

Jonas Maiko Malte von Pein

**Scaling Laws for Offshore Pile Driving
Noise and Bathymetry Induced
3D Effects**

Band 35



**Institut für
Modellierung und
Berechnung**

Scaling Laws for Offshore Pile Driving Noise and Bathymetry Induced 3D Effects

Vom Promotionsausschuss der
Technischen Universität Hamburg
zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades
Doktor-Ingenieur (Dr.-Ing)
genehmigte Dissertation

von

Jonas Maiko Malte von Pein

aus

Henstedt-Ulzburg

2024

1. Gutachter: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Otto von Estorff
2. Gutachter: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jürgen Grabe

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 30.10.2023

Schriftenreihe des Instituts für
Modellierung und Berechnung der
Technischen Universität Hamburg

Band 35

Jonas Maiko Malte von Pein

**Scaling Laws for Offshore Pile Driving Noise
and Bathymetry Induced 3D Effects**

Shaker Verlag
Düren 2024

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Hamburg, Techn. Univ., Diss., 2023

Herausgeber:

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Otto von Estorff

Technische Universität Hamburg
Institut für Modellierung und Berechnung
Denickestraße 17
21073 Hamburg

Telefon: 040/42878-3032
Fax: 040/427-3-14543
E-Mail: estorff@tuhh.de
Internet: <http://www.tuhh.de/mub>

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2024

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-9402-2

ISSN 1860-8221

Shaker Verlag GmbH • Am Langen Graben 15a • 52353 Düren
Phone: 0049/2421/99011-0 • Telefax: 0049/2421/99011-9
Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

Acknowledgments

This thesis was written during my time as a research associate at the Institute of Modelling and Computation at the Hamburg University of Technology.

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor Professor Otto von Estorff for the continuous support and encouragement during my PhD study. I would also like to thank Professor Jürgen Grabe for taking on the role of the second reviewer and Professor Benedikt Kriegesmann for chairing the examination.

Special thanks go to Stephan Lippert, Tristan Lippert, Elin Klages, and Jasmin Jestel for the many technical discussions and collaborations.

The present work would not have been possible without the culture of open exchange between the employees of many offshore wind energy companies and the scientific underwater acoustics community. Special thanks in this regard go to Matej Simurda, Marco Huisman, and Louis Lederwasch.

I would also like to thank my colleagues Gerrit Weiser, Matthias Ram, Volkert Wollesen, Thomas Kohlsche, Hoshang Sultani, Kim Beiroth, Joscha Piepiorka, Johannes Seidel, Patrick Cordes, and Thorben Schröder for the good working atmosphere in the institute.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my family for their wonderful support.

Abstract

The present thesis focuses on the investigation of the influence of bathymetry induced 3D effects on the sound levels and the derivation of simple to apply scaling laws for unmitigated as well as for mitigated pile driving scenarios. For this purpose, a hybrid pile driving noise model based on the finite element method and the Parabolic Equations (PE) is developed. The finite element model is used to derive the starting field for the computationally more efficient PE model, which is able to include horizontal diffraction and therefore allows for the investigation of bathymetry induced 3D effects. In addition, noise mitigation measures such as bubble curtains can be included in the model.

The developed modelling approach is validated with measurement data from three different wind farms including unmitigated and mitigated pile driving.

The location of the occurrence of bathymetry induced 3D effects is investigated with data of three real-life scenarios with dedicated water depth profiles. It is shown that sand dunes oriented in propagation direction are the main cause of 3D effects at the considered ranges. Furthermore, the influence of the sample size of the bathymetry data and the influence of uncertain acoustical parameters of the sea floor on the 3D effects are investigated and discussed.

The modelling approach is used to derive scaling laws for the influence of the strike energy, the pile diameter, the ram weight, and the water depth on the sound exposure level (SEL) and the peak sound pressure level (SPL_{peak}). This is done for mitigated and unmitigated scenarios.

The scaling laws for unmitigated pile driving are validated with 21 measurement data sets. Despite the four parameters that are usually publicly available, the influence of often non-public parameters is investigated. These are the penetration depth, the pile head diameter, and the wall thickness.

Scaling laws for mitigated pile driving are developed for four different kinds of noise mitigation systems. These are a big bubble curtain, a double big bubble curtain, a fully absorbing close range system, and the combination of a fully absorbing close range system with a double big bubble curtain. The main difference between the found dependencies is identified in the water depth trends. The scaling laws for scenarios with a big bubble curtain are validated with 17 measurement data sets.

It is demonstrated that the scaling laws can be used to derive estimates of the sound levels with deviations that are in the range of the variations occurring within the measurements of a single wind farm with several piles.

Contents

Nomenclature	vii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 State of the art	2
1.2.1 Modelling offshore pile driving noise	3
1.2.2 Modelling 3D effects in underwater noise	4
1.2.3 Scaling of offshore pile driving noise	5
1.3 Objective and outline	5
2 Governing equations	7
2.1 Acoustics	7
2.1.1 The wave and Helmholtz equation	7
2.1.2 Definition of sound levels	8
2.2 Numerical methods for solving the Helmholtz equation	9
2.2.1 Wavenumber Integration	9
2.2.2 Normal Modes	10
2.2.3 Ray Tracing	11
2.2.4 Parabolic Equations	11
2.2.5 Conclusion	14
2.3 The Finite Element Method	14
3 Pile driving noise modelling	17
3.1 Characteristics of offshore pile driving noise	17
3.2 Numerical models	21
3.3 Analytical and empirical models	24
3.4 Modelling approach	26
3.4.1 The close-range finite element model	27
3.4.2 The far-range Parabolic Equation model	28
3.4.3 Modelling of noise mitigation systems	30

4	Verification and Validation	35
4.1	Verification of the hybrid model	35
4.1.1	Coupling radius	35
4.1.2	Padé order	37
4.1.3	Arclength criterion	38
4.2	Verification of the 3D PE model	39
4.3	Details of the sites used for validation	41
4.4	Validation of the FE model	42
4.5	Validation of the FEM / 2D PE model	45
4.6	Validation of the FEM / 3D PE model	46
4.6.1	Borssele - location A	47
4.6.2	Borssele - location B	49
4.6.3	Borssele - location C	51
4.7	Conclusions	51
5	Bathymetry induced 3D effects	53
5.1	The occurrence of 3D effects	53
5.2	Comparison of 3D results to 2D results	55
5.3	The influence of the bathymetry sampling	57
5.4	The influence of uncertain acoustical parameters	58
5.4.1	Sensitivity study	59
5.4.2	Quantification approach	61
5.4.3	Varied parameters	62
5.4.4	Results for unmitigated pile driving	64
5.4.5	Results for mitigated pile driving	65
5.5	Conclusions	68
6	Scaling laws for unmitigated pile driving noise	71
6.1	Discussion of main influencing parameters	71
6.2	Parameter variation using the FE model	72
6.3	Verification and validation scenarios	75
6.3.1	Verification	75
6.3.2	Validation	76
6.4	Scaling of the SEL	78
6.4.1	Discussion of dependencies	78
6.4.2	Verification	85
6.4.3	Validation	88

6.5	Scaling of the SPL_{peak}	90
6.5.1	Discussion of dependencies	90
6.5.2	Verification	94
6.5.3	Validation	95
6.6	Discussion	97
6.7	Conclusions	103
7	Scaling laws for mitigated pile driving noise	105
7.1	Discussion of main influencing parameters	105
7.2	Parameter variation using the FEM / 2D PE model	106
7.3	Verification and validation scenarios	107
7.3.1	Verification	107
7.3.2	Validation	108
7.4	Scaling of the SEL	109
7.4.1	Discussion of dependencies	109
7.4.2	Verification	120
7.4.3	Validation	121
7.5	Scaling of the SPL_{peak}	126
7.5.1	Discussion of dependencies	126
7.5.2	Verification	130
7.5.3	Validation	132
7.6	Discussion	135
7.7	Conclusions	139
8	Conclusions and outlook	141
8.1	Conclusions	141
8.2	Outlook	144
	Bibliography	147
A	Appendix	161

Nomenclature

Functions and scalars

c	sound speed
d	diameter
f	frequency
h	water depth
k	wave number
m_r	ram weight
p	pressure
r	range
t	time
z	depth
E	strike energy
R	reflection coefficient
Z	pile head impedance
λ	wave length
ρ	density
φ	Mach cone angle
ϕ	azimuth
ω	angular frequency

Matrices and vectors

$\mathbf{A}$	admittance matrix
$\mathbf{C}$	damping matrix
$\mathbf{F}$	load vector
$\mathbf{H}$	compressibility matrix
$\mathbf{I}$	identity matrix

K	stiffness matrix
M	mass matrix
n	normal vector
P	pressure vector
Q	fluid mass matrix
r	position vector
X	depth operator
x	displacement vector
Y	azimuthal operator
σ	stress tensor

Indices

d	diameter
i	index
l	longitudinal
p	pile
s	soil
t	wall thickness
w	water
z	depth
DCS	referring to the Damped Cylindrical Spreading model
N	of order N
NMS	referring to the mitigated case
ϕ	azimuthal
0	reference value

Operators and other symbols

∇	Nabla Operator
$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$	partial derivative with respect to x
$(\dot{\cdot})$	first derivative with respect to time
$(\ddot{\cdot})$	second derivative with respect to time

Abbreviations

AL	Albatross (wind farm)
AN	Anholt (wind farm)
AR	Arkona (wind farm)
AW	Amrumbank West (wind farm)
BBC	Big Bubble Curtain
BO	Borssele (wind farm)
BORA	Berechnung Offshore Rammschall project
BO1	Bard Offshore 1 (wind farm)
BR1	Borkum Riffgrund 1 (wind farm)
BW2	Borkum West 2 (wind farm)
BU	Butendiek (wind farm)
CPH	COMPILE II benchmark scenario
CRS	Close Range System
CV	Coastal Virginia (wind farm)
DB	Deutsche Bucht (wind farm)
DBBC	Double Big Bubble Curtain
DCS	Damped Cylindrical Spreading
FE	Finite Element
FEM	Finite Element Method
GE	Gemini (wind farm)
GTI	Global Tech I (wind farm)
HRII	Horns Rev II (wind farm)
HS	Horn Sea (wind farm)
HSD	Hydro Sound Damper
IQIP-NMS	Integrated Monopile Installer of the company IQIP
ME	Merkur (wind farm)
MNRU	Menck Noise Reduction Unit
MP	Measurement Position
NM	Normal Modes
NMS	Noise Mitigation System
PA	Prinses Amaliawindpark (wind farm)
PE	Parabolic Equations
PTS	Permanent Threshold Shift
PULSE	Piling Under Limited Stress Equipment
RT	Ray Tracing
SB	Sandbank (wind farm)

SEA	Seastar (wind farm)
SEL	Sound Exposure Level
SPL _{peak}	Peak Sound Pressure Level
TL	Transmission loss
TR	Trianel (wind farm)
TTS	Temporary Threshold Shift
VM	Veja Mate (wind farm)
WEAP	Wave Equation analysis for Pile Driving
WI	Wavenumber Integration
2D	two-dimensional
3D	three-dimensional