

# **A new Framework for Elections**

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan Tilburg University, op gezag van de rector magnificus, prof. dr. Ph. Eijlander, in het openbaar te verdedigen ten overstaan van een door het college voor promoties aangewezen commissie in de aula van de Universiteit op woensdag 12 december 2012 om 10:15 uur door

Manzoor Ahmed Zahid  
geboren op 1 januari 1967 te Pakpattan, Pakistan

promotor: prof. dr. H.C.M. de Swart

Promotiecommissie:

prof. dr. M. Hosli

prof. dr. J-L Garcia Lapresta

dr. R. Muskens

dr. A. Rusinowska

prof. dr. P. Ruys

dr. T. Storcken

To:

My Daughter, **Areeba Minhal**

© Copyright Shaker Publishing 2012

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in The Netherlands.

ISBN 978-90-434-0421-5

Shaker Publishing BV  
St. Maartenslaan 26  
6221 AX Maastricht

Tel.: 043-3500424

Fax: 043-3255090

Info@shaker.nl

[http:// www.shaker.nl](http://www.shaker.nl)

## Acknowledgements

It is the Higher Education Commission (HEC), Government of Pakistan, that enabled me by a grant to do this PhD research in the Netherlands, at the University of Tilburg, for a period of 4 years, starting April 2008.

At about the same time a project of the European Science Foundation (ESF) started, called SSEAC: Social Software for Elections, the Allocation of tenders and Coalition formation. This project was a Collaborative Research Project (CRP) in the LogiCCC programme of the ESF, in which research groups from Spain, Finland, Germany, France and the Netherlands were co-operating. This PhD thesis is written in the framework of this programme. The Dutch Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) provided the research group in Tilburg a grant for visiting conferences and for organizing a workshop.

The Education Department, Government of Punjab, also deserves special thanks for providing financial support and generous study leave that allowed me to study in the Netherlands. My sincere thanks to the Netherlands Organization for International Cooperation in Higher Education (NUFFIC) for its important mediating role with respect to HEC and myself.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Harrie de Swart, for his continuous support, enlightening guidance and valuable suggestions. I am lucky enough to work with such a nice and wonderful personality. He is an exceptional character both for his command of the subject and his expertise to facilitate his fellow beings. Moreover, his art of enhancing facilitation and creating opportunities for others is matchless. I am also grateful to the Department of Philosophy of the Faculty of Humanities of Tilburg University for the hospitality, providing me with office space, computer, and other academic facilities.

I am most thankful to prof. dr. M. Hosli (University of Leiden), prof. dr. J-L Garcia Lapresta (University of Valladolid, Spain), dr. R. Muskens (Tilburg University), prof. dr. P. Ruys (Tilburg University), dr. A. Rusinowska (Université Paris 1) and dr. T. Storcken (University of Maastricht) for being a member of my dissertation committee, and providing valuable comments and suggestions.

I feel that I am blessed to know a number of wonderful people that made

my life much more pleasant. Maqsood Ahmad, Dr. Moazzam Farooq, Dr. Muhammad Ather Elahi, Sohail Ahmad, Dr. Suleman Shahid, Dr. Amar Sahoo, Abdul Waheed, Ghulam Rubbaniy, Khalid Perviz, Ch. Muhammad Ashraf, Rasheed Ahmad Zahid, Mazhar Mansoor, Muhammad Amin, Muhammad Aslam Qadri and others. I would like to thank my friends, colleagues and officials at Tilburg University. I owe a word of gratitude to Dutch people in general, who are easy going and hospitable; not learning Dutch never became a problem during my stay in the Netherlands.

Last, but not the least, I owe much to my family - my mother, siblings, cousins, in-laws, children and especially my wife - who have been a constant and patient source of inspiration, for their unconditional support, and persistent encouragement, while I was abroad for my PhD study.

Chaudhary Manzoor Ahmad Zahid  
Tilburg, February 2012.

# Contents

|          |                                                         |           |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Preliminaries</b>                                    | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1      | Introduction . . . . .                                  | 1         |
| 1.2      | Basic Definitions . . . . .                             | 3         |
| 1.3      | Plurality Rule, Majority Rule and Borda Count . . . . . | 6         |
| 1.3.1    | Plurality Rule (PR) . . . . .                           | 6         |
| 1.3.2    | Majority Rule (MR; pairwise comparison) . . . . .       | 8         |
| 1.3.3    | Borda Count (BC) . . . . .                              | 12        |
| 1.4      | Saari's Triangles . . . . .                             | 16        |
| 1.5      | Other Voting Rules . . . . .                            | 22        |
| 1.5.1    | Approval Voting (AV) . . . . .                          | 22        |
| 1.5.2    | Alternative vote . . . . .                              | 23        |
| 1.5.3    | First-Past-The-Post (FPTP) method . . . . .             | 26        |
| 1.6      | Conclusion . . . . .                                    | 28        |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Majority Judgment Theory</b>                         | <b>33</b> |
| 2.1      | Introduction . . . . .                                  | 33        |
| 2.2      | Arrow's framework . . . . .                             | 34        |
| 2.3      | The framework of Majority Judgment . . . . .            | 39        |
| 2.4      | Tie-Breaking . . . . .                                  | 44        |
| 2.5      | Experiment . . . . .                                    | 46        |
| 2.6      | Properties of MJ . . . . .                              | 47        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Controversial Examples concerning MJ</b>             | <b>51</b> |
| 3.1      | Introduction . . . . .                                  | 51        |
| 3.2      | Controversial Examples . . . . .                        | 52        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Borda Majority Count</b>                             | <b>63</b> |
| 4.1      | Introduction . . . . .                                  | 63        |

|          |                                                      |            |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 4.2      | The Borda Majority Count (BMC) . . . . .             | 65         |
| 4.3      | Properties of the Borda Majority Count . . . . .     | 67         |
| 4.4      | Applications of BMC to particular examples . . . . . | 76         |
| 4.5      | Seat distribution . . . . .                          | 80         |
| 4.6      | Objections to BMC . . . . .                          | 81         |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Experimental Results</b>                          | <b>85</b>  |
| 5.1      | Introduction . . . . .                               | 85         |
| 5.2      | Background of the experiments . . . . .              | 87         |
| 5.3      | Question 1 . . . . .                                 | 89         |
| 5.4      | Question 2 . . . . .                                 | 90         |
| 5.4.1    | Range Voting . . . . .                               | 91         |
| 5.4.2    | Approval Voting . . . . .                            | 92         |
| 5.4.3    | Majority Judgment . . . . .                          | 93         |
| 5.4.4    | The Borda Majority Count . . . . .                   | 96         |
| 5.5      | About the number of grades . . . . .                 | 98         |
| 5.6      | Pairwise comparison . . . . .                        | 99         |
| 5.7      | Conclusion . . . . .                                 | 100        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Summary and Conclusions</b>                       | <b>103</b> |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Bibliography</b>                                  | <b>106</b> |
| <b>8</b> | <b>Index</b>                                         | <b>113</b> |