

ICAR

INSTITUTIONAL
CHANGE IN
AGRICULTURE AND
NATURAL RESOURCES

Muluken Elias Adamseged

Climate Change Adaptation, Institutions and Livelihood Dynamics of Rural Households

**SHAKER
VERLAG**

Climate Change Adaptation, Institutions and Livelihood Dynamics of Rural Households

Institutional Change in Agriculture and Natural Resources
Institutioneller Wandel der Landwirtschaft und Ressourcennutzung

edited by/herausgegeben von
Volker Beckmann & Konrad Hagedorn

Volume/Band 73

Muluken Elias Adamseged

**Climate Change Adaptation, Institutions and
Livelihood Dynamics of Rural Households**

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2018

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Berlin, Humboldt-Univ., Diss., 2018

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2018

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-6122-2

ISSN 1617-4828

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

Aim and Scope of the Series

„Nothing endures but change“. Heraclitus the Ephesian (ca. 535–475 BC)

Institutions, defined as “the rules of the game”, are a key factor to the sustainable development of societies. They structure not only the multitude of human-human interactions of modern societies, but also most of the human-nature interactions. Poverty, famine, civil war, degradation of natural resources and even the collapse of ecosystems and societies often have institutional causes, likewise social and economic prosperity, sustainable use of resources and the resilience of socio-ecological systems. Agriculture, forestry and fisheries are those human activities where the interdependencies between human-human and human-nature interactions are perhaps most pronounced, and diverse institutions have been developed in history to govern them.

Social and ecological conditions are, however, ever changing, which continuously challenge the existing institutional structure at a given point in time. Those changes may be long-term, like population growth or climate change, medium-term, such as new technologies or changing price relations, or short-term, like floods or bankruptcies, but all of them pose the question whether the rules of the game need to be adapted. Failures to adapt timely and effectively may come at a high social cost. Institutional change, however, face a principal dilemma: on the one hand, institutions need to be stable to structure expectations and effectively influence human behaviors; on the other hand, they need to be adaptive to respond to the ever changing circumstance mentioned above. Understanding stability and change as well as developing adaptive institutions and effective, efficient and fair mechanisms of change are, therefore, of central importance for societies and an ongoing research challenge for social scientists.

If we want to improve the effectiveness, efficiency and adaptability of institutions, it stands to reason that we have to develop a good understanding of the causes, effects, processes and mechanism of stability and change. This is the aim of the series “Institutional Change in Agriculture and Natural Resources,” which attempts to answer the questions “How do processes and mechanism of institutional change actually work? What and who are the main determinants and actors driving, governing and influencing these processes? What are the economic, political, social and ecological consequences? How can adaptive institutions be designed and developed, and what governance structures are required to make them effective?” These are the questions at the heart of the series. The works published in this series seek to provide answers to these questions in different economic, social, political and historical contexts.

Volker Beckmann and Konrad Hagedorn

Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-Universität Greifswald und Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

Acknowledgements

First and foremost I would like to praise God who has helped me throughout my life. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Prof. Andreas Thiel for the continuous support of my doctoral studies, for his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I am grateful to Professor Wolfgang Bokelmann for the mentorship and unconditional support he has provided me through the whole process. I would like to thank Dr. Aymen Frija for the valuable comment and time he has provided me.

While pursuing this research endeavor, I had the chance to work together with great colleagues and friends at a number of different places and institutions. My special thanks go to my friends and colleagues, Dr. Matteo Rogerro, Anja Betker, Misginaw Tamirat and Amilcar Pereiraat Environmental governance group at Humboldt University of Berlin, for their valuable comments particularly at the beginning of PHD. Special thanks goes to Jonah Wedekind for his friendship, comments and supports throughout the PhD journey. I also owe great thanks to Dr. Sarah D'haen who has provided me valuable inputs to shape the research. I would also like to acknowledge the fruitful input on various presentations of this work including IRI THESys seminar, RESS colloquium and different international conferences and symposiums. Many thanks to Dr. Fistum Hagos and Dr. Amare Haileselassie who hosted me during my research stay in IWMI. I am indebted to the late Professor Workneh Negatu for his mentorship and encouragement for my academic career.

Special thanks go to Evangelische Studienwerk villigist for the PhD scholarship for three years and fund my field work. I would also like to thank the THESys Graduate Program for funding my field work for three months and research visit in Jordan. In particular I would like to thank Kathrin Klementz for her collaboration and support throughout the process. Furthermore I wish to thank Renate Judis for her support with many issues since the beginning of my stay in Berlin till the completion of my PhD.

Much gratitude goes to my research assistants who helped me during the three months of data collection in Shumsheha and Harresaw Kebeles. In particular, I would like to thank Zenebe Abraham for his friendship and make my life easy during my field work in Shumsheha. I also like to thank the woreda officials and agricultural experts in Atsebi Wemberta and Lasta, and Kebele officials and extension workers in Harresaw and Shumseha Kebeles. More importantly I would like to thank all the households and experts who have provided me their valuable time to give all the necessary information that is needed for the study.

Moreover, I wish to thank my mother Woyineshet Gesesse, and my father Elias Adamseged who have started this journey long time ago in the situation when it was not even conducive for themselves. I am very grateful for all the sacrifice that

you have paid throughout this journey. I am also indebted to Ato Yeshingus Adamseged, and W/ro LiyaTesso for their encouragement and support in every aspects of my life. I would like to thank my sisters and brothers for their support. Special thanks to Dr. Messay Gebremariam for his support throughout this journey.

Most importantly, my sincerest gratitude goes to my wife and best friend Dr. Sindu Workneh Kebede. Her love, unreserved support, and patience in this journey was amazing. Above that her immense reading of the dissertation provides me constructive and critical comments which have improved the dissertation for better, I cannot thank you enough. My son Nathay, you brought a lot of happiness and laughter on the journey! You are a symbol for God's goodness.

Finally, all my friends in Berlin and beyond, I am more grateful than I can say. I greatly appreciate your friendship and deeply appreciate your help and encouragement. I would like to express my gratitude to Ato Arefayne Hagos and his family for their unconditional support. I am deeply thankful for their great compassion and constant support.

Berlin, July 2018

Muluken Elias Adamseged

*Dedicate to my father Elias Adamseged:
who has seen the glimpse of hope*

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	vii
List of Tables.....	xv
List of Figures	xvii
List of Appendices	xix
List of abbreviations.....	xxi
1 Introduction	1
1.1. Motivation.....	1
1.2. Literature gaps	2
1.3. Research objective	3
1.4. Dissertation structure and design.....	4
1.5. Main findings.....	8
2 Dynamics of rural livelihoods and rainfall variability in Northern Ethiopia	11
2.1. Introduction.....	11
2.2. Rural livelihoods and rainfall variability in Ethiopia.....	12
2.3. Study Areas.....	14
2.4. Methods	16
2.4.1. <i>Data set</i>	16
2.4.2. <i>Conceptual Framework</i>	17
2.4.3. <i>Model Variables</i>	19
2.4.4. <i>Econometric model</i>	20
2.5. Results.....	22
2.5.1. <i>Socio-economic characteristics of rural households</i>	22
2.5.2. <i>Rainfall patterns in recent decades</i>	23
2.5.3. <i>Non-farm livelihood patterns in the study areas</i>	25
2.5.4. <i>Determinants of Livelihood activities</i>	26
2.6. Discussion, conclusions and perspectives	30

2.6.1. <i>Livelihood patterns</i>	30
2.6.2. <i>Rainfall and socio-economic livelihood decision making</i>	30
2.6.3. <i>Conclusions and perspectives</i>	32
3 Farm and non-farm livelihood strategies and their choice determinants for climate change adaptation in Northern Ethiopia	35
3.1. Introduction	35
3.1.1. <i>Overview</i>	35
3.1.2. <i>Country background</i>	36
3.1.3. <i>Previous research on climate change adaptation</i>	37
3.2. Conceptual framework: climate change, adaptation and livelihoods	39
3.3. Research design, data set and methods	41
3.3.1. <i>Selection of study areas</i>	41
3.3.2. <i>Dataset</i>	42
3.3.3. <i>Description of the study areas</i>	42
3.4. Empirical model	43
3.4.1. <i>Multivariate probit model</i>	43
3.4.2. <i>Dependent variables</i>	45
3.4.3. <i>Independent variables</i>	46
3.5. Results and discussion	48
3.5.1. <i>Descriptive results – livelihood adaptation strategies</i>	48
3.5.2. <i>Econometric results</i>	50
3.5.3. <i>Discussion</i>	55
3.6. Conclusions	57
4 How do institutions manage climate-adaptation strategies at the local level? Evidence from Northern Ethiopia	59
4.1. Introduction	59
4.2. Irrigation and integrated watershed management strategies in Ethiopia	61
4.3. Analytical framework	64
4.4. Research design	67
4.4.1. <i>Selection of the study areas</i>	67

4.4.2. Data set and methods	68
4.4.3. Description of study areas.....	69
4.5. Results.....	70
4.5.1. Irrigation schemes and WUAs in the study Kebeles	70
4.5.2. Collective action for irrigation	71
4.5.3. Participatory IWSM in the study Kebeles	78
4.5.4. Collective action for IWSM.....	79
4.5.5. Comparison of institutions and institutional arrangements for irrigation provision and IWSM.....	86
4.6. Discussion of characteristics of institutions for adaptation strategies....	88
4.7. Conclusions and outlook	91
5 Overarching discussion, conclusions and outlook.....	95
5.1. Overarching summary and discussion.....	95
5.2. Overarching conclusions	97
5.3. Limitations, methodological implications and future outlook	99
References	101
Appendices	109