

Nils Trochelmann  
Hamburg

## Zonal Electro-Hydraulic Power Generation in Commercial Aircraft



# **Zonal Electro-Hydraulic Power Generation in Commercial Aircraft**

Vom Promotionsausschuss der  
Technischen Universität Hamburg  
zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades  
Doktor-Ingenieur (Dr.-Ing.)  
genehmigte Dissertation

von  
**Nils Trochelmann, M. Sc.**

aus Hamburg

2023

1. Gutachter: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Frank Thielecke  
Institut für Flugzeug-Systemtechnik  
Technische Universität Hamburg
2. Gutachter: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Thorsten A. Kern  
Institut für Mechatronik im Maschinenbau  
Technische Universität Hamburg

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 25. November 2022

Schriftenreihe Flugzeug-Systemtechnik

Band 1/2023

**Nils Trochelmann**

**Zonal Electro-Hydraulic Power Generation  
in Commercial Aircraft**

Shaker Verlag  
Düren 2023

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Hamburg, Techn. Univ., Diss., 2022

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2023

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-8998-1

ISSN 1861-5279

Shaker Verlag GmbH • Am Langen Graben 15a • 52353 Düren

Phone: 0049/2421/99011-0 • Telefax: 0049/2421/99011-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

# Danksagung

Diese Arbeit entstand während meiner Tätigkeit als wissenschaftlicher Mitarbeiter am Institut für Flugzeug-Systemtechnik der Technischen Universität Hamburg.

Mein erster und besonderer Dank gilt meinem Doktorvater Prof. Dr.-Ing. Frank Thielecke für das große mir entgegengebrachte Vertrauen und die beständige Unterstützung meiner Arbeit. Außerdem danke ich Herrn Prof. Dr.-Ing. Thorsten A. Kern für die freundliche Bereitschaft, die Tätigkeit des Zweitgutachters zu übernehmen.

Die inhaltlichen Grundlagen dieser Arbeit entstanden im Rahmen der Forschungsprojekte eHEPP in Zusammenarbeit mit der LIEBHERR-AEROSPACE LINDBERG GMBH sowie SmartHePP in Zusammenarbeit mit AIRBUS. Besonderer Dank gebührt Dirk Metzler für die langjährige fachliche Unterstützung und die vertrauensvolle und freundschaftliche Zusammenarbeit. Großer Dank geht zudem an Martin Hamm, Rolf Goessing und Robert Behr für viele anregende Diskussionen und die konstruktive Zusammenarbeit.

Ich danke allen Mitarbeitern des Instituts für die hervorragende Zusammenarbeit und die freundschaftliche Atmosphäre. Besonders danke ich den Kollegen aus der Hydraulikgruppe, Dr.-Ing. Carsten Dunker, Tillmann Rave, Lennard Nordmann und Phillip Bischof. Der gemeinsame Aufbau des Systemprüfstands ist mir in wertvoller Erinnerung. Mein Dank gilt hier auch Detlef Lehmann und Hinrich Sieber. Ohne sie wäre der Prüfstandsaufbau so nicht möglich gewesen. Für bereichernde Fachdiskussionen und die freundschaftliche Verbundenheit danke ich insbesondere Dr.-Ing. Christoph Kupfer, Nicolas Sedlmair, Dr.-Ing. Martin Halle, Marc Jünemann und Dr.-Ing. Julian Theis. Nicht zuletzt gebührt mein Dank allen Studenten, die maßgeblich zum Gelingen dieser Arbeit beigetragen haben. Stellvertretend sei hier der spätere Kollege Jonathan Jobmann genannt.

Schließlich möchte ich mich ganz besonders bei meinen Eltern, meiner Schwester und meiner Freundin Birthe bedanken. Ihr habt mich stets unterstützt. Ohne euren Rückhalt wäre diese Arbeit nicht möglich gewesen.

Hamburg, im Januar 2023

*Nils Trochelmann*



# Contents

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Nomenclature</b>	
Symbols . . . . .	xv
Subscripts . . . . .	xix
Abbreviations . . . . .	xxi
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Hydraulic Power Systems in Commercial Aircraft . . . . .	4
1.1.1 Architectures and System Concepts . . . . .	4
1.1.2 Electro-Hydraulic Power Generation . . . . .	8
1.1.3 System Control and Monitoring . . . . .	12
1.2 Motivation and Objectives . . . . .	15
1.3 Organization and Approach . . . . .	16
<b>2 Zonal Electro-Hydraulic Power Generation Concept</b>	<b>19</b>
2.1 Zonal System Concepts . . . . .	19
2.1.1 Hydraulic Actuation Functions . . . . .	19
2.1.2 Fully Decentralized Architecture . . . . .	21
2.1.3 Partly Decentralized Architecture . . . . .	23
2.2 Requirements and Design Aspects for Electro-Hydraulic Power Generation . . . . .	24
2.3 eH Power Generation Module Design . . . . .	29
2.3.1 EMP System Concept and Component Technology . . . . .	29
2.3.2 Hydraulic Power Package Concept . . . . .	33
2.3.3 Component Sizing . . . . .	37
2.4 Specific Objectives . . . . .	42

<b>3 Experimental Set-Up, Investigation, and Modelling of the VSFD EMP</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1 Aircraft VSFD EMP Prototype . . . . .	43
3.1.1 Internal Gear Pump . . . . .	44
3.1.2 Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor . . . . .	45
3.1.3 Three-Phase Inverter and EMP Control . . . . .	46
3.2 Electric Motor-Driven Pump Test Rig . . . . .	47
3.2.1 Test Rig Set-Up and Hydraulic System . . . . .	47
3.2.2 Control and Data Acquisition System . . . . .	50
3.3 Experimental Investigations . . . . .	51
3.3.1 IGP Endurance . . . . .	51
3.3.2 Inlet Performance and Suction Limit . . . . .	53
3.3.3 Steady State Performance and Efficiency . . . . .	55
3.4 VSFD EMP Model . . . . .	62
<b>4 Robust Pressure Control for Speed Variable Pumps</b>	<b>67</b>
4.1 Review of Electro-Hydraulic Pressure Control . . . . .	67
4.2 Requirements and Constraints . . . . .	68
4.3 Loopshaping Design Method . . . . .	70
4.4 Controller Design, Tuning, and Verification . . . . .	73
4.4.1 Definition of Pressure Control Structure, Plant, and Sensitivities . . . . .	73
4.4.2 Specification of Design Targets . . . . .	76
4.4.3 Design and Tuning . . . . .	78
4.4.4 Design Verification . . . . .	80
4.4.5 Robustness Analysis . . . . .	83
4.5 Investigation of System Degradation . . . . .	87
4.5.1 Loss of Accumulator Pre-Charge . . . . .	87
4.5.2 Degraded Dynamic Performance . . . . .	89
<b>5 Dual EMP System Control</b>	<b>91</b>
5.1 Multi Pump Hydraulic Systems . . . . .	91
5.2 Reference System and Requirements . . . . .	94
5.2.1 Experimental Set-Up of the Dual EMP System . . . . .	94

5.2.2	Non-Linear Model . . . . .	95
5.2.3	Requirements . . . . .	97
5.3	Definition of the Control Structure . . . . .	97
5.4	Pressure Controller Design, Tuning, and Verification . . . . .	100
5.4.1	Linear Plant Model . . . . .	100
5.4.2	Design and Tuning . . . . .	102
5.4.3	Design Verification . . . . .	103
5.5	Control Allocation and Reconfiguration . . . . .	105
5.5.1	Supervisory Control . . . . .	106
5.5.2	Control Allocation Algorithm . . . . .	108
5.5.3	Design Evaluation and Verification . . . . .	111
<b>6</b>	<b>Integrated System Control Strategies</b>	<b>117</b>
6.1	Review of Variable Pressure Control Strategies . . . . .	117
6.1.1	Valve Control . . . . .	117
6.1.2	Displacement Control . . . . .	121
6.2	System Test Rig . . . . .	123
6.2.1	Main Landing Gear Test Rig . . . . .	125
6.2.2	Primary Flight Control Test Rig . . . . .	126
6.2.3	Test Rig System Model . . . . .	128
6.3	Center Zone System Control . . . . .	129
6.3.1	Objectives and Requirements . . . . .	129
6.3.2	Control Concept Definition and Design . . . . .	130
6.3.3	Design Evaluation and Experimental Verification . . . . .	133
6.4	Tail Zone System Control . . . . .	137
6.4.1	Objectives and Requirements . . . . .	137
6.4.2	Control Concept Definition and Design . . . . .	138
6.4.3	Design Evaluation and Experimental Verification . . . . .	142
6.5	Combined Zone System Control . . . . .	147
6.5.1	Objectives and Requirements . . . . .	148
6.5.2	Control Concept Definition and Design . . . . .	148
6.5.3	Design Evaluation and Experimental Verification . . . . .	149

<b>7 Overall System Analysis and Architecture Evaluation</b>	<b>153</b>
7.1 Integrated Thermal-Dynamic System Model . . . . .	153
7.2 Reference Mission and Atmosphere . . . . .	158
7.3 Thermal-Dynamic Overall System Analyses . . . . .	159
7.3.1 Center Zone System . . . . .	159
7.3.2 Tail Zone System . . . . .	165
7.3.3 Combined Zone System . . . . .	171
7.4 Comparative Architecture Evaluation . . . . .	173
7.4.1 System Mass . . . . .	175
7.4.2 Electric Power Consumption . . . . .	176
7.4.3 Reliability, Availability, Complexity . . . . .	177
7.4.4 Further Aspects . . . . .	178
7.4.5 Summary of the Comparison . . . . .	179
<b>8 Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>185</b>

# List of Figures

1.1	MEA Scenario with Electric Wing and Zonal eH Systems in the Fuselage . . . . .	3
1.2	Overview of System Architectures . . . . .	5
1.3	Design a) and Pressure over Flow Characteristic b) of a Conventional Aircraft EMP . . . . .	9
2.1	Topology of the Zonal Electro-Hydraulic System . . . . .	21
2.2	Decentralized eH System Architecture with a Nose Zone System and a Combined Center-Tail Zone System . . . . .	23
2.3	Hydraulic Load Profiles . . . . .	24
2.4	Requirements of SAE-AS595 . . . . .	25
2.5	Overview of EMP System Concepts . . . . .	30
2.6	Baseline HPP Concept . . . . .	34
2.7	HPP Control Architecture and Integration into the Avionic System . . . . .	36
2.8	Preliminary Model Based Design Process . . . . .	37
2.9	Sizing of the PMSM Torque . . . . .	39
2.10	Sizing of Parallel Pumps . . . . .	40
3.1	Aircraft VSFD EMP Prototype . . . . .	44
3.2	Schematic of an Internal Gear Pump . . . . .	45
3.3	EMP Control Architecture . . . . .	46
3.4	Set Up of the EMP Test Rig . . . . .	48
3.5	Hydraulic Circuit of the EMP Test Rig for Pump Component and Motor Pump Unit Tests . . . . .	49
3.6	Test Rig Control and Data Acquisition Architecture . . . . .	50
3.7	Result Evaluation of Endurance Run with Internal Gear Pump Prototype . . . . .	53
3.8	Suction Limit Test Evaluation . . . . .	55
3.9	Measured and Modelled Total Leakage a) and Volumetric Efficiency b) of the IGP Prototype at Normal Fluid Temperature . . . . .	57

3.10 Measured and Modelled Total Torque Losses a) and Hydro-Mechanical Efficiency b) of the IGP Prototype at Normal Fluid Temperature . . . . .	59
3.11 Measured and Modelled MPU Power Losses and Efficiency at normal Fluid Temperature . . . . .	61
3.12 Sound Level and Flow Pulsation of MPU Prototype . . . . .	62
3.13 EMP Control Design Model . . . . .	63
3.14 Comparison of Experiment and Non-Linear Simulation of a Load Step Response at Normal Fluid Temperature . . . . .	66
4.1 Basic One Degree-of-Freedom Control Loop . . . . .	70
4.2 Open and Closed Target Loopshapes . . . . .	71
4.3 Inverse Weighting Filters . . . . .	73
4.4 Cascaded Pressure Controller of a Single EMP . . . . .	74
4.5 Rearranged Pressure Control Loop . . . . .	74
4.6 Pressure Controller Tuning Results . . . . .	78
4.7 Tuning Result with Pre-Filter . . . . .	80
4.8 Baseline Controller Performance Verification by Non-Linear Simulation and Experiment . . . . .	81
4.9 Uncertain Model in M- $\Delta$ Configuration . . . . .	85
4.10 Singular Values for Stability and Performance . . . . .	86
4.11 Pressure Controller Performance in a System without Accumulator . . . . .	88
4.12 Dynamic Performance Degradation . . . . .	90
5.1 Dual EMP System Configuration with VSFD EMP Prototypes . . . . .	94
5.2 Duplex Design Model . . . . .	95
5.3 Systemization of Control Structures . . . . .	99
5.4 Dual EMP Pressure Control Concept . . . . .	100
5.5 Linear Plant Model of Duplex System . . . . .	101
5.6 Linear Plant Model of Duplex System in SISO Configuration . . . . .	101
5.7 Result of Duplex Pressure Controller Design . . . . .	102
5.8 Open Loop Frequency Response of the Duplex EMP System . . . . .	104

5.9	Non-Linear Simulation and Experimental Validation of Disturbance Rejection Performance of Duplex Pressure Controller with Dissimilar EMPs . . . . .	105
5.10	HPP Supervisory Control Architecture . . . . .	106
5.11	Reconfiguration Management . . . . .	107
5.12	Control Allocation Strategies . . . . .	110
5.13	Experimental Investigation of Simplex/Simplex Reconfiguration in Maximum Operating Point . . . . .	112
5.14	Experimental Investigation of Simplex/Duplex Reconfiguration with both MPUs in Mean Operating Point . . . . .	113
5.15	Investigation of the Dynamic Performance of the Control Allocation Algorithm in Non-Linear Simulation . . . . .	114
5.16	Control Allocation Strategies . . . . .	116
6.1	Power Consumption of Valve Controlled Systems . . . . .	118
6.2	Advanced Electro-Hydraulic System Control Concepts . . . . .	119
6.3	Basic Displacement Control Actuator Layouts with Differential Cylinder . . . . .	122
6.4	System Test Rig Design . . . . .	124
6.5	EMP Test Rig Schematic for HPP Representation . . . . .	125
6.6	MLG Extension/Retraction Actuator Test Rig Hydraulic Circuit .	126
6.7	PFC Test Rig Hydraulic Circuit . . . . .	127
6.8	PFC Actuator Control Concept . . . . .	128
6.9	MLG Loads and Dynamic Performance Requirements . . . . .	130
6.10	Center Zone System Integrated Control Structure . . . . .	131
6.11	Comparison of the Simulation and Experiment of a MLG Retraction Sequence under Constant Pressure Control . . . . .	134
6.12	Experimental Result of MLG Extension/Retraction Sequence under maximum Aerodynamic Load Conditions with Variable Pressure Control . . . . .	135
6.13	Aerodynamic Loads of Primary Flight Control Actuators during Climb . . . . .	138
6.14	Tail Zone System Integrated Control Structure . . . . .	139
6.15	Feedforward Control Loop . . . . .	141

6.16 Test Result eH-LS No Load Operation . . . . .	144
6.17 Test Result eH-LS-FF No Load Operation . . . . .	145
6.18 Test Result eH-LS-FF XWind . . . . .	146
6.19 Combined Zone System Integrated Control Structure . . . . .	149
6.20 Test Result Combined System MLG E/R and Turbulent PFC Ac- tivity . . . . .	150
6.21 Test Result Combined System MLG E/R and Turbulent PFC Ac- tivity with Flow Feedforward . . . . .	152
7.1 Integrated Thermal-Hydraulic System Model Approach . . . . .	154
7.2 Thermal-Hydraulic EMP Model in AMESim . . . . .	156
7.3 Thermal-Hydraulic Consumer Models in AMESim . . . . .	157
7.4 Flight Mission . . . . .	158
7.5 Overall CZS Model and Control Architecture . . . . .	160
7.6 PCU Control Concept . . . . .	161
7.7 Simulation Result Center System Mission Analysis . . . . .	163
7.8 Overall TZS Model and Control Architecture . . . . .	166
7.9 TZS Steady State Fluid Temperatures . . . . .	167
7.10 Simulation Result Tail System Mission Analysis . . . . .	169
7.11 Overall CoZS Model . . . . .	172
7.12 Steady State Fluid Temperatures . . . . .	172
7.13 Simulation Result Combined System Mission Analysis . . . . .	174
7.14 System Mass Evaluation . . . . .	175
7.15 Evaluation of Electric Power Consumption . . . . .	177

# Nomenclature

## Symbols

### Latin Symbols

Symbol	Unit	Description
$c_p$	[J/(kg K)]	Thermal Capacity (at constant pressure)
$e$	[−]	Error
$d$	[m]	(Inner) Diameter (Pipe)
$d$	[−]	Disturbance
$f$	[Hz]	Frequency
$h$	[m]	Gap Height (Pump Clearance)
$h$	[m <sup>2</sup> /s <sup>2</sup> ]	Specific Enthalpy
$i$	[A]	Current
$k_{f,\Delta p}$	[Nm/bar]	Pressure Dependent Friction Coefficient
$k_{f,\omega}$	[Nm/(rad/s)]	Speed Dependent Leakage Coefficient
$k_{Fe,1}$	[W/(rad/s)]	Iron Losses Coefficient for $\omega$
$k_{Fe,2}$	[W/(rad/s) <sup>2</sup> ]	Iron Losses Coefficient for $\omega^2$
$k_{Fe,3}$	[W/(rad/s A)]	Iron Losses Coefficient for $\omega \cdot i_q$
$k_{le,p}$	[(l/min)/bar]	Pressure Dependent Leakage Coefficient
$k_{le,\omega}$	[(l/min)/(rad/s)]	Speed Dependent Leakage Coefficient
$k_{le,1am}$	[(l/min)/(rad/s)]	Laminar Leakage Coefficient Gap Type 1
$k_{le,2am}$	[(l/min)/(rad/s)]	Laminar Leakage Coefficient Gap Type 2
$k_{p,h}$	[m/bar]	Gap Height Pressure Coefficient
$k_T$	[Nm/A]	Torque Constant
$l$	[m]	Length (Pipe)
$l$	[m]	Lever Arm (Actuator)
$m$	[kg]	Mass
$n$	[−]	Noise
$n$	[1/min]	(Pump) Speed

Symbol	Unit	Description
$p$	[bar]	Pressure
$r$	[–]	Reference / Set Point
$s$	[–]	Complex Frequency Parameter
$t$	[s]	Time
$u$	[–]	Control Output
$u$	[V]	Voltage
$v$	[m/s]	Velocity/Speed
$v$	[rad/s]	Virtual (total) Control Output
$x$	[m]	Position
$y$	[–]	Control Loop Output
$y$	[–]	Valve (Spool) Position
$A$	[m <sup>2</sup> ]	Area
$A$	[–]	Upper Bound of Performance Weight
$B$	[(1/min)/√bar]	Orifice Coefficient (Turbulent)
$C_p$	[(rad/s)/bar]	Pressure Controller Transfer Function
$C_H$	[bar/m <sup>3</sup> ]	Hydraulic Capacity
$C_{H,0}$	[–]	Constant Hinge Moment Derivative
$C_{H,\alpha}$	[1/°]	Hinge Moment Derivative for $\alpha$
$C_{H,\delta}$	[1/°]	Hinge Moment Derivative for $\delta$
$CM$	[–]	Control Mode
$DP$	[–]	Default Pump
$E_f$	[Pa]	Bulk Modulus (Fluid)
$ER$	[–]	EMP Ready (Operation)
$F$	[N]	Force/Load
$F$	[–]	Pre-Filter Transfer Function
$G$	[–]	Transfer Function
$GM$	[dB]	Gain Margin
$H$	[km]	Altitude ( <i>germ.</i> : Höhe)
$H$	[kgm <sup>2</sup> /s <sup>2</sup> ]	Enthalpy
$I$	[–]	Identity Matrix
$J$	[kgm <sup>2</sup> ]	Rotary Inertia
$K_{f,f}$	[m <sup>5</sup> ]	Fluid Friction Coefficient
$K_{f,\Delta p}$	[m <sup>3</sup> ]	Pressure Dependent Friction Coefficient

---

Symbol	Unit	Description
$K_{f,\eta}$	[kg m <sup>2</sup> /s]	Viscous Friction Coefficient
$K_I$	[−]	Integral (Controller) Gain
$K_p$	[−]	Proportional (Controller) Gain
$K_{le,comp}$	[1/bar]	Compression Loss Coefficient
$K_{le,fill}$	[(l/min)/(1/min) <sup>1.8</sup> ]	Filling Loss Coefficient
$K_{le,lam}$	[(l/min) s/(bar m <sup>2</sup> )]	Laminar Pump Leakage Coefficient
$K_{le,turb}$	[(l/min)/ $\sqrt{\text{bar}}$ )]	Turbulent Pump Leakage Coefficient
$L$	[H]	Inductance
$L$	[−]	Loopgain
$L$	[dB(A)]	Sound Level
$M$	[−]	Lower Bound of Performance Weight
$M$	[−]	Known Part of the Uncertain System
$M_H$	[Nm]	Hinge Moment
$Nu$	[−]	Nußelt Number
$P$	[W]	Power
$P$	[−]	Plant Transfer Function
$PM$	[°]	Phase Margin
$P_d$	[bar/(l/min)]	Disturbance Model Transfer Function
$P$	[1/FH]	(Failure) Probability
$P$	[W]	Power
$Q$	[l/min]	Flow Rate
$R$	[Ω]	Electric Resistance
$Ra$	[−]	Rayleigh Number
$S$	[−]	Overload Factor (Accumulator)
$S$	[−]	Sensitivity
$T$	[−]	Complementary Sensitivity
$T$	[Nm]	Torque (Motor, Pump)
$V$	[m <sup>3</sup> ]	Volume
$V_{th}$	[cm <sup>3</sup> ]	Theoretic Displacement Volume
$V_{MCE}$	[−]	Gain of the Inverter
$W$	[−]	Performance Weight

---

**Greek Symbols**

Symbol	Unit	Description
$\alpha$	[−]	Allocation Factor
$\alpha$	[W/(m <sup>2</sup> K)]	Thermal Heat Exchange Coefficient
$\beta$	[1/K]	Thermal Expansion Coefficient
$\delta$	[°]	Deflection / Angular Position (Surface)
$\delta$	[−]	Parametric Uncertainty
$\eta$	[−]	Efficiency
$\vartheta$	[°C]	Temperature
$\kappa$	[−]	Polytrophic Coefficient
$\mu$	[kg/(ms)]	Dynamic Viscosity
$\mu$	[−]	Structured Singular Value
$\nu$	[m <sup>2</sup> /s]	Kinematic Viscosity
$\xi$	[−]	Degradation Factor (Pump)
$\xi$	[−]	Scaling Factor (Feedforward)
$\rho$	[kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	Density
$\sigma$	[−]	Load Share (Pump)
$\sigma$	[−]	Singular Value
$\tau$	[s]	Time Constant
$\varphi$	[rad]	Angular Position
$\omega$	[rad/s]	Angular Velocity
$\Delta$	[−]	Difference
$\Delta$	[−]	Perturbation Matrix (Uncertain System)
$\Phi$	[W]	Heat Flow
$\Psi$	[Wb]	Linked Magnetic Flux

---

## Subscripts

---

Subscript	Description
a,b,c	= Motor Phases A,B,C
accu	= Accumulator
air	= Air
amb	= Ambient (environmental conditions)
c	= Command
comp	= Compression
d	= Disturbance
d,q	= Motor d/q-Phases
dyn	= Dynamic
eff	= Effective (Flow, Torque)
el	= Electric
f	= Friction
fill	= Filling
fl	= Fluid
g	= Gas
hyd	= Hydraulic
hm	= Hydro-mechanical
lam	= Laminar
le	= Leakage
m	= Mechanical
max	= Maximum
min	= Minimum
p	= Pressure, Pump
ph	= Phase
red	= Applying Mass Reduction Measures
rot	= Rotary / Rotating Group
sys	= System
th	= Theoretical (Displacement, Flow, Torque)
tot	= Total
turb	= Turbulent
vol	= Volumetric

---

<b>Subscript</b>	<b>Description</b>
w	= Wall
Cu	= Copper
Cool	= Reduced Cooling Power
CoZS	= Combined Zone System
CZS	= Center Zone System
CS	= Controller Sensitivity
CSPd	= Controller Disturbance Sensitivity
CV	= Check Valve
DC	= Direct Current
DS	= Downsizing (EMP)
EHA	= EHA Concept
El	= Elevator
EMP	= Electric Motor-Driven Pump
E/R	= Extension/Retraction (Actuator)
FB	= Feedback
Fe	= Iron
FF	= Feedforward
HM	= Hydraulic Motor
HP	= High Pressure Side
L	= Load (Flow, Force)
LP	= Low Pressure Side
LS	= Load Sensing
MCE	= Motor Control Electronic
MLG	= Main Landing Gear
MPU	= Motor Pump Unit
MW	= Motor Winding
(P)Dec	= (Partly) Decentralized (Architecture)
PFC	= Primary Flight Control
PM	= Permanent Magnet
PMSM	= Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor
N	= Nominal
R	= Response (Time), Return
RO	= Roll-Off (Controller)

---

---

**Subscript Description**

---

Rud	= Rudder
S	= Surface (Aerodynamic Control)
SPd	= Disturbance Sensitivity
TAS	= True Air Speed
TZS	= Tail Zone System
V	= Loss ( <i>germ.:</i> Verlust)
$\Delta p$	= Differential Pressure
$\xi$	= Including Scaling by Factor $\xi$
$\omega$	= Angular Velocity
$\infty$	= Infinite Time / Steady State

---

**Abbreviations**

---

Abb.	Description
AC	= Alternating Current
A/C	= Aircraft
ADCN	= Aircraft Data Communication Network
ADP	= Air Driven Pump
ADGB	= Accessory Driven Gearbox
AFDX	= Aeronautical Full DupleX
AOG	= Aircraft on Ground
CoZS	= Combined Zone System
CPIOM	= Core Processing Input Output Module
CZS	= Center Zone System
DAQ	= Data Acquisition
DC	= Direct Current, Displacement Control
DOC	= Direct Operating Cost
DSV	= Door Selector Valve
EBHA	= Electric Back-up Hydraulic Actuator
EDP	= Engine Driven Pump
eH	= electro-hydraulic

---

<b>Abb.</b>	<b>Description</b>
EHA	= Electro-hydrostatic Actuator
EHSA	= Electro-hydraulic Servo Actuator
EHSV	= Electro-hydraulic Servo Valve
EMA	= Electro-mechanical Actuator
EMP	= Electric Motor-Driven Pump
E/R	= Extension/Retraction
FAL	= Final Assembly Line
FCC	= Flight Control Computer
FHA	= Functional Hazard Assessment
FM	= Flow Matching
FSVD	= Fixed Speed Variable Displacement
GSV	= Gear Selector Valve
HHEX	= Hydraulic Heat Exchanger
hm	= Hydro-mechanical
HP	= High Pressure
HPP	= Hydraulic Power Package
HPPCM	= HPP Control and Monitoring
HSCM	= Hydraulic System Control Module
HTP	= Horizontal Tail Plane
HVDC	= High Voltage Direct Current
IGP	= Internal Gear Pump
IV	= Isolation Valve
ISA	= International Standard Atmosphere
LGCU	= Landing Gear Control Unit
L/G	= Landing Gear
LH	= Left Hand
LP	= Low Pressure
LS	= Load Sensing
LVDT	= Linear Variable Differential Transformer
MCE	= Motor Control Electronic
MEA	= More Electric Aircraft
MISO	= Multiple Input Single Output
MLG	= Main Landing Gear

---

---

<b>Abb.</b>	<b>Description</b>
MMEL	= Master Minimum Equipment List
MPC(A)	= Model Predictive Control (Allocation)
MPU	= Motor Pump Unit
MTBUR	= Mean Time between Unscheduled Removal
NLG	= Nose Landing Gear
NZS	= Nose Zone System
OEI	= One Engine Inoperative
PbW	= Power by Wire
PCU	= Power Control Unit
PFC	= Primary Flight Control
PMSM	= Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor
PMV	= Pressure Maintaining Valve
POB	= Power Off Brake
PRV	= Pressure Relief Valve
PSSA	= Preliminary System Safety Analysis
PTU	= Power Transfer Unit
PV	= Priority Valve
RAT	= Ram Air Turbine
REU	= Remote Electronic Unit
RH	= Right Hand
RVDT	= Rotary Variable Differential Transformer
SFCC	= Slat Flap Control Computer
SISO	= Single Input Single Output
SV	= Servo Valve
TEFO	= Total Engine Flame Out
THSA	= Trimmable Horizontal Stabilizer Actuator
TZS	= Tail Zone System
VFAC	= Variable Frequency Alternating Current
VSFD	= Variable Speed Fixed Displacement
VSVD	= Variable Speed Variable Displacement
VTP	= Vertical Tail Plane

---