

**Entwicklung eines Protokolls zur objektiven und quantitativen  
Analyse des Bewegungsvermögens der oberen Extremitäten**

Von der Medizinischen Fakultät  
der Rheinisch-Westfälischen Technischen Hochschule Aachen  
zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades einer Doktorin der Theoretischen  
Medizin genehmigte Dissertation

vorgelegt von

Sybele Williams  
Master of Philosophy in Physics (The University of the West Indies), M.Sc.  
aus Tunapuna (Trinidad und Tobago)

Berichter:

Frau Universitätsprofessorin Dr. rer. nat. Catherine Dißelhorst-Klug  
Herr Universitätsprofessor Dr. rer. nat. Günter Rau

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 24. Januar 2011



---

**Sybele Williams**

---

**Entwicklung eines Protokolls zur objektiven  
und quantitativen Analyse des Bewegungs-  
vermögens der oberen Extremitäten**



**Helmholtz-Institut**  
für Biomedizinische Technik  
an der RWTH Aachen

---

Shaker Verlag

**Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek**

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

Zugl.: D 82 (Diss. RWTH Aachen University, 2011)

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2011

Alle Rechte, auch das des auszugsweisen Nachdruckes, der auszugsweisen oder vollständigen Wiedergabe, der Speicherung in Datenverarbeitungsanlagen und der Übersetzung, vorbehalten.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-0304-8

ISSN 1430-7316

Shaker Verlag GmbH • Postfach 101818 • 52018 Aachen

Telefon: 02407 / 95 96 - 0 • Telefax: 02407 / 95 96 - 9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • E-Mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

*For my parents*



## **Table of Contents**

List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	xi
List of Abbreviations	xiii
1. Introduction	1
1.1. The Significance of Movement	1
1.2. Functional Design and the Importance of the Upper Extremities	7
1.3. Assessment of Functional Capacity in the Upper Extremities	13
1.4. Assessment of Kinematics in the Upper Extremities	14
1.4.1. Experimental Methods for the Biomechanical Measurement of Joint Kinematics	16
1.4.1.1. Biplanar Radiographic Method	17
1.4.1.2. Electromechanical Linkage Method	18
1.4.1.3. Accelerometric Method	21
1.4.1.4. Stereometric Method	23
1.4.1.5. Optoelectronic Systems	25
1.4.1.6. Electromagnetic Systems	26
1.4.1.7. Acoustic Systems	27
1.4.1.8. Three-dimensional Motion Analysis	27
2. A Procedure for the Biomechanical Assessment of Movement in the Upper Extremities	31
2.1. Selection of a Measurement System	32
2.2. Biomechanical Model for the Investigation of Upper Limb Kinematics	33
2.2.1. Mathematical Description of the Rigid Body Model	36
2.2.1.1. Definition of Joint Centres	36

2.2.1.1.1. Joint Centre: Sternoclavicular Joint	37
2.2.1.1.2. Joint Centre: Shoulder Joint	38
2.2.1.1.3. Joint Centre: Elbow Joint	40
2.2.1.1.4. Joint Centre: Wrist Joint	40
2.2.2. Joint Coordinate Systems	40
2.2.2.1. Establishment of Joint Coordinate Systems	42
2.2.2.2. Coordinate System of the Hand	42
2.2.2.3. Coordinate System of the Elbow	43
2.2.2.4. Coordinate System of the Thorax	44
2.2.3. Axes of Rotation	47
2.2.3.1. Quantification of Relative Motion	47
2.3. Challenges Associated with the Analysis of Movement in the Upper Extremities	51
2.3.1. Specification of Movement Tests	51
2.4. Data Extraction and Visualisation	55
2.5. Clinically-Relevant Movement Parameters for the Description and Evaluation of Movement	58
 3. Clinical Validation on Patients with Compromised Shoulder Function	63
3.1. Screening Protocol for the Assessment of Functional Capacity in the Upper Extremities	63
3.2. Movement Tests and Analysis of Results	65
3.2.1. Tests for Proprioceptive Ability: Active Angle Reproduction Test (AART)	65
3.2.1.1. Analysis of Proprioceptive Ability: Active Angle Reproduction Test (AART)	66
3.2.2. Unconstrained Movements	76
3.2.2.1. Preliminary Assessment of Human Movement Patterns	76
3.2.2.2. Analysis of Unconstrained Movements Using Movement Parameters	85
3.2.3. Three-dimensional Object-oriented Tracking	93
3.2.3.1. Analysis of Movements during Object-oriented Tracking	95

3.2.3.1.1. Validation of the Reproducibility of Movement	95
3.2.3.1.2. Comparison of the 3-D Trajectories of Patients against a Healthy Collective	102
4. Discussion and Recommendations	107
5. Conclusion	117
6. References	123
Appendices	130
Appendix A: Advantages and Disadvantages of Various Indirect Kinematic Measurement Techniques	130
Appendix B: Patient Assessment Form – Functional Capacity of the Shoulder	135
Appendix C: Constant-Murley Form	138
Appendix D: Tabulation of Statistical Analysis of Results	142
List of Publications	157
Acknowledgements	158
Statement Regarding Data Storage	160
Curriculum Vitae	161

## List of Figures

Figure 1: Musculoskeletal System of the Human Body	2
Figure 2: Flexing the Forearm – Associated Lever System	3
Figure 3: Skeletal Framework of the Human Body	4
Figure 4: Comparison between the Structure of the Pectoral [(a) anterior view (b) posterior view] and Pelvic Girdle [anterior view]	9
Figure 5: Rotator Cuff of the Shoulder Joint	11
Figure 6: Subdivisions of Mechanics	15
Figure 7: Electromechanical Linkage Devices I (a) Standard Goniometer (b) Electrogoniometer (Elgon) Type I	19
Figure 8: Electromechanical Linkage Devices II (a) Exoskeleton (b) Elgon Type II	20
Figure 9: Schematic for a Single-Axis Accelerometer	21
Figure 10: Principle behind Three-Dimensional Motion Analysis	28
Figure 11: Camera used in a 3-D Motion Analysis System	29
Figure 12: Rigid Segments of the Upper Body	36
Figure 13: Static Calibration Trial: Axis Definition	37
Figure 14: Linkages between Thorax and Upper Arm Segments	38
Figure 15: Segment and Joint Markers	41
Figure 16: Definition of the Coordinate System for the Wrist Joint according to the Biomechanical Model	42
Figure 17: Definition of the Coordinate System of the Elbow Joint according to the Biomechanical Model	43
Figure 18: Definition of the Coordinate Systems of the (a) Thorax and (b) Shoulder according to the Biomechanical Model	45
Figure 19: Definition of the Coordinate System of the Sternoclavicular Joint according to the Biomechanical Model	46
Figure 20: Mitsubishi RV-M2 Movemaster Robot	53

Figure 21: Graphic Representation of Pre-programmed Robot Test Path	54
Figure 22: Movement Analysis Incorporating Robot-Controlled Movement Paths	54
Figure 23: Normalisation of Kinematic Data for a Healthy Subject Movement Test: Reach behind the Neck	57
Figure 24: Placement of Marker Triads	65
Figure 25: Main Effect of Wellness (Condition 1: m/WVC/d)	69
Figure 26: Estimated Marginal Means of Difference in Re-positioning Arm vs. Wellness for various Test Angles (Condition 1: m/WVC/d)	70
Figure 27: Estimated Marginal Means of Difference in Re-positioning Arm vs. Test Angle Set for various levels of Wellness (Condition 1: m/WVC/d)	71
Figure 28: Main Effect of Wellness (Condition 2: m/NVC/d)	73
Figure 29: Main Effect of Wellness (Condition 4: f/NVC/d)	75
Figure 30: Main Effect of Test Angle Set (Condition 4: f/NVC/d)	75
Figure 31: Duration vs. Arm Dominance Average and Standard Deviation (Age group: 18-39 yrs., healthy, untrained) Movement Test: Reach behind the Neck	78
Figure 32: Duration vs. Arm dominance (Median of all durations) (Age group: 18-39 yrs., healthy, untrained) Movement Test: Reach behind the Neck	79
Figure 33: Variation of Duration with Gender and Training (Age Group: 18-39 yrs., healthy) Movement Test: Reach behind the Neck	79
Figure 34: Duration vs. Arm dominance, Average and Standard Deviation (Age group: 18-39 yrs., healthy, untrained) Movement Test: Parking Token	82
Figure 35: Duration vs. Arm Dominance, Median of durations (Age group: 18-39 yrs., healthy, untrained) Movement Test: Parking Token	82
Figure 36: Variation of Duration with Gender and Training (Age Group: 18-39 yrs., healthy) Movement Test: Parking Token	83

Figure 37: Variation of Duration with Training and Health Status (Age Group: 40 -79 yrs., healthy subject and patients)	
Movement Test: Parking Token	84
Figure 38: Patient A, Movement Test: Parking Token	86
Figure 39: Patient B, Movement Test: Parking Token	87
Figure 40: Starting Position for Robot Controlled Object-Oriented Tracking	94
Figure 41: Reproducibility of Movement during Object-Oriented Tracking (Patient A, Joint: Shoulder)	97
Figure 42: Reproducibility of Movement during Object-Oriented Tracking (Patient A, Joint: Elbow)	98
Figure 43: Reproducibility of Movement during Object-Oriented Tracking (Patient A, Joint: Sternoclavicular)	98
Figure 44: Reproducibility of Movement during Object-Oriented Tracking (Patient B, Joint: Shoulder)	99
Figure 45: Reproducibility of Movement during Object-Oriented Tracking (Patient B, Joint: Elbow)	99
Figure 46: Reproducibility of Movement during Object-Oriented Tracking (Patient B, Joint: Sternoclavicular)	100
Figure 47: Comparison of Changes in 3-D Trajectories during Object-Oriented Tracking (Patient A, Joint: Shoulder)	102
Figure 48: Comparison of Changes in 3-D Trajectories during Object-Oriented Tracking (Patient A, Joint: Elbow)	103
Figure 49: Comparison of Changes in 3-D Trajectories during Object-Oriented Tracking (Patient A, Joint: Sternoclavicular)	103
Figure 50: Comparison of Changes in 3-D Trajectories during Object-Oriented Tracking (Patient B, Joint: Shoulder)	104
Figure 51: Comparison of Changes in 3-D Trajectories during Object-Oriented Tracking (Patient B, Joint: Elbow)	104
Figure 52: Comparison of Changes in 3-D Trajectories during Object-Oriented Tracking (Patient B, Joint: Sternoclavicular)	105

## List of Tables

Table 1: Bones of the Appendicular Skeleton	5
Table 2: Features Distinguishing the Upper Extremities from the Lower Extremities	7
Table 3: Differences between the Construction of the Pectoral and Pelvic Girdles	8
Table 4: Comparison between the Challenges associated with Gait Analysis and the Analysis of Movement in the Upper Extremities	34
Table 5: Definition of the variables 'Wellness' and 'Test Angle Set'	67
Table 6: Conditions for Statistical Analysis	67
Table 7: Results for the Different Subject Groups Movement Test: Reach behind the Neck	77
Table 8: Results for the Different Subject Groups Movement Test: Parking Token	81
Table 9: Percentage of Movement outside the Standard Deviation (Movement test: Parking Token, All joints)	88
Table 10: Sample Results for the Clinical Feature 'Duration' (Movement test: Parking Token, Joint: shoulder, Joint axis: Flex/Ext)	89
Table 11: Sample Results for the Clinical Feature 'Reproducibility' (Movement test: Parking Token, All joints)	90
Table 12: Sample Results for the Clinical Feature 'Smoothness' (Movement test: Parking Token)	91
Table 13: Sample Results for the Clinical Feature 'Speed Profile' (Movement test: Parking Token)	92
Table 14: Comparison of Reproducibility among Four Subject Groups	96
Table 15: Summary of the Reproducibility of Movement during Object-Oriented Tracking	101
Table 16: Comparison of Changes in 3-D Trajectories during Object-Oriented Tracking	106

Table 17: Test of Normality (Condition 1: m/WVC/d)	143
Table 18: Levene's Test (Condition 1: m/WVC/d)	143
Table 19: ANOVA Summary (Condition 1: m/WVC/d)	144
Table 20: Estimated Marginal Means of DV for Wellness (Condition 1: m/WVC/d)	144
Table 21: Estimated Marginal Means for Wellness vs. Test Angle Set Interaction (Condition 1: m/WVC/d)	145
Table 22: Post Hoc Test for Wellness (Tukey HSD) (Condition 1: m/WVC/d)	146
Table 23: Post Hoc Test for Wellness (Hochberg GT2) (Condition 1: m/WVC/d)	147
Table 24: Post Hoc Test for Wellness (Games-Howell) (Condition 1: m/WVC/d)	148
Table 25: Tests of Normality (Condition 2: m/NVC/d)	149
Table 26: Levene's Test (Condition 2: m/NVC/d)	149
Table 27: ANOVA Summary Table (Condition 2: m/NVC/d)	150
Table 28: Estimated Marginal Means of DV for Wellness (Condition 2: m/NVC/d)	150
Table 29: Post Hoc Test for Wellness (Games-Howell) (Condition 2: m/NVC/d)	151
Table 30: Tests of Normality (Condition 3: f/WVC/d)	152
Table 31: Levene's Test (Condition 3: f/WVC/d)	152
Table 32: ANOVA Summary Table (Condition 3: f/WVC/d)	153
Table 33: Tests of Normality (Condition 4: f/NVC/d)	154
Table 34: Levene's Test (Condition 4: f/NVC/d)	154
Table 35: ANOVA Summary Table (Condition 4: f/NVC/d)	155
Table 36: Estimated Marginal Means of DV for Wellness (Condition 4: f/NVC/d)	155
Table 37: Estimated Marginal Means of DV for Test Angle Set (Condition 4: f/NVC/d)	156

## List of Abbreviations

AART	: Active angle reproduction test
ADL	: Activities of daily living
ANOVA	: Analysis of variance
CCD	: Charge-coupled device
$c_{TH}$ , sternoclavicular $c_S$ , shoulder $c_E$ , elbow $c_W$ , wrist	} : Joint centres
DOF	: Degree(s) of freedom
DV	: Dependent variable
EM	: Electromagnetic
LED	: Light-emitting diode
$m_r$ , right; $m_l$ , left	} : Thorax markers
NVC	: No visual control
ROM	: Range of motion
$r(t)$	: Direction vector of longitudinal axis of clavicle
SAIS	: Subacromial impingement syndrome
SEMG	: Surface electromyography
$t_R$	: Time of static reference measurement
$T_{TH}$ , Thorax; $T_{Cl}$ , Clavicle; $T_{UA}$ , Upper Arm; $T_{FA}$ , Forearm	} Homogenous transformation matrices representing the segment coordinate systems
$\Theta$ , $\Gamma$ and $\Phi$	: Rotation angles
3-D	: Three-dimensional
2-D	: Two-dimensional
VAS	: Visual analogue scale
WVC	: With visual control