

Hefltreiehe des Instituts für Bauingenieurwesen
Book Series of the Department of Civil Engineering
Technische Universität Berlin

Herausgeber:

Editors:

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Matthias Barjenbruch
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Karsten Geißler
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Reinhart Hinkelmann
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Wolfgang Huhnt
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Bernd Kochendörfer
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Yuri Petryna
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Stavros Savidis
Prof. Dr. sc. techn. Mike Schlaich
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Volker Schmid
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Frank U. Vogdt

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2015

Multi-dimensional Numerical Simulation of Hydrodynamics and Transport Processes in Surface Water Systems in Berlin

vorgelegt von

AYMAN JOURIEH, Ing.

aus Tartous, Syrien

von der Fakultät VI Planen | Bauen | Umwelt

der Technischen Universität Berlin

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

Doktor der Ingenieurwissenschaften

Dr.-Ing.

genehmigte Dissertation

Promotionsausschuss:

Vorsitzender:

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Matthias Barjenbruch, TU Berlin

Berichter:

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Reinhard Hinkelmann, TU Berlin

Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Gunnar Nützmann, IGB Berlin

Tag der wissenschaftlichen Aussprache: 17.12.2013

Berlin 2014

D83

Heftreihe des Instituts für Bauingenieurwesen
Book Series of the Department of Civil Engineering
Technische Universität Berlin

Band 18

Ayman Jourieh

**Multi-dimensional Numerical Simulation
of Hydrodynamics and Transport Processes
in Surface Water Systems in Berlin**

D 83 (Diss. TU Berlin)

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2015

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek
The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche
Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the internet at
<http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Berlin, Techn. Univ., Diss., 2013

**Multi-dimensional Numerical Simulation of Hydrodynamics and
Transport Processes in Surface Water Systems in Berlin**

Dissertationsschrift von Ayman Jourieh
Fakultät VI – Planen, Bauen, Umwelt
der Technischen Universität Berlin

Gutachter: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Matthias Barjenbruch
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Reinhard Hinkelmann
Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Gunnar Nützmann

Tag der wissenschaftlichen Aussprache: 17.12.2013

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2015
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a
retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic,
mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission
of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-3513-1
ISSN 1868-8357

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen
Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9
Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

Abstract

On the one hand, urban water systems are stressed by numerous loads, for example contaminations from treated wastewater, industry, runoff of streets and on the other hand their functioning is highly desirable to maintain the urban environment. The impact of the contaminations can be predicted with numerical models which help to understand and identify the relevant processes and which can be applied to design engineering measurements.

This doctoral thesis deals with two- and three-dimensional numerical simulations of hydrodynamics and conservative transport processes in two urban surface water systems of Berlin, a section of the river Spree and the Unterhavel water system, both characterized by slow flow velocities. For this purpose, the TELEMAC modelling system was used and 2D as well as 3D simulations were carried out considering various conditions: low, mean and high discharge, tracer injection points and diffusivity. Finally, the impact of mean and strong winds was considered for the Unterhavel.

The section of the river Spree has a very simple geometry and is stressed by combined sewer overflow which is idealized as conservative tracer. The project area under investigation here and within the research project SPREE-2011 is located in Berlin, Friedrichshain-Kreuzberg. This study aims to show the impacts of installed storage tanks on the hydraulics and water quality. The numerical results show that the impacts of the tanks on the hydraulics are small, and the transport is strongly advection-dominated except for low flow conditions. The results indicate that a 3D model is only necessary in the direct area surrounding the injection point.

The geometry in the Unterhavel water system is highly complex and therefore it is a challenge for stable 3D simulations. This study aims to improve understanding 2D and 3D flow and transport processes in the Unterhavel and to determine dominated processes and parameters. The results show that the Wannsee is strongly influenced by the injection at the Teltow channel but only slightly influenced by the injection at Pichelssee. In addition, a tracer injected in the north at Pichelssee mainly flows from North to South through the system. Moreover, the transport is mainly advection-dominated,

except in stagnation areas where (turbulent) diffusion becomes visible. In the case without wind, the 3D profiles of velocity and tracer are parabolic in large parts of the domain, except in special stagnation areas. Finally, in the case of strong wind, strong 3D flow and transport effects occur with different flow directions in a profile at the surface (following the wind direction) and opposite flow direction at the bottom as well as complex horizontal and vertical circulations.

Kurzfassung

Auf der einen Seite sind urbane Wassersysteme von zahlreichen Belastungen betroffen: gereinigtes Abwasser, Industrie, Zuflüsse aus versiegelten Flächen. Auf der anderen Seite ist deren Funktionieren unabdingbar, um unsere Umwelt nachhaltig zu schützen. Die Auswirkungen der Kontaminationen können mit Hilfe von numerischen Modellen vorhergesagt werden. Diese Modelle tragen zum Verständnis der Gewässersysteme und deren relevanten Prozesse bei und können zur Entscheidung zu ingenieurtechnischen Maßnahmen herangezogen werden.

Die vorliegende Dissertation beschäftigt sich mit zwei- und dreidimensionalen Strömungs- und Transportmodellierungen konservativer Stoffe in den beiden Berliner Gewässern Spree und Unterhavel. Bei diesen beiden Gewässerabschnitten handelt es sich um sehr langsam fließende Gewässer. Für die 2D und 3D Berechnungen der Strömungs- und Transportprozesse wurde das Programmsystem TELEMAC eingesetzt. In den Simulationen wurden verschiedene hydrodynamische sowie Transportbedingungen untersucht: Niedrig-, Mittel- und Hochwasserabfluss, Einleitungsstellen der Kontaminationen und Diffusivität. Zusätzlich wurden die Auswirkungen mittlerer und starker Windereignisse in der Unterhavel simuliert.

Der Flussabschnitt der Spree, der eine einfache Geometrie hat, wird durch Überläufe aus der Mischwasserkanalisation belastet. Das gereinigte Abwasser wurde in dieser Arbeit als konservativer Tracer idealisiert. Das Untersuchungsgebiet befindet sich in Berlin, Friedrichshain-Kreuzberg. Diese Arbeiten waren in das interdisziplinäre BMBF-Verbundprojekt SPREE2011 eingebunden. Ziel dieser Untersuchungen war, die Auswirkungen von Mischwasserspeichern auf die Hydrodynamik und Wasserqualität zu analysieren. Die Ergebnisse zeigen, dass der Einfluss der Mischwasserspeicher auf die Hydrodynamik vernachlässigbar ist. Die Transportprozesse werden von der Advektion dominiert, außer bei Niedrigwasserabfluss. Die Ergebnisse zeigen, dass ein 3D-Modell nur für die unmittelbare Umgebung des Einleitungspunkts notwendig ist.

Die Geometrie des Gewässersystems Unterhavel stellt aufgrund ihrer Kom-

plexität hohe Anforderungen an die 3D Modellierung (z.B. Stabilität). Diese Untersuchungen hatten das Ziel, das Prozessverständnis zu 2D und 3D Strömungs- und die Transportprozessen in der Unterhavel zu verbessern sowie dominante Prozesse und Parameter herauszuarbeiten. Die Ergebnisse zeigen, dass der Wannsee stark von Einleitungen in den Teltow-Kanal, aber nur wenig von Einleitungen in den Pichelssee beeinflusst wird. Darüber hinaus fließt ein Tracer, der im Norden des Pichelssees eingeleitet wird, hauptsächlich von Nord nach Süd. Weiterhin ist der Transport überwiegend akvektionsdominiert, außer in Ruhewasserzonen, wo Diffusion an Bedeutung gewinnt. Wenn kein Wind vorhanden ist, sind die 3D Profile von Strömungsgeschwindigkeit und Transport in weiten Bereichen des Untersuchungsgebiets parabolisch, außer in Ruhewasserzonen. Bei Starkwindereignissen treten starke dreidimensionale Strömungs- und Transporteffekte auf mit unterschiedlichen Strömungsrichtungen an der Gewässeroberfläche (in Windrichtung) und am Gewässerboden sowie komplexe horizontale und vertikale Zirkulationsfelder.

Danksagung

Die vorliegende Arbeit ist im Rahmen eines Promotionsstipendiums im Fachgebiet Wasserwirtschaft und Hydrosystemmodellierung, Institut für Bauingenieurwesen der Technischen Universität Berlin entstanden.

Mein besonderer Dank gilt meinem Doktorvater, Herrn Prof. Dr.-Ing. Reinhard Hinkelmann für die Möglichkeit, dieses Dissertationsthema zu bearbeiten. Er hat die Entstehung dieser Arbeit mit viel Interesse, Vertrauen und Geduld unterstützt. Ohne seine ständige Unterstützung wäre diese Arbeit nicht möglich gewesen.

Weiterhin möchte ich Herrn Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Gunnar Nützmann (IGB Berlin) für die freundliche Übernahme des Zweitgutachtens danken. Seine fachlichen Kenntnisse haben mir wichtige Anregungen geliefert.

Auch möchte ich mich bei Herrn Prof. Dr.-Ing. Matthias Barjenbruch für die Übernahme des Vorsitzes des Promotionsausschusses bedanken.

Bei allen aktuellen und ehemaligen Kollegen des Fachgebiets Wasserwirtschaft und Hydrosystemmodellierung möchte ich mich für ihre Hilfsbereitschaft in wissenschaftlichen und technischen Fragen sowie für die freundliche Arbeitsatmosphäre bedanken.

Meinen Eltern und Geschwistern, die mir das Studium des Bauingenieurwesens ermöglicht haben, fühle ich mich zu besonderem Dank verpflichtet. Meine Eltern haben mich durch Rat und Tat und vor allem durch unzählbare Gebete unterstützt.

Schließlich gilt mein ganz besonderer Dank meiner Frau Shirin und meiner Tochter Sarah für ihr unbeschreibliches Verständnis und ihre Geduld, insbesondere an den zahlreichen Tagen, die ich im Fachgebiet verbracht habe.

Berlin, Dezember 2013

Ayman JOURIEH

Contents

Nomenclature	XI
List of Figures	XIII
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Numerical simulation of hydro- and environmental systems	1
1.2 Why urban surface water systems?	3
1.3 Why Berlin?	6
1.4 Why multi-dimensional modeling?	10
1.5 Study objectives	11
1.6 Organization of this work	11
2 Numerical Modeling of Surface Water Systems	13
2.1 Model choice	13
2.1.1 Model testing	14
2.1.2 Model dimensions	15
2.1.3 Modeling systems	18
2.2 Grid Generation	19
2.2.1 Introduction	19
2.2.2 Delaunay-Voronoi Method (DVM)	21
2.2.3 Advancing Front Method (AFM)	21
2.2.4 Grid quality	22
2.3 Discretization Methods	23
2.3.1 Introduction	23
2.3.2 Time discretisation	24
2.3.3 Space discretisation	27

Contents

2.3.4	Initial and boundary conditions	32
3	Modeling System	35
3.1	TELEMAC modeling system	35
3.1.1	Introduction	35
3.1.2	TELEMAC-2D	39
3.1.3	TELEMAC-3D	41
3.1.4	Pre- and postprocessing	45
3.2	Grid generator JANET	46
4	Multi-dimensional Numerical Simulation of Hydrodynamics and Transport Processes in the Spree	47
4.1	Project area and computational domains	47
4.2	Computational domain A	52
4.2.1	2D simulations of hydrodynamics for mean discharge condition	54
4.3	Computational domain B	61
4.3.1	2D simulations of hydrodynamics and transport for mean discharge condition	63
4.3.2	2D simulations of transport for variable discharge conditions	68
4.3.3	Investigation of the diffusivity	72
4.3.4	3D simulation of hydrodynamics and transport	76
5	Multi-dimensional Numerical Simulation of Hydrodynamics and Transport in the Unterhavel	83
5.1	Project area and computational domain	83
5.2	Grid generator JANET and pre-processing stage	86
5.3	2D simulation of hydrodynamics	92
5.3.1	Investigation of mean discharge condition	92
5.3.2	Investigation of high discharge condition	105
5.4	2D simulation of transport processes	109
5.4.1	Investigation of mean discharge condition	109
5.4.2	Investigation of the diffusivity	121

5.4.3	Investigation of high discharge condition	127
5.5	3D simulation of hydrodynamics and transport processes	132
5.5.1	3D grid	132
5.5.2	Investigation of mean discharge condition	138
5.6	Multi-dimensional simulation of wind-driven flow and trans- port processes	148
5.6.1	Conceptual approach and wind data	148
5.6.2	Results of 2D simulations	152
5.6.3	Results of 3D simulations	159
6	Conclusions and Outlook	171
6.1	Conclusions	171
6.2	Outlook	174
Bibliography		175

X

Nomenclature

Abbreviations

1D	one-dimensional
2D	two-dimensional
3D	three-dimensional
AFM	advanced-front method
a.s.l.	above sea level
BC	boundary condition
BCGSTAB	Biconjugate Gradient Stabilized method
CFD	computational fluid dynamics
CFD	Courant-friedrichs lewy
CG	Conjugate Gradient
DVM	Delaunay-Voroni method
fig.	figure
FDM	Finite Difference Method
FEM	Finite Element Method
FVM	Finite Volume Method
GMRES	Generalised Minimum RESidual
MPI	message passing interface
PCG	Preconditioned Conjugate Gradient
sec.	section
SUPG	Streamline Upwind Petrov-Galerkin

Terms with latin letters

h	water depth	[m]
---	-------------	-----

Nomenclature

\vec{u}	velocity vector	[m/s]
u, v	velocity components	[m/s]
T	tracer concentration	[g/l]
g	gravitational acceleration	[m/s ²]
z_s	free surface elevation	[m]
x, y, z	horizontal and vertical space coordinates	[m]
S_x, S_y	source or sink terms (wind, Coriolis force, bottom friction, a source / sink of momentum)	[kg/m ³ s]
S_T	source or sink of tracer	[kg/m ³ s]
v_t	turbulent viscosity	[m ² /s]
ν_T	turbulent diffusivity	[m ² /s]
f_x, f_y	source or sink terms, denoting bottom friction, wind and Coriolis force	[m/s ²]
λ	Taylor friction coefficient	[–]
c_D	wind-stress coefficient	[–]
ρ_a	density of air	[kg/m ³]
ρ_0	reference density	[kg/m ³]
$\Delta\rho$	density difference	[kg/m ³]
p	pressure	[Pa]
v_{ax}, v_{ay}	horizontal components of wind velocity	[m/s]
$\underline{\underline{v}_t}$	turbulent viscosity tensor	[m ² /s]
$\underline{\underline{\nu_T}}$	turbulent diffusivity tensor	[m ² /s]
v_h	horizontal turbulent viscosity	[m ² /s]
v_v	vertical turbulent viscosity	[m ² /s]
ν_{Th}	horizontal turbulent diffusivity	[m ² /s]
ν_{Tv}	vertical turbulent diffusivity	[m ² /s]
v_{ax}, v_{ay}	components of wind velocity	[m/s]
$\frac{\rho_{air}}{\rho_w}$	ratio of air and water densities	[–]
θ	Crank-Nicholson factor	[–]

List of Figures

1.1	Overview of urban water systems (NÜTZMANN et al., 2013)	5
1.2	Overview of the Germany waterways (top) and Elbe and Oder waterways (bottom) (Bundeswasserstraßen, 2013)	8
1.3	Overview of the surface-water system, flow directions and wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) in Berlin (modified) (SenGUV, 2006)	9
2.1	Model dimensions and types	17
2.2	Explicit and (fully) implicit methods, after (HELMIG, 2000; HINKELMANN, 2005)	27
2.3	Discretisation methods (after HINKELMANN (2005))	32
3.1	TELEMAC system	38
4.1	Project area and the computational domains	48
4.2	Natural and simplified cross section in domain A	50
4.3	Project area and available vertical profiles (values in [m]) . .	51
4.4	Bottom elevation and 2D grids; top: scenario A, middle: scenario B and bottom: scenario C	53
4.5	Computational domain A and boundary conditions	54
4.6	Free surface for three scenarios after 2 hours, top: scenario A, middle: scenario B and bottom: scenario C	57
4.7	Scalar velocity for three scenarios after 2 hours, top: scenario A, middle: scenario B and bottom: scenario C	58
4.8	Temporal variation of scalar velocity after 2 hours at points X and Y for three scenarios A, B and C	59

List of Figures

4.9	Spatial variation of scalar velocity at sections X-X and Y-Y, after 2 hours, for three scenarios A, B and C	60
4.10	Computational domain B and boundary conditions	61
4.11	Bottom elevation and 2D grid	62
4.12	Flow field for mean discharge condition and tracer concentration after 600 seconds	65
4.13	2D tracer concentration after three time steps for mean discharge condition	66
4.14	Temporal variation of tracer concentrations at points X, Y and Z within 10 hours for mean discharge condition	67
4.15	2D tracer concentration after 5 hours for low, mean and high discharge conditions	70
4.16	Temporal variation of tracer concentration at point X for low, mean and high discharge conditions	71
4.17	2D tracer transport after three time steps, left: $\nu_T = 0.01 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, right: $\nu_T = 0.0001 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$	74
4.18	Left: spatial variation of tracer concentration at section B-B [left middle: $\nu_T = 0.01 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, left bottom: $\nu_T = 0.0001 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$]. Right: temporal variation of tracer concentration at point A: [right middle: $\nu_T = 0.01 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, right bottom: $\nu_T = 0.0001 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$]	75
4.19	Top: 3D grid at the section B-B, bottom: the considered sections	79
4.20	Flow field at section Y-Y for scenario A	80
4.21	Distribution of tracer concentration in vertical section Y-Y for three time steps	81
4.22	Flow field and distribution of tracer concentration in vertical section Z-Z (shown in fig. 4.19) for scenario B for four time steps	82
5.1	Study area, computational domain and model concepts	85
5.2	DTM and preprocessing stage	87
5.3	Bottom elevation	90

5.4	Bottom elevation and details of the 2D grid	91
5.5	Computational domain with boundary conditions for the mean discharge conditions	93
5.6	Comparison of scalar velocity for three friction coefficients at a section A-A (fig. 5.11)	95
5.7	Spatial variation of water depth for the mean discharge condition after 3 days; left Jungfernsee, middle whole domain, right top Schwanenwerder island, right bottom Wannsee	98
5.8	Temporal variation of water depth and free surface at considered points X, Y and Z for mean discharge condition	99
5.9	Velocity field for the mean discharge condition in the whole domain and several subdomains	100
5.10	Temporal variation of scalar velocity (left) and water depth (right) at the considered points X, Y and Z in the first 3 days for mean discharge condition	101
5.11	Spatial variation of water depth and scalar velocity at different sections after 3 days for mean discharge condition	102
5.12	Spatial variation of flowrate (discharge per meter width) at different sections in the first 3 days for mean discharge condition	103
5.13	Correlations between scalar velocity and water depth for mean discharge condition	104
5.14	Computational domain and boundary conditions for high discharge condition	106
5.15	Flow field for high discharge condition	107
5.16	Temporal variation of scalar velocity (left) and water depth (right) at three considered points X, Y and Z in the first three days for mean and high discharge condition	108
5.17	Computational domain and boundary conditions for scenarios A, B and C	112
5.18	2D tracer transport after 4 days for mean discharge condition for scenarios A, B and C	113

List of Figures

5.19 2D tracer transport after 30 days for mean discharge condition for scenarios A, B and C	114
5.20 2D tracer transport after 120 days for mean discharge condi- tion for scenarios A, B and C	115
5.21 2D tracer transport at Wannsee after 4 days for mean dis- charge condition for scenarios A, B and C	116
5.22 2D tracer transport at Wannsee after 30 days for mean dis- charge condition for scenarios A, B and C	117
5.23 2D tracer transport at Wannsee after 120 days for mean dis- charge condition for scenarios A, B and C	118
5.24 Temporal variation of tracer concentration at three consid- ered points for three scenarios A, B and C for mean discharge condition	119
5.25 Path lines of two particles after 120 days	120
5.26 2D tracer transport after 5 days; left: $\nu_T = 0.0001 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, mid- dle: $\nu_T = 0.001 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, right: $\nu_T = 0.01 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$	123
5.27 2D tracer transport after 10 days; left: $\nu_T = 0.0001 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, middle: $\nu_T = 0.001 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, right: $\nu_T = 0.01 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$	124
5.28 2D tracer transport after 20 days; left: $\nu_T = 0.0001 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, middle: $\nu_T = 0.001 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, right: $\nu_T = 0.01 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$	125
5.29 Temporal variation of tracer concentration at three considered points for the cases: $\nu_T = 0.0001 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, $\nu_T = 0.001 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ and $\nu_T = 0.01 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$	126
5.30 2D tracer transport after 2 days (top) and 10 days (bottom) for mean and high discharge conditions	129
5.31 2D tracer transport after 30 days (top) and 120 days (bottom) for mean and high discharge conditions	130
5.32 Temporal variation of tracer concentrations at three consid- ered points for mean and high discharge conditions	131
5.33 Vertical discretization using A): z-method and B): σ -method; elements (left) and nodes (right)	135

5.34 Vertical discretization using (A): z-method; (B): σ -method: planes depend on free surface and bottom, and (C) σ -method: planes depend only on bottom	136
5.35 Vertical distribution of tracer concentration using the σ -method (top) and the z-method (bottom)	137
5.36 CPU time for parallel computing	142
5.37 Vertical velocity profile at section H-H simulated with TELEMAC- 3D (middle); theoretical profile for vertically averaged flow (right)	144
5.38 3D flow field at three horizontal planes: A) free surface; B) mid-depth and C) bottom	145
5.39 3D flow field and vertical distribution of tracer concentrations at different time steps at section A-A	146
5.40 Temporal variation of velocity and tracer concentrations for different time steps and at three different water depths	147
5.41 Wind velocities and directions at Wannsee: period 2001-2009 (top), period January-December 2007 (middle), January 17- 19, 2007 (bottom, with mean condition (A) and extreme con- dition (B))	151
5.42 Wind field (left), 2D spatial variation of free surface (top) and flow field (bottom) at Wannsee with south wind (middle) and without wind (right), after 5 hours	153
5.43 Wind field (left), 2D flow field at Wannsee with south wind (top) and without wind (bottom), after 5 hours	154
5.44 Wind field (left), 2D tracer concentration and flow field at Wannsee with south wind (top) and without wind (bottom), after 5 hours	155
5.45 Wind field (left), 2D spatial variation of free surface (middle top: with south wind, middle bottom: without wind, and right top), scalar velocity (right middle) and tracer concentration (right bottom) at Wannsee , with and without southern wind after 5 hours	156

List of Figures

5.46 Path lines of two particles after 30 days, top: with south wind, bottom: with west wind.	158
5.47 Theoretical wind-induced velocity profile (after LUI and PEREZ (1971); SHANAHAN et al. (1981))	159
5.48 Velocity field: (A: horizontal circulation at section T-T, B: vertical section at section P-P), induced by west wind after 5 hours	160
5.49 Left: Vertical distribution of tracer and velocity (top: without wind, bottom: with west wind), right: Spatial distribution of tracer concentration and velocity at 0.5 m under free surface, at section C-C (top: without wind, bottom: with west wind) after 5 hours west wind	163
5.50 Vertical distribution of tracer and velocity (m/s) for different time steps at the section S-S (see fig. 5.48); left: without wind, right: with west wind, after 5 hours west wind	164
5.51 Left: Vertical distribution of tracer and velocity (top: without wind, bottom: with west wind), right: Spatial distribution of tracer concentration and velocity at 0.5 m under free surface at section A-A [shown in figure 5.51, middle]: (top: without wind, bottom: with west wind) after 5 hour west wind	165
5.52 Left: Vertical distribution of tracer and velocity (top: without wind, bottom: with west wind), right: Spatial distribution of tracer concentration and velocity at 0.5 m under free surface, at section B-B (top: without wind, bottom: with west wind) after 5 hour west wind	166
5.53 Left: Vertical distribution of tracer and velocity (top: without wind, bottom: with west wind, right: Spatial distribution of tracer concentration and velocity at 0.5 m under free surface at section C-C (top: without wind, bottom: with west wind) after 5 hour west wind	167
5.54 West wind condition and flow field at three horizontal levels at Wannsee: A) free surface, B) mid-depth, C) bottom, after 5 hour	168

List of Figures

5.55 South wind condition and the flow field at three horizontal levels at Wannsee: A) free surface, B) mid-depth, C) bottom, after 5 hours	169
---	-----