

Unequal Error Protection Adaptive Modulation in Multicarrier Systems

BY KHALED SHAWKY HASSAN
k.hassan@jacobs-university.de

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy
in Electrical Engineering



JACOBS
UNIVERSITY

Dissertation Committee:

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Werner Henkel, Jacobs University Bremen

Prof. Dr. Götz Pfander, Jacobs University Bremen

Prof. Dr. Sören Peik, Hochschule Bremen

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Robert Fischer, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jürgen Lindner, University of Ulm

Date of Defense: November 5, 2010

School of Engineering and Science

Jacobs University Bremen

Communications and Coding Series

Khaled Shawky Hassan

**Unequal Error Protection Adaptive Modulation
in Multicarrier Systems**

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2011

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Jacobs University Bremen, Diss., 2010

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2011

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-0163-1

ISSN 1868-0291

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

Abstract

Unequal Error Protection (UEP) is the key to future prioritized data communication, multilevel quality of services (QoSs), and scalable multimedia transmission. This means that these applications provide data of different importance and require different error protection. Under these conditions, multicarrier modulation is highly recommended due to its suitability for adapting individual sub-carriers, which are subject to different channel conditions, with different bit rates, code rates, and powers according to any given performance constraints.

So far, many channel adaptive bit-loading algorithms in multicarrier systems have been developed and new coding schemes have dramatically enhanced the system performance. However, these algorithms treat all sub-carriers equally (non-UEP), i.e., the average error rate will roughly be the same for all subcarriers. Based on some of these readily available bit-loading algorithms, we propose a set of new UEP bit-loading schemes that allow for allocating an arbitrary number of bits with arbitrary noise margins or symbol error-ratios (SERs), thereby realizing UEP at the modulation level. In these schemes, the subcarriers are subdivided into smaller sets, where each set is dedicated to a certain priority class. Moreover, we propose different partitioning schemes that require minimum complexity and overhead.

In general, adaptive transmission techniques require closed-loop communications by using feedback links or exploiting channel reciprocity. In this thesis, closed-loop adaptive schemes have been realized for two different physical single-input single-output (SISO) channels, the wired and the wireless. In wired systems, the channel transfer function is considered to be deterministic and, therefore, requires very limited monitoring. However, it suffers from non-stationary impulse noise. In this case, a robust signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) sorting scheme has been proposed to better protect the high-priority data. In contrast to the wired channels, the wireless ones are more susceptible to varying conditions due to mobility. This implicates a study of the feedback link quality and reliability.

We introduce two different subcarrier allocation methods. The first method is a sub-carrier partitioning mechanism, where a given set of (sorted) subcarriers are divided amongst different priority classes in order to preserve certain QoSs. This can be realized, or approximated, using a set of complex nested iterations. As an alternative to the first method, a multilevel (hierarchical and non-hierarchical) modulation technique has been introduced to avoid lengthy searching and sorting.

As an extension to our work, we modify these prioritized adaptation schemes to realize UEP in multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) channels as well. Hereto, the spatial and the spectral information, provided by the channel state information (CSI), will be exploited to realize UEP. This new prioritized adaptation, combined with the SNR robust sorting, results in a trade-off between spatial multiplexing and diversity gains in case of CSI uncertainties and different antenna correlations.

Moreover, we consider bit-loading and channel adaptation techniques for multiuser systems with different QoS requirements. This has been performed by extending our conventional UEP approaches to achieve prioritized transmission across a given number of users using orthogonal

frequency division multiple access (OFDMA), block-diagonalized (BD) space division multiple access (SDMA), and non-orthogonal SDMA. Using MIMO-OFDMA, we succeed in maximizing the capacity by utilizing the different users' eigenchannels and exploiting the multiuser diversity. One user in a BD-SDMA enjoys the orthogonal projection to the other users' null-space. Thus, multiuser interference (MUI) is completely eliminated unless the CSI at the transmitter is incorrect. Even then, our UEP adaptive scheme succeeds in protecting the important classes. Finally, we consider the non-diagonal SDMA, which is the most challenging approach in this thesis. However, in this case, our prioritized transmission outperforms the multiuser multiple access technique using minimum mean-square error (MMSE) linear filtering without QoS constraints. After all, our proposed algorithms succeed in realizing the proposed UEP in wireline and wireless environments under diverse channel conditions and different link-qualities. Furthermore, we show that our prioritized transmission schemes exploit limited feedback regimes efficiently, where they consistently outperform either non-adaptive or adaptive methods with equal error protection (EEP).

Acknowledgements

This work is the outcome of my research in the transmission systems group at the School of Engineering and Science at Jacobs University Bremen, Germany.

First of all, I would like to thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. Werner Henkel for his uncompromising principles and many examples of scholarly work. I have benefited from his insistence on carefully organized writing and clear logic. I am also grateful for the freedom he gave me and the convenient work environment.

Likewise, I want to thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. Robert F.H. Fischer, Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jürgen Lindner, Prof. Dr. Götz Pfander, and Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sören Peik for accepting to join the dissertation committee.

I would also like to thank all my previous and current colleagues at the transmission systems group who have contributed to make this time such a great one. This includes: Neele von Deetzen, Fangning Hu, Apirath Limmanee, Humberto Beltrão Neto, Behrouz Touri, Oana Graur, Khodr Saaifan, Abdul Wakeel, and Jalal Etesami for the interesting, multicultural work environment, for valuable discussions, and the real support with my thesis.

I want to express my sincere love and thanks to my wife, Hala, for her consistent prayers, encouragement, and support during this entire work. I cannot forget my little kids, Mariam and Yusuf. They gave me a continuous smile for the last 5 years.

Finally, I want to thank my family, especially my father Shawky, my mother Manal, my two sweet sisters, Nahla and Nashwa, and my elder brother Amr. Without their encouragement and their moral support, I couldn't have been able to reach this point now.

Special thanks to all my friends here in Bremen who had made my life much easier and more enjoyable: El-Shazly, Iyad, Nour, Dyab, Mousa, and Hamdy.

For funding my work, I would like to thank Jacobs University for the continuous support during my Master's and my Ph.D. I would like express my gratitude towards DFG as well.

Thank you all!

I confirm that this dissertation represents my own work. The contribution of any supervisors and others to the research and to the dissertation was consistent with normal supervisory practice.

Khaled Shawky Hassan
Bremen, November 2010

Dedication

To my mother, my father, and my wife Hala

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Prioritized Adaptive Digital Communication	1
1.2	Motivation for Prioritized Adaptive Modulation	2
1.3	Channel Models	4
1.3.1	Guided Channel: Wireline Model	4
1.3.1.1	Stationary Crosstalk Noise and AWGN	5
1.3.1.2	Non-Stationary Impulse Noise	5
1.3.1.3	Cable Transfer Function	5
1.3.2	Unguided Channel: Wireless Dispersive Model	7
1.3.2.1	Single-Path Fast-Fading Wireless Channel	7
1.3.2.2	Multi-path Fast-fading Channel Impulse Response	9
2	Diagonalizing Transmission Systems	13
2.1	Orthogonal Multi-Carrier Transmission	13
2.1.1	OFDM Matrix Diagonalization	15
2.1.2	Water-Filling and Multi-Carrier Maximize the Sum Rate	18
2.1.3	Multi-Level Water-Filling: QoS vs Rate Maximization	20
2.2	Single-User Adaptive MIMO System	20
2.2.1	MIMO Channel Models	21
2.2.2	Closed-Loop MIMO Systems	24
2.2.2.1	Diagonalizing the MIMO Channel	25
2.2.2.2	Optimum Receiver Design and Achieving Channel Capacity	26
2.2.2.3	Capacity of a MIMO Channel Using Imperfect CSI	29
2.2.3	Adaptive MIMO with Prioritized Transmission	30
2.3	Multiuser Adaptive MIMO System	30
2.3.1	Spatial Beamforming and Capacity Maximization	31
2.3.1.1	Multiuser MIMO	31
2.3.1.2	Multiuser Beamforming in MIMO Channels	32
2.3.2	Multiuser Channel Block Diagonalization	33
2.3.2.1	Transmit/Receive Filter Design and Full Channel Diagonalization	34
2.3.2.2	MAC-BC Duality	35
2.3.2.3	Multiuser with Individual QoS Constraints	37
2.3.2.4	Minimizing the MSE and Throughput Maximization	38

2.3.3	Adaptive MU MIMO with QoS	39
3	UEP Adaptive Modulation	41
3.1	Bit-loading State-of-the-Art	42
3.1.1	Greedy Bit-Loading Methods	44
3.1.1.1	The Hughes-Hartogs Algorithm	44
3.1.1.2	Campello Algorithm	44
3.1.1.3	The George-Amrani Bit-Loading Algorithm	46
3.1.2	Quantized Bit-Loading Methods	46
3.1.2.1	The Chow-Cioffi-Bingham Algorithm	46
3.1.2.2	The Fischer-Huber Algorithm	48
3.1.2.3	Yu-Willson UEP Bit-Loading	51
3.2	UEP Bit-Loading and Power Allocation	53
3.2.1	Subcarrier Sorting and Partitioning	53
3.2.2	Chow-Like UEP Bit-Loading	54
3.2.2.1	UEP Chow-Like Bit-Loading - First Approach	55
3.2.2.2	UEP Chow-Like Bit-Loading SER Analysis - Second Approach	59
3.2.3	Fischer-Like UEP Bit-Loading	64
3.2.3.1	Fischer-Like UEP Bit-Loading – Iterative Method	64
3.2.3.2	UEP Fischer-Like Bit-Loading – Fast Method	68
3.2.4	UEP Bit Allocation Based on the Greedy Methods	70
3.2.5	Modified Hughes-Hartogs Algorithm for UEP Bit-Loading	70
3.2.5.1	Partitioning Scheme	71
3.2.5.2	UEP Bit-Loading Steps Based on Hughes-Hartogs Algorithm	72
3.2.6	Modified Campello Algorithm for UEP Bit-Loading	73
4	Adaptive UEP Multilevel Modulation	79
4.1	UEP with Hierarchical Modulation	81
4.1.1	Non-adaptive Hierarchical Modulation	82
4.1.2	Adaptive Multilevel Modulation	83
4.2	Hughes-Hartogs-Like Multilevel Adaptive Modulation	83
4.3	Campello-Like Multilevel Adaptive Modulation	85
5	Multiple-Input, Multiple-Output Channel with Prioritized Transmission	89
5.1	MIMO Channel Model	90
5.2	Limited Feedback Regimes	92
5.2.1	Quantized or Delayed Channel Feedback	92
5.2.2	Channel Covariance Feedback	93
5.3	Equalization for Diagonalized MIMO	95
5.3.1	Zero-forcing Linear Spatial Equalizer	95
5.3.2	Minimum Mean-Square Error Linear Equalizer	96
5.3.3	Successive Interference Cancellation using Modified V-BLAST	96
5.4	UEP Bit-loading for MIMO-OFDM	98
5.4.1	UEP Using Adaptive Non-Hierarchical Modulation	98

5.4.1.1	Using Perfect/Delayed/Quantized Feedback	100
5.4.1.2	Using Channel Covariance Feedback	101
5.4.2	UEP Using Adaptive Hierarchical and Multilevel Modulation	102
5.4.2.1	UEP Using Hughes-Hartogs-Like Adaptive Multilevel Modulation	102
5.4.2.2	UEP Using the Campello-Like Adaptive Multilevel Modulation	103
5.5	UEP MIMO-OFDM – Results and Analysis	105
5.5.1	Adaptive MIMO-OFDM with Limited CSI – Algorithm 5.2	106
5.5.1.1	Analytical SER for UEP Adaptive Modulation – Perfect CSI	107
5.5.1.2	Analytical SER for UEP Adaptive Modulation – Partial CSI	109
5.5.2	Spatial Equalizers and Imperfect CSI	110
5.5.2.1	Full Eigen-Beamforming	112
5.5.2.2	Reduced Eigen-Beamforming	113
5.5.2.3	Highly Correlated Channels	115
5.5.3	UEP Bit-loading with Channel Correlation Feedback	115
5.5.4	Compensating Channel Uncertainties Using Non-Linear Equalizers	117
5.5.5	Campello- and Huges-Hartogs-Like Adaptive Multilevel Modulation	119
5.6	Summary for Adaptive Prioritized MIMO-OFDM	124
6	Prioritized Multiuser Transmission	125
6.1	Multiuser MIMO Multicarrier with Simple Partitioning	127
6.1.1	MIMO-OFDMA Channel Model	128
6.1.2	System Model with Limited CSI Regime	128
6.1.3	UEP Adaptation Using Simple Subcarrier Partitioning	129
6.1.3.1	Multiuser Sorting Achieving Sum Rate Maximization	129
6.1.3.2	Multiuser Sorting Based on 1-D Beamforming	130
6.1.4	Multiuser UEP Bit-Loading	130
6.1.5	Analysis of MIMO-OFDMA Using UEP Bit-Loading	132
6.1.6	MIMO-OFDMA Performance with Perfect CSI	133
6.1.7	MIMO-OFDMA Performance with Imperfect CSI	135
6.2	Adaptive Multiuser MIMO Using Block Diagonalization	136
6.2.1	Block Diagonalized Channel Model	136
6.2.2	System Model and Null-Space Constraint	136
6.2.3	Adaptive Prioritized Multiuser Multilevel Modulation	138
6.2.3.1	Hierarchical Multilevel Modulation	138
6.2.3.2	Adaptive Algorithm for Prioritized QoS Bit-loading	139
6.2.4	Analysis of UEP Bit-loading Using Block Diagonalization	140
6.3	Non-diagonal Multiuser MIMO Using the MMSE Criterion	143
6.3.1	Formulation of the Sum-MSE	143
6.3.2	Prioritized Multiuser Transmission Using Weighted Sum-MSE	145
6.3.3	Throughput Maximization for Non-Diagonal SDMA	148
6.3.3.1	Per-User Sum Rate	148
6.3.3.2	UEP Bit-loading	149
6.3.3.3	Power Allocation	149

6.3.4	Analysis of the Non-Diagonal Multiuser Scheme with UEP Bit-Loading . . .	150
7	Summary and Outlook	155
7.1	Summary and Conclusions	155
7.2	Future Work	158
	Appendix	159
A	Binary Search	159
B	Equivalent Sum-Rate Calculations	160
C	Hierarchical Modulation Power Allocation	161
D	UEP Adaptation Using SISO Non-iterative Partitioning	165
E	Multiuser Water-Filling Using the MMSE Criterion	167
E.1	Proof of the Gradient Expression and KKT Conditions	169
E.2	Solving KKT Conditions for BD Downlink	171
E.3	Computation of the Lagrange Multiplier	172
F	MMSE Optimization Using Convex Optimization Tools	173
F.1	YALMIP Solver	174
G	Symbol-Error Ratio Calculations	175
G.1	Relation Between the Noise Margin and the SER	175
G.2	SER in Rayleigh Fading Channels	176
G.3	Suitability of SINR and MMSE for SER Calculation	176
G.4	SER for MIMO using ZF and MMSE Linear Equalizers	177
H	Linear Algebra Notes	181
H.1	Some Useful Notes on Linear Algebra	181
I	List of Mathematical Symbols	185
J	List of Acronyms	188
	Own Publications	190
	Bibliography	192

List of Algorithms

3.1	Original Campello MA bit-loading algorithm	45
3.2	Original RA algorithm proposed by Chow et al.	47
3.3	Original Fischer-Huber bit-loading algorithm	50
3.4	UEP Chow-like RA UEP bit-loading – first approach	55
3.5	UEP Chow-like RA UEP bit-loading – second approach	62
3.6	Fischer-like UEP bit-loading based on the modified Yu-Willson algorithm	66
3.7	Fischer-like UEP bit-loading based on the Chow-like algorithm	68
3.8	Hughes-Hartogs-like margin adaptive UEP bit-loading	72
3.9	Campello-like margin adaptive UEP bit-loading	75
4.1	Hughes-Hartogs-like margin adaptive UEP multilevel bit-loading	84
4.2	Campello-like UEP multilevel adaptive modulation:	86
5.1	V-BLAST for ordered systems with distorted diagonalization	97
5.2	UEP adaptive MIMO using the Chow-like bit-loading – second approach	100
5.3	UEP adaptive MIMO using channel covariance feedback	101
5.4	UEP multilevel MIMO bit-loading using the Hughes-Hartogs-like algorithm	103
5.5	UEP multilevel MIMO bit-loading using the Campello-like algorithm	104
6.1	QoS bit-loading based on the Chow-like algorithm for MIMO-OFDMA systems	131
6.2	Multiuser MIMO weighted sum-MSE using scaled gradient projection method	147
6.3	UEP bit-loading based on the Chow-like algorithm	150
A.1	Binary search	159