

Evolution of Planetary Nebulae with WR-type Central Stars

Ashkbiz Danekar

A thesis submitted to Macquarie University
in accordance with the requirements of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Physics and Astronomy
Faculty of Science
Macquarie University
Sydney, Australia

April 2014

Abstract

This thesis presents a study of the kinematics, physical conditions and chemical abundances for a sample of Galactic planetary nebulae (PNe) with Wolf-Rayet (WR) and weak emission-line stars (*wels*), based on optical integral field unit (IFU) spectroscopy obtained with the Wide Field Spectrograph (WiFeS) on the Australian National University 2.3 telescope at Siding Spring Observatory, and complemented by spectra from the literature. PNe surrounding WR-type stars constitute a particular study class for this study. A considerable fraction of currently well-identified central stars of PNe exhibit ‘hydrogen-deficient’ fast expanding atmospheres characterized by a large mass-loss rate. Most of them were classified as the carbon-sequence and a few of them as the nitrogen-sequence of the WR-type stars. What are less clear are the physical mechanisms and evolutionary paths that remove the hydrogen-rich outer layer from these degenerate cores, and transform it into a fast stellar wind. The aim of this thesis is to determine kinematic structure, density distribution, thermal structure and elemental abundances for a sample of PNe with different hydrogen-deficient central stars, which might provide clues about the origin and formation of their hydrogen-deficient stellar atmospheres.

$H\alpha$ and $[N\ II]$ emission features have been used to determine kinematic structures. Based on spatially resolved observations of these emission lines, combined with archival *Hubble Space Telescope* imaging for compact PNe, morphological structures of these PNe have been determined. Comparing the velocity maps from the IFU spectrograph with those provided by morpho-kinematic models allowed disentangling of the different morphological components of most PNe, apart from the compact objects. The results indicate that these PNe have axisymmetric morphologies, either bipolar or elliptical. In many cases, the associated kinematic maps for PNe around hot WR-type stars also show the

presence of so-called fast low-ionization emission regions (FLIERs).

The WiFeS observations, complemented with archival spectra from the literature, have been used to carry out plasma diagnostics and abundance analysis using both collisionally excited lines (CELs) and optical recombination lines (ORLs). ORL abundances for carbon, nitrogen and oxygen have been derived where adequate recombination lines were available. The weak physical dependence of ORLs has also been used to determine the physical properties. It is found that the ORL abundances are several times higher than the CEL abundances, whereas the temperatures derived from the He I recombination lines are typically lower than those measured from the collisionally excited nebular-to-auroral forbidden line ratios. The abundance discrepancy factors (ADFs) for doubly-ionized nitrogen and oxygen are within a range from 2 to 49, which are closely correlated with the dichotomy between temperatures derived from forbidden lines and those from He I recombination lines. The results show that the ADF and temperature dichotomy are correlated with the intrinsic nebular $H\beta$ surface brightness, suggesting that the abundance discrepancy problem must be related to the nebular evolution.

Three-dimensional photoionization models of a carefully selected sample of Galactic PNe have been constructed, constrained by the WiFeS observations (Abell 48 and SuWt 2) and the double echelle MIKE spectroscopy from the literature (Hb 4 and PB 8). The WiFeS observations have been used to perform the empirical analysis of Abell 48 and SuWt 2. The spatially resolved velocity distributions were used to determine the kinematic structures of Hb 4 and Abell 48. The previously identified non-LTE model atmospheres of Abell 48 and PB 8 have been used as ionizing fluxes in their photoionization models. It is found that the enhancement of the $[N II]$ emission in the FLIERs of Hb 4 is more attributed to the geometry and density distribution, while the ionization correction factor method and electron temperature used for the empirical analysis are mostly responsible for apparent inhomogeneity of nitrogen abundance.

However, the results indicate that the chemically inhomogeneous models, containing a small fraction of metal-rich inclusions (around 5 percent), provide acceptable matches to the observed ORLs in Hb 4 and PB 8. The observed nebular spectrum of Abell 48 was best produced by using a nitrogen-sequence non-LTE model atmosphere of a low-mass progenitor star rather than a massive Pop I star. For Abell 48, the helium temperature predicted by the photoionization model is higher than those empirically derived, suggesting the presence of a fraction of cold metal-rich structures inside the nebula. It is found that a dual-dust chemistry with different grain species and discrete grain sizes likely produces the nebular *Spitzer* mid-infrared continuum of PB 8. The photoionization models of SuWt 2 suggest the presence of a hot hydrogen-deficient degenerate core, compatible with what is known as a PG 1159-type star, while the nebula's age is consistent with a born-again scenario.

Declaration of Originality

This thesis is submitted in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at Macquarie University, Australia. I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree to any other universities or institutions, either in Australia or overseas.

I also certify that the thesis is an original piece of research and it has been written by me. Any help and assistance that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself have been appropriately acknowledged.

In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis. Some of the text found within has been published in the list of refereed papers and conference proceedings found in the appendix, on which I have been the leading author.

Any views expressed in this dissertation are those of the author and do not represent those of Macquarie University.

Ashkbiz Danehkar

April 2014

Copyright Statement

Under Section 35 of the Australian Copyright Act of 1968, the author of this thesis is the owner of any copyright subsisting in the work, even though it is unpublished.

Under Section 31(I)(a)(i), copyright includes the exclusive right to 'reproduce the work in a material form'. Thus, copyright is infringed by a person who, not being the owner of the copyright, reproduces or authorizes the reproduction of the work, or of more than a reasonable part of the work, in a material form, unless the reproduction is a 'fair dealing' with the work 'for the purpose of research or study' as further defined in Sections 40 and 41 of the Act.

This thesis may therefore be copied or used only under the normal conditions of scholarly fair dealing for the purposes of research, criticism or review, as outlined in the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. No part of this thesis or the information therein may be included in a publication or referred to in a publication without the written permission of the author. Proper written acknowledgement should be made for any assistance made from this thesis.

In particular, no results or conclusions should be extracted from it, nor should it be copied or closely paraphrased in whole or in part without the written consent of the author. Proper written acknowledgement should be made for any assistance obtained from this thesis.

Acknowledgments

Foremost I would like to thank my supervisors, Prof. Quentin Parker for his help and support throughout the past three years. There are many people I would like to thank who have contributed to this work; Prof. Barbara Ercolano of the Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, for useful discussions and guidance in photoionization modeling; Prof. Wolfgang Steffen of the National Autonomous University of Mexico, for helping with morpho-kinematic modeling; Dr. Roger Wesson of the European Southern Observatory in Santiago, for useful discussions and guidance in chemical abundance analysis; Dr. Helge Todt of the University of Potsdam, for providing hydrogen-deficient expanding model atmospheres of Abell 48 and PB 8, and discussions in hydrogen-deficient central star; Dr. Alexei Kniazev of the Southern African Large Telescope Foundation, for providing the spectra of Abell 48; Dr. Jorge Garcia-Rojas of the University of La Laguna, for providing the spectra of PB 8; Dr. Ralf Jacob of the Leibniz Institute for Astrophysics Potsdam, for providing 1-D radiation-hydrodynamics models; Dr. Henri Plana of the State University of Santa Cruz, for providing and reducing Gemini observations; Prof. Peter Storey of the University College London, for providing his recombination line code ahead of publication; Dr. Nick Wright of the Harvard–Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, for some discussions in photoionization models; Prof. Michael Barlow of the University College London, for some discussions in empirical analysis; Prof. Wagner Marcolino of the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, for useful guidance in CMFGEN modeling; Dr. Graziela Keller of the University of São Paulo, for helps in CMFGEN modeling; Dr. David Frew of the Macquarie University, for astronomical lectures, initial discussions and helping in the observing proposal writing stage; Dr. Milorad Stupar of the Macquarie University, for guidance on the IRAF data reduction; A./Prof. Orsola De Marco of the Macquarie University

and Dr. Jean-Claude Passy of the University of Victoria, for lectures in hydrodynamic simulations; and Drs. Wendy Noble and Maria Herke, for academic writing courses. I would also like to thank Prof. Simon Jeffery, Prof. Wolf-Rainer Hamann, Dr. Amanda Karakas, Dr. Martin Guerrero, Dr. Thomas Rauch and Nicole Reindl for illuminating discussions and helpful comments. I would also like to thank Dr. Maria Lugaro (Monash University), Dr. Panayotis Boumis (IAASARS National Observatory of Athens) and Dr. Miriam Peña (National Autonomous University of Mexico), the examiners of my thesis for their careful review, helpful corrections and suggestions that greatly improved the final version.

I would like to thank the Macquarie University for a Macquarie University Research Excellence Scholarship (MQRES). I was also partly supported by a travel grant from the International Astronomical Union (IAU), a travel assistance from the Astronomical Society of Australia (ASA), and a Grants-in-Aid of Research (GIAR) from the National Academy of Science, administered by Sigma Xi, the Scientific Research Society. Thank the staff at the Siding Spring Observatory for their support, especially Donna Burton. I am grateful for the allocation of observing time by the Australian National University Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics (ANU RSAA). I thank Dr. Anna Kovacevic and Dr. Lizette Guzman-Ramirez for the May 2009 ANU 2.3 m observing run, and Dr. Kyle DePew for the April 2010 ANU 2.3 m observing run. I also thank Dr. Milorad Stupar for assisting me with the 2012 February ANU 2.3 m observing run and Travis Stenborg for assisting me with the 2012 August ANU 2.3 m observing run. Thanks for computing hours awarded by the NCI National Facility at the Australian National University (ANU) and the gSTAR National Facility at Swinburne University of Technology. The NCI National Facility is supported by the Australian Commonwealth Government. The gSTAR National Facility is funded by the Australian Government's Education Investment Fund.

Contents

Abstract	vii
Contents	xvi
List of Figures	xxiv
List of Tables	xxix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Planetary Nebula: a historical overview	1
1.2 Planetary Nebula: a theoretical overview	4
1.2.1 From the main sequence to the red giant branch	4
1.2.2 The asymptotic giant branch	8
1.2.3 From the post-AGB phase to the white dwarf	12
1.3 Planetary Nebulae: a chemical laboratory	14
1.3.1 Physical conditions: temperature and density	15
1.3.2 Chemical abundances	20
1.3.3 Nebular extinction	20
1.4 Wolf-Rayet central stars of planetary nebulae	22
1.4.1 Spectral classification	26
1.4.2 Evolutionary scenarios	32
1.4.3 [WCE] central stars of planetary nebulae	36
1.4.4 [WCL] central stars of planetary nebulae	41
1.4.5 [WN] central stars of planetary nebulae	42
1.5 Two current issues in nebular astrophysics	44

CONTENTS

1.5.1	Axisymmetric morphologies and point-symmetric jets . . .	45
1.5.2	Abundance discrepancy and temperature dichotomy . . .	49
1.6	Thesis Outline	51
I	Planetary nebulae with [WC] stars	57
2	Spatially resolved kinematics	59
2.1	Introduction	59
2.2	Observations	60
2.2.1	Sample selection	61
2.2.2	Data reduction	63
2.2.3	Archival imaging data	68
2.3	Observational results	71
2.3.1	Systemic and expansion velocities	71
2.3.2	Flux and velocity maps	76
2.3.3	Results of individual objects	80
2.4	Morpho-kinematic modeling	86
2.4.1	Modeling results	87
2.4.2	Notes on individual objects	89
2.5	Conclusion	98
3	Physical conditions and chemical abundances	105
3.1	Introduction	105
3.2	Observations	108
3.3	Nebular analysis	112
3.3.1	Line intensities and interstellar reddening	112
3.3.2	CEL plasma diagnostics	117
3.3.3	ORL plasma diagnostics	132
3.3.4	Comparison with previous results	143

3.4	Ionic and elemental abundances	147
3.4.1	Ionic abundances from CELs	147
3.4.2	Ionic abundances from ORLs	163
3.4.3	Elemental abundances	166
3.4.4	ORL/CEL discrepancy correlations	171
3.5	Discussion of individual objects	184
3.6	Discussions and conclusion	196
3.6.1	Comparison with AGB nucleosynthesis models	196
3.6.2	Abundance discrepancy and temperature dichotomy	202
4	Hb 4: a planetary nebula with FLIERs	205
4.1	Introduction	205
4.2	Observations	207
4.2.1	Kinematic structure	208
4.2.2	Nebular empirical analysis	211
4.3	Chemically homogeneous model	218
4.3.1	Modeling strategy	219
4.3.2	Model results	227
4.4	Bi-abundance model	241
4.4.1	Model inputs	242
4.4.2	Model results	242
4.5	Conclusions	244
II	Planetary nebulae with [WN] stars	249
5	Abell 48 with a [WN]-type star	251
5.1	Introduction	251
5.2	Observations and data reduction	252
5.3	Kinematics	255

CONTENTS

5.4	Nebular empirical analysis	261
5.4.1	Plasma diagnostics	261
5.4.2	Ionic and total abundances from ORLs	263
5.4.3	Ionic and total abundances from CELs	264
5.5	Photoionization modelling	266
5.5.1	The ionizing spectrum	269
5.5.2	The density distribution	271
5.5.3	The nebular elemental abundances	272
5.6	Model results	274
5.6.1	Comparison of the emission-line fluxes	274
5.6.2	Ionization and thermal structure	276
5.6.3	Evolutionary status	279
5.7	Conclusions	284
6	PB 8 with a [WN/WC]-type star	287
6.1	Introduction	287
6.2	Observations	289
6.3	Photoionization Modeling	294
6.3.1	The density distribution	296
6.3.2	The nebular elemental abundances	299
6.3.3	The ionizing spectrum	300
6.3.4	Dust modeling	302
6.4	Results	306
6.4.1	Comparison of the emission-line fluxes	306
6.4.2	Thermal structure	315
6.4.3	Fractional ionic abundances	319
6.5	Conclusion	321

III	Planetary nebulae with PG 1159-type stars	325
7	SuWt 2 with a PG 1159-type star	327
7.1	Introduction	327
7.2	Observations and data reduction	331
7.2.1	WiFeS data reduction	332
7.2.2	Nebular spectrum and reddening	334
7.3	Kinematics	341
7.4	Plasma diagnostics	346
7.5	Ionic and total abundances	349
7.6	Photoionization model	352
7.6.1	Model input parameters	357
7.6.2	Model results	364
7.7	Conclusion	376
8	Conclusions and Future Work	379
8.1	Summary	379
8.2	Future Work	383
	References	386
IV	Appendices	423
A	Kinematic maps and Spatio-kinematical Models	425
B	Measured nebular line fluxes	463
C	Nebular Spectra	483
D	Ionic abundance maps	503
E	Stellar Spectra	529

CONTENTS

F	Published Papers	543
G	Glossary	551
H	Journal Abbreviations	555

List of Figures

1.1	Evolutionary tracks of a $2M_{\odot}$ star in the HR diagram.	5
1.2	Evolutionary tracks for stars with initial masses of 1, 5 and $25 M_{\odot}$	7
1.3	A schematic view of the layers of an AGB star.	9
1.4		10
1.5	Classification of stars by progenitor mass.	11
1.6	Energy-level diagrams for the lowest terms [O III] and [N II].	16
1.7	Temperature-sensitive line ratios used for temperature determination.	17
1.8	Energy-level diagrams for [O II] and [S II].	18
1.9	Density-sensitive line ratios used for the density determination.	19
1.10	Four different post-AGB evolutionary tracks: no-TP, LTP, VLTP and AFTP.	34
1.11	Post-AGB evolutionary paths of central stars of planetary nebulae.	35
1.12	The C IV-5801/12 doublet line profile of the central star of Th 2-A.	39
1.13	The binary-induced equatorial outflows from AGB stars.	48
2.1	Positions of the WC stars of our sample on the HR diagram.	66
2.2	<i>HST</i> images of PB 6, Hb 4, Pe 1-1, M 3-15, M 1-25, Hen 2-142, Hen 3-1333 and Hen 2-113.	69
2.3	Narrow band $H\alpha + [N II]$ images of M 3-30, IC 1297, M 1-32 and K 2-16 taken with the 3.5-m ESO NTT.	71

LIST OF FIGURES

2.4	H α λ 6563 flux intensity, continuum, velocity field and velocity dispersion maps for for M 3-30, Hb 4, IC 1297, Th 2-A and K 2-16.	77
2.5	The SHAPE mesh models of (a) torus with inner FLIERs and (b) torus with outer FLIERs.	88
2.6	SHAPE mesh models of the WR PNe: M 3-30, Hb 4, IC 1297, Th 2-A, Pe 1-1, M,1-32, M 3-15, M 1-25, Hen 2-142, K 2-16, MGC 6578, M 2-42, NGC 6567 and NGC 6629, before rendering at the best-fitting inclination.	92
2.7	Rendered SHAPE models of the WR PNe: M 3-30, Hb 4, IC 1297, Th 2-A, Pe 1-1, M,1-32, M 3-15, M 1-25, Hen 2-142, K 2-16, MGC 6578, M 2-42, NGC 6567 and NGC 6629 at the best-fitting inclination. .	93
2.8	Variation of the HWHM velocity along the spectral sequence and stellar effective temperature.	101
3.1	Comparison between our $c(\text{H}\beta)$ derived and those from the literature and the radio-H β method.	115
3.2	Spatial distribution maps of extinction $c(\text{H}\alpha)$ from the flux ratio H α /H β : PB 6, M 3-30, IC 1297, Th 2-A and K 2-16.	116
3.3	As Figure 3.2 but for spatial distribution maps of electron density.	121
3.4	Variation of the electron density along the spectral sequence and the stellar effective temperature.	123
3.5	The electron density plotted against the nebular H β surface brightness	125
3.6	Variation of the electron temperature along the spectral sequence and the stellar effective temperature.	128
3.7	Variation of the electron temperature along the excitation class and the nebular H β surface brightness.	129
3.8	As Figure 3.2 but for spatial distribution maps of electron temperature.	133

3.9	S^{2+}/S^+ versus O^{2+}/O^+ . The dotted line is a linear fit to S^{2+}/S^+ as a function of O^{2+}/O^+ , discussed in the text.	150
3.10	Spatial distribution maps of ionic abundance maps: PB 6, M 3-30, IC 1297, Th 2-A and K 2-16.	152
3.11	The difference between the electron temperatures derived from the CELs and from the He I ORLs plotted against the ORL/CEL ionic ADF for O^{2+}	179
3.12	The ORL/CEL ionic ADF for O^{2+} and N^{2+} plotted against the nebular $H\beta$ surface brightness.	180
3.13	The difference between the electron temperatures derived from the CELs and from the He I ORLs plotted against the nebular $H\beta$ surface brightness.	182
3.14	The ORL/CEL ionic ADF for O^{2+} plotted against the excitation class.	184
3.15	The difference between the electron temperatures derived from the CELs and from the He I ORLs plotted against the excitation class.	185
3.16	Elemental abundances with respect to solar abundances.	201
4.1	IFU maps of Hb 4 in [N II] $\lambda 6584$	210
4.2	Deep $H\alpha$ +[N II] imagery of Hb 4 obtained with MES-SPM and the positions of the three SPM long-slits.	212
4.3	Flux maps of (a) [N II] $\lambda 6584 \text{ \AA}$ and (b) [O III] $\lambda 5007 \text{ \AA}$ with respect to the $H\alpha$ recombination line emission.	213
4.4	The density distribution adopted for photoionization modeling of Hb 4.	224
4.5	NLTE model atmosphere flux (Rauch 2003) used as an ionizing source in the photoionization model.	226

LIST OF FIGURES

4.6	Spatial distributions of electron temperature, electron density and ionic fractions from the photoionization MC1.	238
5.1	H α obtained from the SuperCOSMOS Sky H α Survey. Extinction $c(\text{H}\beta)$ map of Abell 48.	254
5.2	IFU Maps of the PN Abell 48 in H α λ 6563 and [N II] λ 6584	258
5.3	SHAPE mesh model before rendering and corresponding rendered model.	260
5.4	Ionic abundance maps of Abell 48.	268
5.5	Non-LTE model atmosphere flux calculated with the PoWR models.	270
5.6	The density distribution based on the ISW models adopted for photoionization modelling of Abell 48.	272
5.7	Electron density and temperature as a function of radius along the equatorial direction. Ionic stratification of the nebula. Ionization fractions are shown for helium, carbon, oxygen, argon, nitrogen, neon and sulfur.	278
5.8	VLTP evolutionary tracks from Blöcker (1995a) compared to the position of the central star of Abell 48 derived from our photoionization model.	281
5.9	The position of Abell 48 among the nebular $S_{\text{H}\beta}$ surface brightness and the S_V surface brightness of PNe containing hydrogen-deficient central stars.	284
6.1	The observed optical spectrum of the PN PB 8.	292
6.2	Maps of PB 8 in [N II] λ 6584 Å from the IFU observation.	293
6.3	Density distributions of hydrogen atom as a function of radius for the hydrodynamical models	298
6.4	Non-LTE model atmosphere flux calculated with PoWR models. .	301
6.5	Observed <i>Spitzer</i> spectrum of PB 8 are compared with the SED predicted by the model.	305

6.6	The predicted over observed flux ratio for the chemically homogeneous model MC1 and the bi-chemistry model MC2.	313
7.1	Narrow-band filter image of PN SuWt 2 in $H\alpha$ and $[N\text{ II}] \lambda 6584$ taken with the ESO 3.6-m telescope.	330
7.2	The observed optical spectrum from field 2 located on the east ring of the PN SuWt 2.	338
7.3	Underreddened flux maps for <i>Field 2</i> of the PN SuWt 2: $[O\text{ III}] \lambda 5007$, $H\alpha \lambda 6563$, $[N\text{ II}] \lambda 6584$ and $[S\text{ II}] \lambda 6716$	342
7.4	Flux intensity and radial velocity map in $[N\text{ II}] \lambda 6584$ for <i>Field 1</i> of the PN SuWt 2.	343
7.5	Flux ratio maps of the $[S\text{ II}] \lambda 6716 + \lambda 6731$ to the $H\alpha$ recombination line emission.	345
7.6	Flux ratio maps for <i>Field 2</i> of the PN SuWt 2.	348
7.7	Spatial distribution maps of ionic abundance ratio N^+/H^+ , O^{++}/H^+ and S^+/H^+	354
7.8	3-D isodensity plot of the dense torus adopted for photoionization modeling of SuWt 2.	359
7.9	Comparison of two NLTE model atmosphere fluxes (Rauch 2003) used as ionizing inputs in our 2 models.	363
7.10	The 3-D distributions of electron temperature, electron density and ionic fractions from the adopted the Model 2.	369
7.11	Hertzsprung–Russell diagrams for hydrogen-burning models. . .	372
A.1	Kinematic maps of PB 6 in $H\alpha \lambda 6563 \text{ \AA}$ (top) and $[N\text{ II}] \lambda 6584 \text{ \AA}$ from the WiFeS/IFU taken with the ANU 2.3-m telescope.	426
A.2	As Figure A.1 but for M 3-30.	428
A.3	As Figure A.1 but for Hb 4.	430
A.4	As Figure A.1 but for IC 1297.	432
A.5	As Figure A.1 but for Th 2-A.	434

LIST OF FIGURES

A.6	As Figure A.1 but for Pe 1-1.	436
A.7	As Figure A.1 but for M 1-32.	438
A.8	As Figure A.1 but for M 3-15.	440
A.9	As Figure A.1 but for M 1-25.	442
A.10	As Figure A.1 but for Hen 2-142.	444
A.11	As Figure A.1 but for Hen 3-1333.	446
A.12	As Figure A.1 but for Hen 2-113.	448
A.13	As Figure A.1 but for K 2-16.	450
A.14	As Figure A.1 but for NGC 6578.	452
A.15	As Figure A.1 but for M 2-42.	454
A.16	As Figure A.1 but for NGC 6567.	456
A.17	As Figure A.1 but for NGC 6629.	458
A.18	As Figure A.1 but for Sa 3-107.	460
C.1	Observed optical spectra of PB 6.	484
C.2	As Figure C.1 but for M 3-30.	485
C.3	As Figure C.1 but for Hb 4.	486
C.4	As Figure C.1 but for IC 1297.	487
C.5	As Figure C.1 but for Th 2-A.	488
C.6	As Figure C.1 but for Pe 1-1.	489
C.7	As Figure C.1 but for M 1-32.	490
C.8	As Figure C.1 but for M 3-15.	491
C.9	As Figure C.1 but for M 1-25.	492
C.10	As Figure C.1 but for Hen 2-142.	493
C.11	As Figure C.1 but for Hen 3-1333.	494
C.12	As Figure C.1 but for Hen 2-113.	495
C.13	As Figure C.1 but for K 2-16.	496
C.14	As Figure C.1 but for NGC 6578.	497
C.15	As Figure C.1 but for M 2-42.	498

C.16 As Figure C.1 but for NGC 6567.	499
C.17 As Figure C.1 but for NGC 6629.	500
C.18 As Figure C.1 but for Sa 3-107.	501
D.1 Empirical maps of PB 6.	504
D.2 As Figure D.1 but for M 3-30.	506
D.3 As Figure D.1 but for Hb 4.	508
D.4 As Figure D.1 but for IC 1297.	510
D.5 As Figure D.1 but for Th 2-A.	512
D.6 As Figure D.1 but for Pe 1-1.	514
D.7 As Figure D.1 but for M 1-32.	516
D.8 As Figure D.1 but for M 3-15.	517
D.9 As Figure D.1 but for M 1-25.	518
D.10 As Figure D.1 but for Hen 2-142.	519
D.11 As Figure D.1 but for Hen 3-1333.	520
D.12 As Figure D.1 but for Hen 2-113.	521
D.13 As Figure D.1 but for K 2-16.	522
D.14 As Figure D.1 but for NGC 6578.	523
D.15 As Figure D.1 but for M 2-42.	524
D.16 As Figure D.1 but for NGC 6567.	525
D.17 As Figure D.1 but for NGC 6629.	526
D.18 As Figure D.1 but for Sa 3-107.	527
E.1 Observed optical spectra of the CSPN PB 6.	530
E.2 As Figure E.1 but for the CSPN M3-30.	531
E.3 As Figure E.1 but for the CSPN Hb 4.	532
E.4 As Figure E.1 but for the CSPN IC 1297.	533
E.5 As Figure E.1 but for the CSPN Th 2-A.	534
E.6 As Figure E.1 but for the CSPN Pe 1-1.	535
E.7 As Figure E.1 but for the CSPN M 1-32.	536

LIST OF FIGURES

E.8	As Figure E.1 but for the CSPN M 3-15.	537
E.9	As Figure E.1 but for the CSPN M 1-25.	538
E.10	As Figure E.1 but for the CSPN Hen 2-142.	539
E.11	As Figure E.1 but for the CSPN Hen 3-1333.	540
E.12	As Figure E.1 but for the CSPN Hen 2-113.	541
E.13	As Figure E.1 but for the CSPN K 2-16.	542

List of Tables

1.1	White dwarf spectral classification by McCook & Sion (1999).	13
1.2	Line ratios used for electron temperature determination.	16
1.3	Line ratios used for electron density determination.	18
1.4	Collisionally excited lines often used for ionic abundances determination.	21
1.5	Recombination lines often used for abundance analysis.	21
1.6	WR classification criteria.	28
1.7	WC classification scheme by Crowther et al. (1998).	29
1.8	WC classification scheme by Acker & Neiner (2003).	30
1.9	WN classification scheme by Smith et al. (1996).	31
2.1	PNe with WC central stars observed with the ANU 2.3-m Telescope.	64
2.2	Archival <i>HST</i> images of our sample.	70
2.3	LSR systemic velocities, expansion velocities and morphological classification for PNe.	74
2.4	The key parameters and results of the best-fitting morpho-kinematic models.	90
2.5	Nebular kinematic age obtained from adopted distance, nebular size and expansion velocity.	102
3.1	Journal of observations.	110

LIST OF TABLES

3.2	Comparison between our derived $c(\text{H}\beta)$ and those from the radio- $\text{H}\beta$ method and the literature.	113
3.3	References for CEL atomic data.	119
3.4	Plasma diagnostics.	136
3.5	References for ORL atomic data.	138
3.6	Electron temperatures and densities derived from ORLs.	139
3.7	Comparison of extinctions, electron temperatures and densities derived here from ORLs and CELs with those found in previous studies.	144
3.8	Adopted electron densities and temperature for the CEL and ORL abundance analysis.	151
3.9	Ionic and elemental abundances for helium relative to hydrogen, derived from ORLs, and those for heavy elements, derived from CELs.	154
3.10	Ionic and elemental abundances for carbon, nitrogen and oxygen derived from ORLs.	167
3.11	Comparison of elemental abundances with those found in previ- ous studies.	175
3.12	PN yields by number obtained from the AGB stellar models. . . .	198
4.1	Journal of the Observations for Hb 4.	208
4.2	Plasma diagnostics.	215
4.3	Empirical ionic abundances of the inner shell derived from CELs. .	216
4.4	Empirical ionic abundances derived from ORLs.	217
4.5	Physical properties and model parameters.	222
4.6	Comparison of predictions from models MC1 and MC2 and the observations.	230
4.7	Fractional ionic abundances obtained from the photoionization model MC1.	235

4.8	Mean electron temperatures weighted by ionic species for H β 4 obtained from the photoionization model MC1.	237
4.9	Fractional ionic abundances for the ring obtained from the photoionization model MC2.	245
4.10	Mean electron temperatures weighted by ionic species for the ring obtained from the photoionization model MC2.	246
5.1	Journal of the IFU Observations of Abell 48.	253
5.2	Observed and dereddened relative line fluxes of the PN Abell 48.	256
5.3	Kinematic results obtained for Abell 48 based on the morpho- kinematic model matched to the observed 2-D radial velocity map.	260
5.4	References for atomic data.	262
5.5	Diagnostics for the electron temperature and the electron density.	263
5.6	Empirical ionic abundances derived from ORLs.	264
5.7	Empirical ionic abundances derived from CELs.	267
5.8	Input parameters for the MOCASSIN photoionization models. . . .	273
5.9	Observed and predicted emission lines fluxes for Abell 48. . . .	275
5.10	Fractional ionic abundances for Abell 48 obtained from the photoionization models.	277
5.11	Integrated ionic abundance ratios for He, C, N, O, Ne, S and Ar, derived from model ionic fractions and compared to those from the empirical analysis.	280
5.12	Mean electron temperatures (K) weighted by ionic species for the whole nebula obtained from the photoionization model.	280
6.1	IR line fluxes of the PN PB 8.	291
6.2	Model parameters and physical properties.	296
6.3	Input parameters for the dust model of PB 8.	303
6.4	Comparison of predictions from the models and the observations.	308

LIST OF TABLES

6.5	Mean electron temperatures (K) weighted by ionic species for the whole nebula obtained from the photoionization models.	317
6.6	Mean electron temperatures (K) weighted by ionic species for the whole nebula obtained from the photoionization model MC2. . .	318
6.7	Fractional ionic abundances obtained from the photoionization models.	320
6.8	Fractional ionic abundances obtained from the photoionization model MC2.	321
7.1	Journal of SuWt 2 Observations at the ANU 2.3-m Telescope. . .	332
7.2	Observed and dereddened relative line fluxes.	336
7.3	Kinematic parameters on the SuWt 2's ring and its central star. . .	344
7.4	Diagnostic ratios for the electron temperature and the electron density.	350
7.5	Ionic and total abundances deduced from empirical analysis of the observed fluxes across different nebula regions of SuWt 2. . .	353
7.6	Parameters of the two best-fitting photoionization models.	361
7.7	Model line fluxes for SuWt 2.	365
7.8	Mean electron temperatures (K) weighted by ionic species for the whole nebula obtained from the photoionization model.	367
7.9	Fractional ionic abundances for SuWt 2 obtained from the photoionization models.	371
7.10	Integrated ionic abundance ratios for the entire nebula obtained from the photoionization models.	374
B.1	Observed and dereddened relative line fluxes of PB 6.	464
B.2	As Table B.1 but for M 3-30.	465
B.3	As Table B.1 but for Hb 4.	466
B.4	As Table B.1 but for IC 1297.	468
B.5	As Table B.1 but for Th 2-A.	469

B.6	As Table B.1 but for Pe 1-1.	470
B.7	As Table B.1 but for M 1-32.	471
B.8	As Table B.1 but for M 3-15.	472
B.9	As Table B.1 but for M 1-25.	473
B.10	As Table B.1 but for Hen 2-142.	474
B.11	As Table B.1 but for Hen 3-1333.	475
B.12	As Table B.1 but for Hen 2-113.	476
B.13	As Table B.1 but for K 2-16.	477
B.14	As Table B.1 but for NGC 6578.	478
B.15	As Table B.1 but for M 2-42.	479
B.16	As Table B.1 but for NGC 6567.	480
B.17	As Table B.1 but for NGC 6629.	481
B.18	As Table B.1 but for Sa 3-107.	482