren 2019

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Magdeburg, Univ., Diss., 2019

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2019

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-6917-4

ISSN 2192-2799

Shaker Verlag GmbH • Am Langen Graben 15a • 52353 Düren

Phone: 0049/2421/99011-0 • Telefax: 0049/2421/99011-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

*“If you can’t explain it simply, you don’t understand it well enough.”*

*Albert Einstein*



# Acknowledgments

This thesis is the result of my PhD studies in the Advance Development Department of the Bosch Rexroth AG in Horb am Neckar and as external associate at the Systems and Control Group at the Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology at Otto-von-Guericke Universität Magdeburg. Among all the people who made this work possible in one way or another, I would like to thank some of them in particular.

At first I thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. Rolf Findeisen for supervising this work and giving me the opportunity to pursue this work as an external associate. Furthermore, I thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. Martin Mönnigmann from the Ruhr Universität Bochum and Prof. Richard D. Braatz from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology for their willingness to act as external reviewers of my thesis.

Furthermore I thank Wilfried Eichner and Björn Beuter, who encouraged me to keep on track as well as Bernhard Adler and Markus Gottfried who made this work possible at Bosch Rexroth. Further thanks go out to all of my peers within Bosch and at the OvGU from which I particularly mention Mateusz Sniegucki, Stefan Streif, Anton Savchenko and Peter Krause.

I thank my parents, friends and family for their continued support and encouragement, while my deepest gratitude and love are due to my beloved wife Anna-Lena and sons Emil and Ole who have cheered me up again and again.





# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>IX</b>
<b>Deutsche Kurzfassung</b>	<b>XIII</b>
<b>1 Specification and Diagnosis of Parametric Faults</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Parametric Faults in Hydraulic Axial Piston Pumps . . . . .	5
1.2 Outline . . . . .	10
1.3 Contributions . . . . .	11
<b>2 Model-Based Diagnosis for Parametric Faults</b>	<b>14</b>
2.1 Principle of Model-Based Diagnosis . . . . .	17
2.2 Fault Diagnosis – a Set-Based Perspective . . . . .	24
2.2.1 Consistency of Fault Candidates . . . . .	30
2.2.2 Proving Infeasibility . . . . .	32
2.3 Introductory Example: Hydraulic Pressure Compensator . . . . .	35
2.3.1 State and Parameter Estimation for the Hydraulic Pressure Compensator . . . . .	40
2.3.2 Fault Scenarios and Diagnosis for Hydraulic Pressure Compensator	43
2.4 Challenges and Issues in Fault Diagnosis . . . . .	45
2.5 Summary . . . . .	46
<b>3 Fault Specification and Parametric Representation</b>	<b>48</b>
3.1 Fault Representation Schemes . . . . .	49
3.2 The Fault-Representation Problem . . . . .	52
3.3 Parametric Representation of Fault Specifications . . . . .	55

3.4	Parametric Fault Representation for a Hydraulic Pressure Compensator	59
3.5	Summary	61
<b>4</b>	<b>Robust Diagnosability of Fault Candidates</b>	<b>63</b>
4.1	The Diagnosability Tradeoff	65
4.2	The Diagnosability Problem for Parametric Faults	68
4.2.1	Diagnosability Analysis and Preservation	69
4.2.2	Set-based Formulation of Strong Diagnosability	72
4.3	Parametric Redesign of Fault Candidates	73
4.4	Redesign of Fault Candidates for the Hydraulic Pressure Compensator	81
4.5	Summary	83
<b>5</b>	<b>Performance oriented Fault Specification for Hydraulic Axial Piston Pumps</b>	<b>85</b>
5.1	Performance Requirements and Faults for Hydraulic Axial Piston Pumps	85
5.2	Modeling of Hydraulic Axial-Piston-Pumps	89
5.2.1	Quantitative Modeling	90
5.2.2	Quantitative Performance Specification	92
5.2.3	Qualitative Modeling	94
5.3	Parametric Reformulation of Fault Specifications	96
5.3.1	Determining Parameter Sets for the Fault Candidates	99
5.3.2	Improving Set-based Diagnosability	100
5.4	Experimental Setup	102
5.4.1	Test-Bench Setup and Sensor Properties	102
5.4.2	Experimental Fault Synthesis	105
5.4.3	Fault Diagnosis Results	105
5.5	Summary	107
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and Perspectives</b>	<b>109</b>
	<b>Infeasibility Certificates and Convex Relaxations</b>	<b>131</b>