

Silvija Markic

Studies on Freshman Science Student Teachers' Beliefs about Science Teaching and Learning

Dissertation Universität Bremen

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2008

Dem Fachbereich Biologie/Chemie der Universität Bremen zur
Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Naturwissenschaften (Dr. rer. nat.)
vorgelegte Dissertation

Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Ingo Eilks
 Prof. Dr. Albert Pilot

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 18.Januar 2008

Beiträge zur Didaktik

Silvija Markic

**Studies on Freshman Science Student Teachers'
Beliefs about Science Teaching and Learning**

D 46 (Diss. Universität Bremen)

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2008

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Bremen, Univ., Diss., 2008

Dem Fachbereich Biologie/Chemie der Universität Bremen zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Naturwissenschaften (Dr. rer. nat.) vorgelegte Dissertation

Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Ingo Eilks
 Prof. Dr. Albert Pilot

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 18. Januar 2008

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2008

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8322-7267-8

ISSN 1610-3912

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

An dieser Stelle möchte ich Herrn Prof. Dr. Ingo Eilks für die interessante Themenstellung und die Förderung dieser Arbeit danken. Weiterer Dank sei allen gesagt, die mir in einer oder anderen Weise durch tatkräftige Hilfe oder mentale Unterstützung zur Seite standen.

“Perhaps the most important single cause of a person’s success or failure educationally has to do with the question of what he believes about himself”.

(Arthur Combs as cited Pajares, 1992, 307).

Mojoj majci ...

Content

I. Introduction and Research Background

1. Introduction	2
2. Research on Science Student Teachers' Beliefs – Definition and Research Background	7
2.1 Historical Origins of the Term <i>Beliefs</i>	7
2.2 A Definition of <i>Beliefs</i>	8
2.3 The Nature of <i>Beliefs</i>	12
2.4 Relationship between Beliefs and Practice	16
2.5 Problems of Evaluating and Analysing Beliefs	18
3. Focus of the Thesis, an Overview of Related research and an Outline of the Research Question	21

II. Qualitative Study

4. Freshman Science Student Teachers` Beliefs about Teaching and Learning in Science	32
4.1 Research Questions	33
4.2 Developing an Explorative Instrument Based on the Central Idea of the “Draw-A-Science-Teacher-Test Checklist”	33
4.2.1 Modifying the “Draw-A-Science-Teacher-Test Checklist” to Achieve More Open and Explorative Questionnaire	33
4.2.2 Sample of the Pilot Study.....	35
4.2.3 Method: Using Grounded Theory for the Development of an Analysis Pattern and Rating of the Pilot Sample	36
4.2.4 Results of the Pilot Study and Discussion	40
4.2.4.1 Reflection on the Questionnaire and the Evaluation Pattern	40
4.2.4.2 Two Illustrative Examples from the Sample.....	40
4.2.4.3 Initial Results from the Pilot Study	42
4.2.4.4 Combinations of the Codes and Reflecting on the Selection of the Core Category	44
4.2.4.5 Overall Consideration of the Development of the Evaluation Pattern by Grounded Theory	48
4.3 Evaluating Student Teachers' Beliefs on Science Teaching and Learning through Modified Application of the Central Idea of DASTT-C.....	50
4.3.1 Sample	50
4.3.2 Method	52
4.3.3 Results for the Main Study	52
4.3.4 Results from the Pilot Study and Main Study	57
4.4 Discussion and Implications	61

III. Quantitative Studies

5. Freshman Science Student Teachers` Curricular Beliefs	65
5.1 Research Questions	66
5.2 The Theory of Concept of the Curriculum Emphases	66
5.3 A Questionnaire on Science Teachers` Curricular Beliefs	70
5.4 Sample	72
5.5 Method	73
5.6 Results	74
5.6.1 Reliability	74
5.6.2 Freshman Science Student Teachers` Curricular Beliefs	74
5.6.3 Freshman Physics Student Teachers` Curricular Beliefs	76
5.6.4 Freshman Chemistry Student Teachers` Curricular Beliefs	77
5.6.5 Freshman Biology Student Teachers` Curricular Beliefs	78
5.6.6 Freshman Primary Science Student Teachers` Curricular Beliefs	79
5.6.7 Comparison between Freshman Science Student Teachers` Curricular Beliefs from the Four Different Sub-groups	80
5.7 Discussions and Implications	82
6. Freshman Science Student Teachers` Beliefs about the Nature of Science and the Nature of School Science	85
6.1 Research Questions	86
6.2 Using Historical Concepts to Distinguish Reflected and Unreflected Beliefs about the Nature of Science and the Nature of School Science	86
6.3 The Beliefs about Science and School Science Questionnaire (BASSSQ)	89
6.4 Sample.....	92
6.5 Method	93
6.6 Results	94
6.6.1 Reliability and Validity	94
6.6.2 Freshman Science Student Teachers` Beliefs about the Nature of Science and the Nature of School Science	96
6.6.3 Freshman Physics Student Teachers` Beliefs about the Nature of Science and the Nature of School Science.....	97
6.6.4 Freshman Chemistry Student Teachers` Beliefs about the Nature of Science and the Nature of School Science	98
6.6.5 Freshman Biology Student Teachers` Beliefs about the Nature of Science and the Nature of School Science	100
6.6.6 Freshman Primary Science Student Teachers` Beliefs about the Nature of Science and the Nature of School Science	101
6.6.7 Comparison of Freshman Science Student Teachers` Beliefs about the Nature of Science and the Nature of School Science from the Four Different Sub-groups	103
6.7 Discussion and Implications	105

7. A Quantitative View on Freshman Science Student Teachers' Beliefs about the Student- or Teacher-Centeredness of Science Teaching.....	107
7.1 Research Questions	108
7.2 Teacher-Centeredness vs. Student-Centeredness	108
7.3 Development and Structure of the "Draw-A-Science-Teacher-Test Checklist" (DASTT-C)	110
7.4 Sample	114
7.5 Method	115
7.6 Results	115
7.6.1 Reliability	115
7.6.2 Examples from the Sample	116
7.6.3 Freshman Science Student Teachers' Beliefs about the Student- or Teacher-Centeredness of Science Teaching	118
7.6.4 Parallels/Differences between the Four Sub-groups of Freshman Science Student Teachers' Beliefs about the Student- or Teacher-Centeredness of Science Teaching	119
7.7 Discussion and Implications	122

IV. Integration of Qualitative and Quantitative Studies – A Mixed Methods Approach

8. Integration of Qualitative and Quantitative Studie	125
8.1 Mixed Method Approaches	126
8.2 Research Questions	133
8.3. Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches in the Present Study	134
8.3.1 Qualitative Approach	
8.3.1.1. An Explorative Study Based on the Central Idea of the "Draw-A-Science-Teacher-Test Checklist"	134
8.3.2 Quantitative Approaches	
8.3.2.1 A Study about Freshman Science Student Teachers' Curricular Beliefs	135
8.3.2.2 A Study on Freshman Science Student Teachers' Beliefs about the Nature Science and the Nature of School Science	135
8.3.2.3 A Study on Freshman Science Student Teachers' Beliefs about Student- or Teacher-Centeredness of Science Teaching	136
8.4 Integration of the Qualitative and Quantitative Studies	137
8.4.1 Integrating the Data	
8.4.1.1 The 5-step Scale from the Qualitative Study	138
8.4.1.2 The Development of the 5-step Scales for the Quantitative Data	138
8.4.1.3 Integration of the Six 5-step Scales	143
8.4.2 Results	
8.4.2.1 Results for the Qualitative 5-step Scales	145
8.4.2.2. Results for the Quantitative 5-step Scales	145
8.4.2.3. Results for the Integration of the Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches	149
8.6 Discussion and Implications	150

V. Summary

9. Final Discussion and Implications	156
--	-----

References

10. References	162
----------------------	-----

Appendices

Appendix 1: Four Questionnaires for Four Groups of Freshman Science

Student Teachers	180
------------------------	-----

Appendix 2: Codes from Open Coding by Grounded Theory	189
---	-----

Appendix 3: Grouping on the Basis of Empirical Quantiles	195
--	-----