

Technische Universität Dresden

**Auditory-Tactile Music Perception**

**Sebastian Merchel**

von der Fakultät Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik  
der Technischen Universität Dresden

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades eines

**Doktoringenieurs**

(Dr.-Ing.)

genehmigte Dissertation

Vorsitzender: Prof. Dr. phil. nat. habil. Ronald Tetzlaff

Gutachter: Dr.-Ing. Ercan Altinsoy  
Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Armin Kohlrausch

Tag der Einreichung: 30. 08. 2013

Tag der Verteidigung: 22. 05. 2014



Berichte aus der Akustik

**Sebastian Merchel**

## **Auditory-Tactile Music Perception**

Shaker Verlag  
Aachen 2014

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Dresden, Techn. Univ., Diss., 2014

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2014

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-3161-4

ISSN 1611-1303

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

---

## Preface

My research would not have been possible without the support of *Dr. Ercan Altinsoy*, who has been my supervisor in all things concerning auditory-tactile perception, experimental methodology, and creative thinking. Thank you! I'm very grateful to *Prof. Ute Jekosch* for believing in me and giving me the opportunity for this work. I would also like to thank *Prof. Armin Kohlrausch* for his expertise and support.

Thanks to all of my colleagues and students at the Institute of Acoustics and Speech Communication, Chair of Communication Acoustics. You created a wonderful atmosphere, and I truly enjoyed working with you! I am particularly thankful for lively debates with *Jürgen Landgraf*, *Maik Stamm*, and *Robert Rosenkranz*. A special thanks to *Anna Schwendicke*, who has written her Diploma thesis under my supervision on auditory-tactile loudness perception. Some of the results are included in Section 5.5. A special thanks to *Margitta Lachmann* for her support with the preparation of the images. Thanks to all of the *participants* in my sometimes weird experiments. *Anne Postler*, thank you for your thorough proofreading. Thanks to my *fellow students* in the 'Group for Academic Arithmetic Sunshine' for your encouragement and long-lasting fellowship.

*To my parents*: thank you for making me what I am. *To all of my friends*: thank you! I will be back in the real world, I promise.

*To my wife and children*: I love you, and I am grateful for your support and understanding. Being with you has been the best distraction of all!

This thesis was written in the Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the Dresden University of Technology, Chair of Communication Acoustics. I am truly grateful for the opportunity to work and teach in such an exciting scientific environment.



---

## Abstract

Sound and vibrations are often perceived via the auditory and tactile senses simultaneously, e.g., in a car or during a rock concert. Even in a concert hall or a church, sound can excite suprathreshold vibrations in the ground or seats. If concert recordings are played back through headphones, this vibratory information is missing to date. The same holds true in the majority of cases for reproduction with multimedia or high-fidelity systems.

This thesis extends our understanding of the coupled perception of sound and vibration using the example of auditory-tactile music perception. The capabilities and limitations of both modalities are compared first. Unfortunately, particularly for the perception of vibrations at low levels, only limited knowledge exists to date. Therefore, the frequency discrimination and intensity perception of whole-body vibrations is investigated in several experiments. The most evident difference between both modalities is the dramatically reduced ability to distinguish between vibration frequencies in the tactile domain. Another important difference is the steeper growth of the perceived magnitude for touch compared to hearing. A new perceptually motivated measurement for the perceived vibration magnitude  $M$  is defined to represent human vibration intensity perception, comparable to auditory loudness  $N$ . Additionally, cross-modal effects are considered, e.g., the influence of whole-body vibrations on loudness perception. An auditory-tactile loudness illusion is proven.

In the second part of this work, it is investigated whether sound-induced whole-body vibrations influence the quality of a concert experience. Vibrations are found to play a significant role in the perception of music. The fundamental knowledge gained in the first part, is used to develop and evaluate various perceptually optimized approaches to generate vibrations from music sequences. The results can be applied to improve audio reproduction systems or even concert halls.





---

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	General Introduction	1
1.2	Objectives of the Thesis	3
1.3	Organization of the Thesis	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Comparison of the Auditory and Tactile Modalities</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Anatomy and Physiology	5
2.1.1	Auditory	5
2.1.2	Tactile	8
2.1.3	Neural Processing	12
2.2	Absolute Sensitivity	14
2.2.1	Sensation Area	14
2.2.2	Age and Gender	18
2.2.3	Energy Integration	20
2.2.4	Masking	22
2.2.5	Adaptation and Fatigue	26
2.3	Differential Sensitivity	28
2.3.1	Intensity Discrimination	28
2.3.2	Frequency Discrimination	31
2.3.3	Temporal Discrimination	33
2.3.4	Location Discrimination	35
<b>3</b>	<b>Development of the Experimental Setup</b>	<b>37</b>
3.1	Audio Reproduction	37
3.2	WBV Reproduction	37
<b>4</b>	<b>Frequency Perception</b>	<b>41</b>
4.1	Introduction	41
4.2	Frequency Discrimination of WBVs	41
4.2.1	Setup	42
4.2.2	Subjects	42

4.2.3	Stimuli and Experimental Design . . . . .	42
4.2.4	Results and Discussion . . . . .	43
4.2.5	Summary . . . . .	45
<b>5</b>	<b>Intensity Perception . . . . .</b>	<b>47</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	47
5.2	Perceived Magnitude of WBVs . . . . .	52
5.2.1	Setup . . . . .	52
5.2.2	Subjects . . . . .	52
5.2.3	Stimuli and Experimental Design . . . . .	52
5.2.4	Results and Discussion . . . . .	53
5.2.5	Summary . . . . .	57
5.3	Equal Intensity Contours of WBVs . . . . .	58
5.3.1	Setup . . . . .	59
5.3.2	Subjects . . . . .	59
5.3.3	Stimuli and Experimental Design . . . . .	59
5.3.4	Results and Discussion . . . . .	60
5.3.5	Summary . . . . .	63
5.4	Auditory-Tactile Intensity Matching . . . . .	64
5.4.1	Setup . . . . .	64
5.4.2	Subjects . . . . .	64
5.4.3	Stimuli and Experimental Design . . . . .	65
5.4.4	Results and Discussion . . . . .	66
5.4.5	Summary . . . . .	70
5.5	Influence of WBVs on Loudness Perception . . . . .	71
5.5.1	Setup . . . . .	71
5.5.2	Subjects . . . . .	72
5.5.3	Stimuli and Experimental Design . . . . .	72
5.5.4	Results and Discussion . . . . .	73
5.5.5	Summary . . . . .	74
<b>6</b>	<b>Measurements of Music-Driven Sound and Vibration . . . . .</b>	<b>75</b>
6.1	Concert Hall . . . . .	76
6.1.1	Setup . . . . .	76
6.1.2	Results . . . . .	78
6.1.3	Discussion . . . . .	81
6.2	Church . . . . .	83
6.2.1	Setup . . . . .	83
6.2.2	Results . . . . .	84
6.2.3	Discussion . . . . .	86
6.3	Summary . . . . .	86

<b>7</b>	<b>Quality of Auditory-Tactile Music Reproduction</b>	87
7.1	Introduction	87
7.1.1	Stimuli	90
7.1.2	Synchronization	93
7.1.3	Setup	94
7.1.4	Subjects	94
7.1.5	Experimental Design	94
7.2	Frequency Approaches	96
7.2.1	Low Pass	96
7.2.2	Fundamental Frequency	98
7.2.3	Octave Shift	101
7.2.4	Substitute Signals	104
7.3	Intensity Approaches	107
7.3.1	Compression of Dynamic Range	107
7.3.2	Cross-Modal Intensity Matching	110
7.4	Level Adjustments	112
7.4.1	Preferred Vibration Magnitude	112
7.4.2	Preferred Audio Equalization	113
7.5	Time Approaches	118
7.5.1	Envelope Processing	118
7.6	Summary	121
<b>8</b>	<b>Summary and Outlook</b>	125
<b>A</b>	<b>Measurement Positions in the Semperoper Dresden and the Lutherkirche Radebeul</b>	131
<b>B</b>	<b>Music-Induced Vibrations in the Lutherkirche Radebeul</b>	133
<b>C</b>	<b>Preferred Level of Audio-Generated Vibrations</b>	135
<b>D</b>	<b>Bandwidth Reduction with Substitute Signal Approach</b>	139
	<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	141
	<b>References</b>	143