Schriftenreihe des Lehrstuhls für Agrartechnik in den Tropen und Subtropen der Universität Hohenheim

Ziba Barati

Improving the peeling process of cassava tubers through physical and biochemical treatment



Improving the peeling process of cassava tubers through physical and biochemical treatment

Dissertation to obtain the doctoral degree of Agricultural Sciences (Dr. sc. agr.)

Faculty of Agricultural Sciences

University of Hohenheim

Institute of Agricultural Engineering 440e
Tropics and Subtropics Group

submitted by Ziba Barati

from Isfahan, Iran 2020

This thesis was accepted as a doctoral dissertation in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree "Doktor der Agrarwissenschaften" by the Faculty of Agricultural Sciences at Universität Hohenheim on 11.03.2020.

Date of oral examination: 18.09.2020

Examination Committee

Prof. Dr. Joachim Müller (Supervisor and Reviewer)

Prof. Dr. Oliver Hensel (Co-Reviewer)

apl. Prof. Dr. Eva Gallmann (Additional examiner)Prof. Dr. Thilo Streck (Deputy of the Dean)

Schriftenreihe des Lehrstuhls für Agrartechnik in den Tropen und Subtropen der Universität Hohenheim

herausgegeben von Prof. Dr. Joachim Müller

Band 20/2020

Ziba Barati

Improving the peeling process of cassava tubers through physical and biochemical treatment

D 100 (Diss. Universität Hohenheim)

Shaker Verlag Düren 2020

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

Zugl.: Hohenheim, Univ., Diss., 2020

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2020 All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-7658-5 ISSN 1867-4631

Shaker Verlag GmbH • Am Langen Graben 15a • 52353 Düren Phone: 0049/2421/99011-0 • Telefax: 0049/2421/99011-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my appreciation to all those who assisted me in carrying out this research. This research was funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), represented by the project management Jülich (PTJ) under Project No. 031B0217 "CassavaUpgrade" and the foundation fiat panis (Ulm, Germany) under Project No. 33/2015 "Evaluation and optimization of enzymatic cassava roots peeling".

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Joachim Müller for giving me the opportunity to do my doctoral research in his team. I am very thankful for his continuous supervision and support during my Ph.D. study. I would also like to sincerely appreciate my mentor, Dr. Sajid Latif for his guidance and support during my study. I am very thankful to Dr. Sebastian Romuli for his advice, kind support and friendship. I sincerely appreciate Prof. Dr. Oliver Hensel for his willingness to be a second examiner of my doctoral thesis.

I can not thank enough my office-mates, Dr. Sebastian Awiszus, Alice-Jaqueline Reineke and Sebastian Reyer, for all of their kind support and the good working atmosphere.

I gratefully acknowledge Ute Waldeck, Olga Gotra, Sarah Fleischmann, Dorothea Hirschbach- Müller, Rebecca Harer for their support and their recommendations and providing me a warm working atmosphere in the labs. Special appreciations to Sabine Nugent for proofreading my manuscripts and thesis and Ute Kayser for her kind support.

I would like to thank the team of the metal and electronic workshops of the Institute of Agricultural Engineering for their assistance and support. Special appreciations to Klaus Lutz and Uwe Mauch. I am also grateful to my student assistances, Olimjon Tuychiev, Sibghat Ullah and Asfandyar Chaudhary for their kind supports and recommendations during my experiments.

I would also like to acknowledge all my colleagues, experts and friends who assisted and encouraged me by sharing their academic experiences and sympathy. Many thanks to Dr. Jens Hartung, Kiatkamjon Intani and Dr. Klaus Meissner.

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my dearest parents, Morteza Barati and Nahid Tanhaie, and my beloved husband, Hamid Mahmoudzadeh Niknam. I have to thank my parents for their love, their support for the whole of my life and giving me the strength to follow my

Acknowledgements

dreams. Last but not least, I have to thank my husband for his endless love and support during my entire doctoral study with all its ups and downs.

Hohenheim, February 2020

Ziba Barati

Table of contents

1	Gene	eral introduction	1
	1.1	Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz)	1
	1.2	Peeling process	3
	1.2.1	Manual peeling	3
	1.2.2	Mechanical peeling	
	1.2.3	Chemical peeling	4
	1.2.4	Thermal peeling	5
	1.2.5	Enzymatic peeling	6
	1.3	Problem statement and challenges	7
	1.4	Objectives and structure of research	8
	1.5	References	11
2	Part	I: Enzymatic hydrolysis of cassava peels as potential pre-treatment for pe	eling
0	f cassav	a tubers	17
	2.1	Abstract	17
	2.2	Introduction	17
	2.3	Material and methods	20
	2.3.1	Samples	20
		Characterisation of cassava peels	
	2.3.3	Enzymes	20
		Enzymatic hydrolysis	
		Measurement of glucose content	
		Measurement of TSS content	22
		Experimental design for statistical optimization of enzymatic treatment of	
		va peels	
		Scanning Electron Microscopy of enzyme-treated cassava peels	
		Manual peeling	
		1 Statistical analysis	
		Results and discussion	
		Characteristics of cassava peels	
		Effect of enzyme treatment on cassava peels	
	2.4.3	6	
	2.4.4	Scanning electron microscopy of cassava peels	31

		.5 Influence of enzyme treatment on manual peeling performance and penetration of cassava tubers	
	2.5	Conclusion	.35
	2.6	Appendix A. Supplementary data	.36
	2.7	References	.42
3	Pai	rt II: Enzyme-assisted mechanical peeling of cassava tubers	
	3.1	Abstract	
	3.1	Abstract	.4/
	3.2	Introduction	.47
	3.3	Material and methods	.49
	3.3	.1 Materials	.49
		.2 Experimental procedure	
		.3 Starch, crude fiber and color of cassava peel residues	
		.4 Box-Behnken design	
	3.3.	.5 Statistical analysis	.52
	3.4	Results and discussion	.53
	3.4	.1 The effect of different incision patterns for enzyme infusion on the peeling	
	pro	cess of cassava tubers	.53
		.2 The effect of enzyme dose, incubation time, peeling time and size of tubers o	
		peeling process of cassava tubers	.54
		3 Correlation of peel loss with crude fiber, starch content and color of the peel	
	resi	idue	.63
	3.5	Conclusions	.65
	3.6	Appendix B. Supplementary data	.67
	3.7	References	.70
1	Par	rt III: Freeze-thaw pre-treatment of cassava tubers to improve efficiency	of
n		nical peeling	
		•	
	4.1	Abstract	.74
	4.2	Introduction	.74
	4.3	Material and methods	.76
	4.3	.1 Material	.76
	4.3	.2 Characterization of cassava tubers	.76
	4.3	.3 Experimental procedure	.76
	4.3	.4 Experimental design	.77
	13	5 Starch content analysis	70

	4.3.0	 Scanning Electron Microscopy of freeze-thaw-treated cassava tubers 		
	4.3.	7 Statistical and data analysis	79	
	4.4	Results and discussions	80	
	4.4.	Characteristics of cassava tubers	80	
		2 Effect of the rotational speed of the brushes, the peeling time, and FTP of		
		ing process for cassava tubers		
	4.4.3	B Effect of FTP on the quality of cassava tubers	89	
	4.5	Conclusions	91	
	4.6	References	93	
5	Gen	eral discussion	96	
	5.1	Enzymatic hydrolysis of cassava peels	96	
	5.2	Enzyme-assisted mechanical peeling	98	
	5.3	Effect of freeze-thaw pre-treatment on mechanical peeling of cassava t	ubers	
			101	
	5.4	Outlook	103	
	5.5	References	105	
6	Sun	nmary	110	
7	Zus	ammenfassung	113	
C	Curriculum vitae11			
P	ublicat	ions	118	

List of tables

Table 2.1 Comparison of peeling methods for cassava tubers
Table 2.2 Characteristics of the cassava peels
Table 2.3 RSM design matrix and experiment results for enzyme treatment28
Table 2.4 Peeling yield (%), peeling time (min), easiness of peeling of cassava tubers treated with enzyme solution, blank solution and without treatment (control)34
Table 3.1 Peeled surface area (PSA) and peel loss (PL) of cassava tubers by different incision patterns (spiral, meridian and perforation) after mechanical peeling
Table 3.2 Design matrix of RSM and experimental (EXP) and predicted (Pred) values for peeled surface area (PSA) and peel loss (PL) of enzyme treated cassava tubers after mechanical peeling.
Table 3.3 Regression coefficients (β) for peeled surface area (PSA) of small, medium and large cassava tubers after enzymatic treatment and mechanically peeling56
Table 3.4 ANOVA for reduced polynomial equation for the effect of enzymatic treatment peeling time and size of tubers on the peeled surface area, PSA of cassava tubers57
Table 3.5 Regression coefficients (β) for peel loss (PL) of small, medium and large cassava tubers after enzymatic treatment and mechanically peeling
Table 3.6 ANOVA for reduced polynomial equation for the effect of enzymatic treatment, peeling time and size of tubers on the peel loss, PL of cassava tubers60
Table 3.7 Optimum operating conditions in terms of enzyme dose, incubation time and peeling time along with the measured (Exp) and predicted (Pred) values of the peeled surface area (PSA) and peel loss (PL) of small, medium and large size cassava tubers
Table 3.8 Linear mathematical models of crude fiber (CF), starch content (SC) and lightness (L) values of peel residues with peel loss (PL) after mechanical peeling of enzymatically treated cassava tubers
Table 4.1 Independent variables and limit levels for response surface study

Table 4.2 Characteristics of cassava tubers (n = 20)
Table 4.3 Experimental layout designed by Design Expert and its corresponding experimental and predicted values of responses
Table 4.4 ANOVA for reduced cubic equation for the effect of freeze—thaw pre-treatment (FTP), rotational speed of brushes, and peeling time on the peeled surface area (PSA) of cassava tubers
Table 4.5 ANOVA for reduced cubic equation for the effect of FTP, the rotational speed of the brushes, and the peeling time on the peel loss (PL) of cassava tubers
Table 4.6 Comparison of starch content of peeled cassava tubers after FTP using the peeling machine at optimum conditions and manually peeled cassava tubers90

List of figures

Figure 1.1 Global production of cassava from 1960 to 2017. Data is derived from FAOsta (2017)
(2017)
Figure 2.1 Glucose content (%) of cassava peels after enzyme treatment, (a, b, c) surface plots indicating the effect of pH, temperature (°C), incubation time (h), enzyme dose (mL), (d) normal probability plot of the residuals and (e) plot of residuals versus
predicted values.
Figure 2.2 The sugar content (%) and TSS (°Bx) of cassava peels after enzyme treatment a the optimum conditions and without enzyme treatment
Figure 2.3 Scanned electron micrograph of cassava peels without enzyme treatment (a,b) and after enzyme treatment (c,d) at optimum conditions (CW: cell wall)32
Figure 3.1 Incision patterns on cassava tubers for enzyme treatment (A: spiral, B: meridian C: perforation).
Figure 3.2 Peeled surface area (PSA) of cassava tubers after enzyme treatment and mechanical peeling vs. peeling time and the enzyme dose at an incubation time of 4 h (a) small, (b) medium and (c) large size of cassava tubers
(a) sman, (b) medium and (c) large size of cassava tubers.
Figure 3.3 Peel loss (PL) of cassava tubers after enzyme treatment and mechanical peeling
vs. peeling time and enzyme dose at incubation time of 4 h; (a) small, (b) medium and (c) large size of cassava tubers
Figure 3.4 (a) Correlation of the crude fiber content (CF) of the peel residue with peel loss
(PL), (b) correlation of the starch content (SC) of the peel residue with the peel loss (PL)
and (c) correlation of the lightness (L) of the peel residue with the peel loss (PL) after
mechanical peeling of enzyme treated cassava tubers64
Figure 4.1 The prototype cassava peeling machine
Figure 4.2 (a-d) Surface plots indicating the effect of the rotational speed of the brushes to
(rpm), peeling time t_p (min), thawing temperature T (°C), and incubation time t_t (s) or
the peeled surface area PSA (%) of cassava tubers while keeping the other variables
constant. (e) Normal probability plot of the residuals of PSA. (f) Plot of residuals versus
predicted values of PSA85

Figure 4.3 (a-d) Surface plots indicating the effect of the rotational speed of the brushes v		
(rpm), peeling time t_p (min), thawing temperature T (°C), and incubation time t_t (s) on		
the peel loss PL (%) of cassava tubers, while keeping the other variables constant. (e)		
Normal probability plot of the residuals of PL. (f) Plot of residuals versus predicted		
values of PL		
Figure 4.4 Scanning electron micrographs corresponding to peeled cassava tubers (a,b) with		
FTP using the peeling machine at optimum conditions and (c,d) manually peeled		
cassava tubers. 91		